

## MINIMAL ASYMPTOTIC BASES WITH PRESCRIBED DENSITIES

BY

PAUL ERDÖS AND MELVYN B. NATHANSON<sup>1</sup>

Dedicated to the memory of Irving Reiner

Let  $h \geq 2$ . The set  $A$  of integers is an *asymptotic basis of order  $h$*  if every sufficiently large integer can be represented as the sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ . If  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$  such that no proper subset of  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , then the asymptotic basis  $A$  is *minimal*. It follows that if  $A$  is minimal, then for every element  $a \in A$  there must be infinitely many positive integers  $n$ , each of whose representations as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$  includes the number  $a$  as a summand. Stöhr [6] introduced the concept of minimal asymptotic basis, and Härtter [2] proved that minimal asymptotic bases of order  $h$  exist for all  $h \geq 2$ . Erdős and Nathanson [1] have reviewed recent progress in the study of minimal asymptotic bases.

For any set  $A$  of integers, the *counting function* of  $A$ , denoted  $A(x)$ , is defined by  $A(x) = \text{card}(\{a \in A \mid 1 \leq a \leq x\})$ . If  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , then  $A(x) > c_1 x^{1/h}$  for some constant  $c_1 > 0$  and all  $x$  sufficiently large. For every  $h \geq 2$ , Nathanson [3], [4] has constructed minimal asymptotic bases that are "thin" in the sense that  $A(x) < c_2 x^{1/h}$  for some  $c_2 > 0$  and all  $x$  sufficiently large.

Let  $A$  be a set of integers. The *lower asymptotic density* of  $A$ , denoted  $d_L(A)$ , is defined by  $d_L(A) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x)/x$ . If  $\alpha = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(x)/x$  exists, then  $\alpha$  is called the *asymptotic density* of  $A$ , and denoted  $d(A)$ . Nathanson and Sárközy [5] proved that if  $A$  is a minimal asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , then  $d_L(A) \leq 1/h$ . In this paper we construct for each  $h \geq 2$  a class of minimal asymptotic bases  $A$  of order  $h$  with  $d(A) = 1/h$ . This result is best possible in the sense that it gives the "fattest" examples of minimal asymptotic bases. We also prove that for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1/(2h - 2))$  there exists a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  of order  $h$  with  $d(A) = \alpha$ .

---

Received January 4, 1988.

<sup>1</sup>The research of the second author was supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program of the City University of New York.

© 1988 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

DEFINITIONS. Let  $\mathbf{N}$  denote the set of nonnegative integers. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{N}$ . The  $h$ -fold sumset  $hA$  is the set of all integers of the form  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_h$ , where  $a_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Let

$$n = a_1 + \dots + a_h = a'_1 + \dots + a'_h$$

be two representations of  $n$  as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ . These representations are *disjoint* if  $a_i \neq a'_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, h$ .

The set  $B$  of nonnegative integers is a  $B_k$ -sequence if it satisfies the following property: If  $u_i, v_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  with  $u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_k$  and  $v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_k$ , and if  $u_1 + \dots + u_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k$ , then  $u_i = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $B$  is a  $B_k$ -sequence, then  $B$  is also a  $B_j$ -sequence for every  $j < k$ .

Let  $|S| = \text{card}(S)$  denote the cardinality of the set  $S$ . Let  $\{x\}$  denote the fractional part of the real number  $x$ .

LEMMA. Let  $k \geq 2$ , and let  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy  $b_1 > 0$  and  $b_{i+1} > k \cdot b_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Then:

(0.1)  $B$  is a  $B_k$ -sequence.

(0.2)  $B(x) = O(\log x)$ .

(0.3) If  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $k^{-t} \leq \delta$ , then  $B(x) \leq B(\delta x) + t$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . In particular,  $B(x) \leq B(x/k) + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_i, v_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , where  $j \leq k$ ,  $u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_j$ , and  $v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_j$ . Suppose that

$$u_1 + \dots + u_j = v_1 + \dots + v_j.$$

Let  $v_j = \max\{u_j, v_j\}$ . If  $u_j < v_j$ , then

$$u_1 + \dots + u_j \leq j \cdot u_j \leq k \cdot u_j < v_j \leq v_1 + \dots + v_j,$$

which is absurd. Therefore,  $u_j = v_j$ , and so

$$u_1 + \dots + u_{j-1} = v_1 + \dots + v_{j-1}.$$

It follows that  $u_i = v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, j$ . In particular,  $B$  is a  $B_k$ -sequence. This proves (0.1).

