Problems and Results on Additive Properties of General Sequences, V

By

P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, Budapest

(Received 17 January 1986)

Dedicated to our friend Professor E. Hlawka on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

Abstract. A very special case of one of the theorems of the authors states as follows: Let $1 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le \dots$ be an infinite sequence of integers for which all the sums $a_i + a_j$, $1 \le i \le j$, are distinct. Then there are infinitely many integers k for which 2k can be represented in the form $a_i + a_j$ but 2k + 1 cannot be represented in this form. Several unsolved problems are stated.

1. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ $(a_1 < a_2 < ...)$ be an infinite sequence of positive integers. We denote the complement of A by \bar{A} :

$$\bar{A} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} - A$$
.

Put

$$A(n) = \sum_{\substack{a \le n \\ a \in A}} 1, \quad \bar{A}(n) = \sum_{\substack{a \le n \\ a \notin A}} 1,$$

and for n = 0, 1, 2, ... let $R_1(n), R_2(n), R_3(n)$ denote the number of solutions of

$$a_x + a_y = n, \ a_x \in A, \ a_y \in A \tag{1}$$

$$a_x + a_y = n, \ x < y, \ a_x \in A, \ a_y \in A$$
 (2)

and

$$a_x + a_y = n, \quad x \leq y, \ a_x \in A, \ a_y \in A,$$
 (3)

respectively.

In the first four parts of this series (see [3], [4], [5] and [6]) we studied the regularity properties of the functions $R_1(n)$, $R_2(n)$ and $R_3(n)$. In

particular, in Part IV, we studied the monotonicity properties of these functions. We proved that the function $R_1(n)$ is monotone increasing from a certain point on, i. e., there exists an integer n_0 with

$$R_1(n+1) \geqslant R_1(n)$$
 for $n \geqslant n_0$

if and only if the sequence A contains all the integers from a certain point on, i.e., there exists an integer n_1 with

$$A \cap \{n_1, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \ldots\} = \{n_1, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \ldots\}$$
.

Furthermore, we proved that the function $R_2(n)$ can be monotone increasing also in a nontrivial way: namely, there exists a sequence A such that

 $A(n) < n - c n^{1/3}$

(so that $\bar{A}(n) > c n^{1/3}$) and $R_2(n)$ is monotone increasing from a certain point on. Finally, we showed that if $A(n) = o\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$, then the functions $R_2(n)$ and $R_3(n)$ cannot be monotone increasing. (See [1], [2] and [7] for other related problems and results.)

The purpose of this paper is to prove a result of independent interest on the connection between $R_3(2k)$ and $R_3(2k+1)$ (see Theorem 1 below) which will enable us to improve on our earlier estimates concerning the monotonicity of $R_3(n)$ (see Corollary 1 below).

Theorem 1. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ $(a_1 < a_2 < \ldots)$ is an infinite sequence of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\bar{A}(n)}{\log n} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n - A(n)}{\log