Note that  $b_j > k \cdot b_{j-1} > k^2 \cdot b_{j-2} > \dots > k^{j-1} \cdot b_1 = c \cdot k^j$ , where  $c = b_1/k$ . Let  $x \geq c \cdot k$ . Choose  $j$  such that  $c \cdot k^j \leq x < c \cdot k^{j+1}$ . Then

$$B(x) \leq j \leq \log(x/c)/\log k \leq c' \log x$$

for some  $c' > 0$  and  $x$  sufficiently large. Thus,  $B(x) = O(\log x)$ . This proves (0.2).

If  $x/k < b_1$ , then  $x < k \cdot b_1 < b_2$ , and  $B(x) \leq 1 = B(x/k) + 1$ . If  $x/k \geq$

$b_1$ , choose  $i \geq 2$  such that  $b_{i-1} \leq x/k < b_i$ . Then  $x < k \cdot b_i < b_{i+1}$  and so

$$B(x) \leq i = B(x/k) + 1.$$

Let  $1/k^t \leq \delta$ . Then

$$B(x) \leq B(x/k) + 1 \leq B(x/k^2) + 2 \leq \cdots \leq B(x/k^t) + t \leq B(\delta x) + t.$$

This proves (0.3).

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $h \geq 2$ . Let  $A$  be an asymptotic basis of order  $h$  of the form  $A = B \cup C$ , where  $B$  and  $C$  are disjoint sets of nonnegative integers. Let  $r(n)$  denote the cardinality of the largest set of pairwise disjoint representations of  $n$  in the form

$$n = b'_1 + b'_2 + \cdots + b'_{h-1} + c, \quad (1)$$

where  $c \in C$ ,  $b'_1, \dots, b'_{h-1} \in B$ , and  $b'_1 < b'_2 < \cdots < b'_{h-1}$ . Let  $W$  be the set of all integers  $w \in hA$  such that if  $w = a_1 + \cdots + a_h$  with  $a_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , then  $a_j = c \in C$  for at most one  $j$ . Let

$$\Omega(n) = \{c \in C \mid n - c \in (h-1)B\}.$$

Suppose that for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  the following conditions are satisfied:

(1.1)  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , where  $b_{i+1} > (2h-2)b_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

(1.2)  $r(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(1.3) For every  $c \in C$  there exist infinitely many choices of  $b'_1, \dots, b'_{h-1} \in B$  such that  $w = b'_1 + b'_2 + \cdots + b'_{h-1} + c \in W \setminus B$  and  $c' > \delta w$  for all  $c' \in \Omega(w) \setminus \{c\}$ .

(1.4) For every  $b'_1 \in B$ , at least one of the following holds: (1.4a) there exist infinitely many choices of  $b'_2, \dots, b'_{h-1} \in B$  and  $c \in C$  such that  $w = b'_1 + b'_2 + \cdots + b'_{h-1} + c \in W \setminus hB$  and  $c' > \delta w$  for all  $c' \in \Omega(w) \setminus \{c\}$ ; (1.4b) there exist infinitely many choices of  $b'_2, \dots, b'_h \in B$  such that  $w = b'_1 + b'_2 + \cdots + b'_h \in W$  and  $c' > \delta w$  for all  $c' \in \Omega(w)$ .

Then there exists  $C' \subseteq C$  such that  $A' = B \cup C'$  is a minimal asymptotic basis of order  $h$  and  $(C \setminus C')(x) \leq 2B(x)^{h-1}$  for  $x \geq w_1$ . In particular,  $d(C \setminus C') = 0$  and  $d_L(A') = d_L(A)$ .

*Proof.* We shall construct the minimal asymptotic basis  $A'$  by induction. Choose  $t$  such that  $(2h-2)^{-t} \leq \delta$ . Choose  $N_1$  such that

$$(B(n) + t)^{h-1} < (3/2)B(n)^{h-1} \quad (2)$$

and  $r(n) \geq 2$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ . Let  $A_0 = A$  and  $C_0 = C$ . Choose  $c \in C_0$ . Let

$a_1 = c$ . By condition (1.3), we can choose  $b'_1, \dots, b'_{h-1} \in B$  such that

$$w_1 = b'_1 + b'_2 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c \in W \setminus hB, \quad (3)$$

and  $w_1 \geq N_1$  and  $c' > \delta w_1$  for all  $c' \in \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\}$ . Let  $F_1 = \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\}$ . Let  $C_1 = C \setminus F_1$  and let  $A_1 = B \cup C_1$ . Then

$$C \setminus C_1 = F_1 \subseteq (\delta w_1, w_1]. \quad (4)$$

If  $c' \in F_1$ , then there exist integers  $v'_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$  such that  $w_1 = v'_1 + \dots + v'_{h-1} + c'$ . Since  $v'_i \leq w_1$ , it follows that there are at most  $B(w_1)$  choices for each  $v'_i$ , and so

$$(C \setminus C_1)(x) = |F_1| \leq B(w_1)^{h-1} \quad (5)$$

for  $x \geq w_1$ . Since  $w_1 \in W \setminus hB$ , it follows that, except for permutations of the summands, (3) is the unique representation of  $w_1$  as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A_1$ .

Let  $n \geq N_1$  and  $n \neq w_1$ . Since  $r(n) \geq 2$  for  $n \geq N_1$ , it follows that  $n$  has at least two disjoint representations of the form (1) of  $hA$ . That is, there exist integers  $u'_i$  and  $u''_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$ , and  $c', c'' \in C$  such that

$$n = u'_1 + \dots + u'_{h-1} + c' \quad (6)$$

and

$$n = u''_1 + \dots + u''_{h-1} + c'', \quad (7)$$

where  $c' \neq c''$  and  $u'_i \neq u''_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, h-1$ .

Either  $c' \in C_1$  or  $c'' \in C_1$ . If not, then

$$c' \in \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\} \quad \text{and} \quad c'' \in \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\},$$

and so there exist integers  $v'_i$  and  $v''_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$  such that

$$w_1 = v'_1 + \dots + v'_{h-1} + c' \quad (8)$$

and

$$w_1 = v''_1 + \dots + v''_{h-1} + c''. \quad (9)$$

Subtracting (8) from (6) and (9) from (7), we get two representations of  $n - w_1$ , and these yield the relation

$$u''_1 + \dots + u'_{h-1} + v'_1 + \dots + v''_{h-1} = u'_1 + \dots + u''_{h-1} + v'_1 + \dots + v'_{h-1}.$$

By Lemma 1, the growth condition (1.1) on the elements of  $B$  implies that  $B$  is a  $B_{2h-2}$ -sequence; hence

$$\{u'_1, \dots, u'_{h-1}, v''_1, \dots, v''_{h-1}\} = \{u''_1, \dots, u''_{h-1}, v'_1, \dots, v'_{h-1}\}.$$

Since the representations (6) and (7) are disjoint, it follows that  $u'_i \neq u''_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, h-1$ , and so

$$\{u'_1, \dots, u'_{h-1}\} \subseteq \{v'_1, \dots, v'_{h-1}\}.$$

Since  $u'_1 < \dots < u'_{h-1}$ , it follows that

$$\{u'_1, \dots, u'_{h-1}\} = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_{h-1}\}.$$

Equations (6) and (8) imply that  $n = w_1$ , which is false. It follows that either  $c' \notin F_1 = \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\}$  or  $c'' \notin F_1 = \Omega(w_1) \setminus \{c\}$ , and so

$$n \in h(B \cup C_1) = hA_1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_1.$$

Let  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose that for each  $j < k$  we have constructed

(1.5) an integer  $w_j \in W$  with  $w_{j-1} < \delta w_j$  for  $2 \leq j < k$ ,

(1.6) a finite set  $F_j \subseteq C \cap (\delta w_j, w_j]$  with  $|F_j| \leq B(w_j)^{h-1}$ ,

(1.7) a set  $C_j = C \setminus (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_j)$  and an integer  $a_j \in A_j = B \cup C_j$  such that  $w_j$  has a unique representation as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A_j$ , and  $a_j$  is a summand that is used in this representation, and  $n \in hA_j$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ .

To perform the induction, we choose  $N_k$  so large that

(1.8)  $N_k > w_{k-1}$ ,

(1.9)  $B(N_k)^{h-1} > 4B(w_{k-1})^{h-1}$ , and

(1.10)  $r(n) \geq 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |F_j| = 2 + |A \setminus A_{k-1}|$  for  $n \geq N_k$ .

Let  $a_k \in A_{k-1} = B \cup C_{k-1}$ . There are two cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose  $a_k = c \in C_{k-1}$ . By condition (1.3) of the theorem, there exist integers  $b'_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$  such that

$$b'_1 + b'_2 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c = w_k \in W \setminus hB,$$

where  $\delta w_k > N_k$  and  $c' > \delta w_k$  for all  $c' \in F_k = \Omega(w_k) \setminus \{c\}$ . Let

$$C_k = C_{k-1} \setminus F_k \quad \text{and} \quad A_k = B \cup C_k.$$

Then the element  $w_k$  has a unique representation (up to permutations of the summands) as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A_k$ , and the integer  $a_k = c$  is one of the summands in this representation.

Case 2. Suppose  $a_k = b'_1 \in B$ . If condition (1.4a) is satisfied, there exist integers  $b'_i \in B$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, h-1$  and  $c \in C$  such that

$$b'_1 + b'_2 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c = w_k \in W \setminus hB,$$

where  $\delta w_k > N_k$  and  $c' > \delta w_k$  for all  $c' \in F_k = \Omega(w_k) \setminus \{c\}$ . If condition (1.4b) is satisfied, there exist integers  $b'_i \in B$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, h$  such that

$$b'_1 + b'_2 + \dots + b'_h = w_k \in W,$$

where  $\delta w_k > N_k$  and  $c' > \delta w_k$  for all  $c' \in F_k = \Omega(w_k)$ . With either condition (1.4a) or (1.4b), let  $C_k = C_{k-1} \setminus F_k$  and  $A_k = B \cup C_k$ . Then the element  $w_k$  has a unique representation (up to permutations of the summands) as a sum of  $h$  elements of  $A_k$ , and this representation includes the integer  $a_k = b'_1$ .

In both cases,  $F_k \subseteq C_{k-1} \cap (\delta w_k, w_k]$  and  $|F_k| \leq B(w_k)^{h-1}$ . Let  $n \geq N_1$ . We shall show that  $n \in hA_k$ . Since  $n \in hA_{k-1}$  and  $c' > \delta w_k > N_k > w_{k-1}$  for all  $c' \in F_k = A_{k-1} \setminus A_k$ , it follows that  $n \in hA_k$  for  $N_1 \leq n \leq \delta w_k$ . Let  $n > \delta w_k$  and  $n \neq w_k$ . Since  $r(n) \geq 2 + |A \setminus A_{k-1}|$  for  $n \geq N_k$  by condition (1.10), it follows that  $n$  has at least two disjoint representations of the form (1) in  $hA_{k-1}$ . That is, there exist integers  $u'_i$  and  $u''_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$ , and  $c', c'' \in C_{k-1}$  such that

$$n = u'_1 + \dots + u'_{h-1} + c' \quad (10)$$

and

$$n = u''_1 + \dots + u''_{h-1} + c'', \quad (11)$$

where  $c' \neq c''$  and  $u'_i \neq u''_j$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, h-1$ . If  $c' \in F_k$  and  $c'' \in F_k$ , then there exist integers  $v'_i$  and  $v''_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$  such that

$$w_k = v'_1 + \dots + v'_{h-1} + c' \quad (12)$$

and

$$w_k = v''_1 + \dots + v''_{h-1} + c''. \quad (13)$$

Subtracting (12) from (10) and (13) from (11), we get two representations of  $n - w_k$ , and these yield the relation

$$u'_1 + \dots + u'_{h-1} + v''_1 + \dots + v''_{h-1} = u''_1 + \dots + u''_{h-1} + v'_1 + \dots + v'_{h-1}.$$

Since  $B$  is a  $B_{2h-2}$ -sequence, the argument used at the beginning of this proof shows that  $n \in h(B \cup C_k) = hA_k$ . Thus,  $n \in hA_k$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ . This completes the induction.

We now define

$$C' = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = C \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \quad \text{and} \quad A' = B \cup C'.$$

Let  $n \geq N_1$ . Choose  $w_k > n$ . Then  $n \in hA_k$ . Since

$$a' > w_k > n \quad \text{for all } a' \in A_k \setminus A' = \bigcup_{j=k+1}^{\infty} F_j,$$

it follows that  $n \in hA'$ . Thus,  $A'$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ .

Here is the critical idea in the proof: At the  $k$ -th step of the induction, we could choose *any* element  $a_k \in A_k = B \cup C_k$ . We must make these choices in such a way that if  $a' \in A'$ , then  $a' = a_k$  for *infinitely many*  $k$ . This implies that for every  $a' \in A'$  there are infinitely many integers  $w_k$  such that  $w_k \in hA'$ , but  $w_k \notin h(A' \setminus \{a'\})$ , and so  $A'$  is a minimal asymptotic basis of order  $h$ .

Finally, we must prove that for  $x \geq w_1$ ,

$$(C \setminus C')(x) \leq 2B(x)^{h-1}. \quad (14)$$

By (5),  $(C \setminus C')(w_1) \leq B(w_1)^{h-1}$ . Suppose that (14) holds for  $w_1 \leq x \leq w_{k-1}$ . Since  $(C \setminus C') \cap (w_{k-1}, \delta w_k] = \emptyset$ , then (14) holds for  $x \leq \delta w_k$ . Let  $\delta w_k < x \leq w_k$ . Then by (1.6), (0.3), (1.9), and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (C \setminus C')(x) &\leq (C \setminus C')(w_k) = (C \setminus C')(w_{k-1}) + |F_k| \\ &\leq 2B(w_{k-1})^{h-1} + B(w_k)^{h-1} \\ &\leq 2B(w_{k-1})^{h-1} + (B(\delta w_k) + t)^{h-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}B(\delta w_k)^{h-1} + \frac{3}{2}B(\delta w_k)^{h-1} \\ &= 2B(\delta w_k)^{h-1} \\ &\leq 2B(x)^{h-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (14) holds for all  $x \geq w_1$ . Since the set  $B$  is a  $B_{(2h-2)}$ -sequence, it follows from the lemma that  $B(x) = O(\log x)$ , and so  $d(C \setminus C') = 0$  and  $d_L(A') = d_L(A)$ . This completes the proof.

We shall now use Theorem 1 to construct examples of minimal asymptotic bases of order  $h$  with prescribed positive densities.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $h \geq 2$ . Let  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a set of positive integers such that

$$(2.1) \quad b_{i+1} > (2h-1)b_i \text{ for } i \geq 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad B_0 = \{b_i \in B \mid b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{h}\} \text{ is infinite,}$$

$$(2.3) \quad B_1 = \{b_i \in B \mid b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{h}\} \text{ is infinite,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad B = B_0 \cup B_1.$$

Let  $C = \{c \geq 0 \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{h}\} \setminus B_0$ . Then there exists a set  $C' \subseteq C$  such that  $A' = B \cup C'$  is a minimal asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , and  $d(A') = 1/h$ .

*Proof.* The set  $A = B \cup C$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , and  $d(A) = 1/h$ . We shall show that conditions (1.1)–(1.4) of Theorem 1 are satisfied with  $\delta = 1/(h+1)$ . Note that condition (1.1) in Theorem 1 follows immediately from condition (2.1) in Theorem 2. The lemma implies that  $B(x) = O(\log x)$ .

To show condition (1.2), choose a large integer  $m$ . Let

$$e \in \{0, 1, \dots, h-1\}.$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we can choose  $m+1$  pairwise disjoint sets

$$\{b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,h-1}\} \subseteq B$$

such that  $b_{j,1} < \dots < b_{j,h-1}$  and  $b_{j,h-1} < b_{j+1,1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and

$$e_j = b_{j,1} + \dots + b_{j,h-1} \equiv e \pmod{h}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ . Then  $e_1 < \dots < e_{m+1}$ . Choose

$$b_k > \max\{e_1, \dots, e_{m+1}\}.$$

Let  $n \equiv e \pmod{h}$  and  $n \geq b_{k+1}$ . Then  $n - e_j > 0$  and  $n - e_j \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ . Suppose that  $n - e_i = b_u \in B$  and  $n - e_j = b_v \in B$  for some  $i < j$ . Then  $b_u > b_v$  and

$$b_v = n - e_j > b_{k+1} - b_k > b_k > e_j > e_j - e_i = b_u - b_v > b_v$$

which is absurd. Therefore,  $n - e_j \in C$  for at least  $m$  different  $e_j$ , and so  $r(n) \geq m$  for all sufficiently large  $n \equiv e \pmod{h}$ . It follows that  $r(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and condition (1.2) is satisfied.

Next we show that (1.3) holds. Since  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$  for all  $c \in C$ , it follows that if  $n \equiv h-1 \pmod{h}$ , then  $n \in W$ . Fix  $c \in C$ . Choose  $b_i \in B$  with  $b_i > c$  and  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ . Let  $w = (h-1)b_i + c$ . Then  $w \equiv h-1 \pmod{h}$  and  $w \in W$ .

We shall prove that  $w \in W \setminus hB$ . Suppose that there exist  $b'_1, \dots, b'_h \in B$  such that  $w = b'_1 + \dots + b'_h$ . Since

$$(h-1)b_i \leq w < hb_i \leq (2h-2)b_i < b_{i+1},$$

it follows that  $b'_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , but  $b'_i \neq b_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . If  $b'_j \neq b_j$  for exactly one  $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , then

$$b'_j = c \in B \cap C = \emptyset,$$

which is absurd. If  $b'_j \neq b_j$  and  $b'_k \neq b_k$ , then

$$w = b'_1 + \dots + b'_h \leq (h-2)b_i + 2b_{i-1} < (h-1)b_i \leq w,$$

which is also absurd. Therefore,  $w \notin hB$ .

Let  $c' \in \Omega(w) \setminus \{c\}$ . Then there exist  $b'_i \in B$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$  such that  $w = b'_1 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c'$  and  $b'_j \neq b_j$  for some  $j$ . Then  $b'_j \leq b_{j-1}$ . Since

$$(h-1)b_i \leq (h-1)b_i + c = w \leq (h-2)b_i + b_{i-1} + c'$$

it follows that

$$c' \geq b_i - b_{i-1} > ((2h-2)/(2h-1))b_i > ((2h-2)/h(2h-1))w \geq \delta w.$$

Thus, condition (1.3) of Theorem 1 holds.

Finally, we consider condition (1.4). Let  $b_u \in B = B_0 \cup B_1$ . If  $b_u \in B_0$ , we shall show that (1.4b) holds. Choose  $b_i \in B_1$  with  $b_i > b_u$ . Let

$$w = b_u + (h-1)b_i.$$

Then  $w < hb_i < b_{i+1}$ . Since  $w \equiv h-1 \pmod{h}$ , it follows that  $w \in W$ . Let  $c' \in \Omega(w)$ . There exist  $b'_i \in B$  such that  $w = b'_1 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c'$ , where  $b'_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$  and  $b'_j \leq b_{j-1}$  for some  $j$ . The same argument as above implies that

$$c' > ((2h-2)/h(2h-1))w \geq \delta w.$$

If  $b_u \in B_1$ , we shall show that (1.4a) holds. Choose  $b_i \in B_1$  with  $b_i > b_u$ . The interval  $(2b_i - b_u, 3b_i - b_u)$  contains  $b_i/h + O(1)$  multiples of  $h$ , and so  $b_i/h + O(\log b_i)$  elements of  $C$ . There are at most  $B(3b_i)^2 = O(\log^2 b_i)$  integers of the form  $b_i + b_j - b_u$  in this interval. It follows that for  $b_i$  sufficiently large there exists an integer  $c \in C$  such that

$$2b_i < b_u + c < 3b_i \quad \text{and} \quad b_u + c \notin 2B.$$

Let  $w = (h-2)b_i + b_u + c$ . Then  $w \equiv h-1 \pmod{h}$ , hence  $w \in W$ . If  $w \in hB$ , there exist  $b'_1, \dots, b'_h \in B$  such that  $b'_1 + \dots + b'_h = w$ , but this is impossible, since

$$hb_i < w < (h+1)b_i \leq (2h-1)b_i < b_{i+1}.$$

Therefore,  $w \in W \setminus hB$ .

Let  $c' \in \Omega(w) \setminus \{c\}$ . There exist  $b'_1, \dots, b'_{h-1}$  such that

$$w = b'_1 + \dots + b'_{h-1} + c'.$$

Then  $b'_i \leq b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$  and so

$$c' \geq w - (h-1)b_i > b_i > w/(h+1) = \delta w.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY.** For every  $h \geq 2$  there exists a minimal asymptotic basis  $A'$  of order  $h$  with asymptotic density  $d(A') = 1/h$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $h \geq 2$ . For every  $\alpha \in (0, 1/(2h-2))$  there exists a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  of order  $h$  with asymptotic density  $d(A) = \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1/(2h-2))$ . Let  $\Theta > 0$  be irrational. Let  $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a set of positive integers so that  $\{b_i\Theta\}$  is dense in the interval  $(0, 1/(h-1))$  and  $b_{i+1} > (2h-2)b_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let

$$C = \{c \geq 0 \mid \{c\Theta\} < \alpha\} \setminus B.$$

Let  $A = B \cup C$ . Then  $d(B) = 0$  and  $d(A) = d(C) = \alpha$ . We shall prove that  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$  and satisfies conditions (1.1)–(1.4) of Theorem 1 with  $\delta = (2h-3)/h(2h-2) \leq 1/4$ .

Clearly,  $B$  satisfies (1.1). To show that condition (1.2) holds, we first fix an integer  $N > 2/\alpha$ . Choose  $m$  large. For  $i = 1, \dots, h-1$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ , and  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we choose pairwise distinct integers  $b(i, j, k) \in B$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad b(1, j, k) < b(2, j, k) < \dots < b(h-1, j, k) \text{ for all } j, k,$$

$$(3.2) \quad b(h-1, j, k) < b(1, j+1, k) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and all } k,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \{b(i, j, k)\Theta\} \in [(k-1)/((h-1)N), k/((h-1)N)].$$

Let

$$s(j, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} b(i, j, k) \in (h-1)B.$$

Conditions (3.1) and (3.2) imply that  $s(1, k) < s(2, k) < \dots < s(m+1, k)$ . Also, condition (3.3) implies that

$$\{s(j, k)\Theta\} \in [(k-1)/N, k/N] \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m+1.$$

Let

$$n > 2 \cdot \max\{s(j, k) \mid j = 1, \dots, m+1, k = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

If  $\{n\Theta\} \in [1/N, 1)$ , then  $\{n\Theta\} \in [k/N, (k+1)/N)$  for some  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ , and

$$\{(n - s(j, k))\Theta\} \in [0, 2/N) \subset [0, \alpha)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ . If  $\{n\Theta\} \in [0, 1/N)$ , then

$$\{(n - s(j, N))\Theta\} \in [0, 2/N) \subset [0, \alpha).$$

In all cases,  $n - s(j, N) = c_j \in B \cup C$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ , and  $c_1 > c_2 > \dots > c_{m+1}$ . Since  $s(j, k) \in (h-1)B$  and since  $B$  is a  $B_h$ -sequence, it follows that  $c_j \in B$  for at most one  $j$ , and so  $n$  has at least  $m$  pairwise disjoint representations of the form (1). Thus,  $A$  is an asymptotic basis of order  $h$ , and  $r(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Condition (1.2) is satisfied.

Let  $W$  be the set of all integers  $w \in hA$  such that if  $w = a_1 + \dots + a_h$  with  $a_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , then  $a_j \in C$  for at most one  $j$ . Let

$$\beta = (h-2)/(h-1) + 2\alpha.$$

Since  $0 < \alpha < 1/(2h-2)$ , it follows that  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $\{n\Theta\} \geq \beta$ . We shall show that  $n \in W$ . If not, then there exists a representation

$$n = b'_1 + \dots + b'_k + c_{k+1} + \dots + c_h,$$

where  $b'_i \in B$ ,  $c_j \in C$ , and  $0 \leq k \leq h-2$ . Since  $\{b'_i\Theta\} < 1/(h-1)$  and  $\{c_j\Theta\} < \alpha$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\Theta\} &< k/(h-1) + (h-k)\alpha \\ &= h\alpha + k(1/(h-1) - \alpha) \\ &\leq h\alpha + (h-2)(1/(h-1) - \alpha) \\ &= (h-2)/(h-1) + 2\alpha \\ &= \beta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $\{n\Theta\} \geq \beta$ . Therefore,  $k = h$  or  $k = h-1$ , and so  $n \in W$ .

We now prove that condition (1.3) holds. Let  $c \in C$ . Then  $\{c\Theta\} < \alpha < \beta$ . The set  $\{\{b_i\Theta\} | b_i \in B\}$  is dense in  $(0, 1/(h-1))$ , and so there exist infinitely many  $b_i \in B$  such that  $b_i > c$  and

$$(\beta - \{c\Theta\})/(h-1) < \{b_i\Theta\} < (1 - \{c\Theta\})/(h-1).$$

Let  $w = (h-1)b_i + c$ . Then

$$\beta < \{w\Theta\} = (h-1)\{b_i\Theta\} + \{c\Theta\} < 1$$

and so  $w \in W$ . Since  $(h-1)b_i \leq w < hb_i < b_{i+1}$ , it follows that  $w \notin hB$ , hence  $w \in W \setminus hB$ . Let  $c' \in \Omega(w) \setminus \{c\}$ . Then there exist  $b'_i \in B$  such that

$$w = b'_1 + \cdots + b'_{h-1} + c',$$

where  $b'_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i$  and  $b'_j \leq b_{i-1}$  for at least one  $j$ . Then

$$(h-1)b_i \leq w \leq (h-2)b_i + b_{i-1} + c',$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} c' &\geq b_i - b_{i-1} \\ &> ((2h-3)/(2h-2))b_i \\ &> ((2h-3)/h(2h-2))w \\ &= \delta w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A$  satisfies condition (1.3).

We show next that (1.4b) holds. Let  $b_u \in B$ . Suppose that  $\{b_u\Theta\} < \beta$ . Note that this is always true for  $h \geq 3$ , since

$$\{b_u\Theta\} < 1/(h-1) < (h-2)/(h-1) + 2\alpha = \beta.$$

Then there exist infinitely many  $b_i \in B$  such that  $b_i > b_u$  and

$$(\beta - \{b_u\Theta\})/(h-1) < \{b_i\Theta\} < (1 - \{b_u\Theta\})/(h-1).$$

Let  $w = (h-1)b_i + b_u$ . It follows as in the case above that  $w \in W$  and  $c' > \delta w$  for all  $c' \in \Omega(w)$ .

Finally, we consider the case  $h = 2$  and

$$0 < 2\alpha = \beta \leq \{b_u\Theta\} < 1.$$

There exist infinitely many  $b_i \in B$  such that  $b_i > b_u$  and

$$0 < \{b_i\Theta\} < 1 - \{b_u\Theta\}.$$

Let  $w = b_i + b_u$ . Then  $b_i < w < 2b_i < b_{i+1}$ , and

$$\beta \leq \{b_u\Theta\} < \{w\Theta\} = \{b_i\Theta\} + \{b_u\Theta\} < 1,$$

hence  $w \in W$ . Let  $c' \in \Omega(w)$ . Then there exists  $b'_i \in B$  such that  $w = b'_i + c'$ , where  $b'_i \leq b_{i-1}$ . Then

$$b_i < w \leq b_{i-1} + c',$$

and so

$$c' > b_i - b_{i-1} > b_i/2 > w/4 = \delta w.$$

Thus, condition (1.4) is satisfied. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is a minimal asymptotic basis of order 2, then  $d_L(A) \leq 1/2$ . For every  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ , there exists a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  with  $d(A) = 1/2$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorems 2 and 3 and the result of Nathanson and Sárközy [5].

*Open problems.* It should be possible to generalize the corollary to Theorem 3 to bases of order  $h \geq 3$ . If  $\alpha \in (0, 1/h)$ , prove that there exists a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  of order  $h$  with asymptotic density  $\alpha$ .

The minimal asymptotic basis  $A = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of order 2 and density  $1/2$  constructed in Theorem 2 has the property that  $a_{i+1} - a_i \leq 4$  for all  $i$  and  $a_{i+1} - a_i = 4$  for infinitely many  $i$ . It is easy to show that there does not exist a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  of order 2 with  $\limsup(a_{i+1} - a_i) = 2$ . Does there exist a minimal asymptotic basis  $A$  of order 2 with  $\limsup(a_{i+1} - a_i) = 3$ ?

#### REFERENCES

1. P. ERDÖS and M.B. NATHANSON, "Problems and results on minimal bases in additive number theory" in *Number theory*, New York, 1985-86, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1240, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, pp. 87-96.
2. E. HÄRTTER, *Ein Beitrag zur Theorie der Minimalbasen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 196 (1956), pp. 170-204.
3. M.B. NATHANSON, *Minimal bases and maximal nonbases in additive number theory*, J. Number Theory, vol. 6 (1974), pp. 324-333.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Minimal bases and powers of 2*, Acta Arith., vol. 51 (1988), pp. 95-102.
5. M.B. NATHANSON and A. SÁRKÖZY, *On the maximum density of minimal asymptotic bases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
6. A. STÖHR, *Gelöste und ungelöste Fragen über Basen der natürlichen Zahlenreihe*, II, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 194 (1955), pp. 111-140.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY  
 LEHMAN COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK  
 NEW YORK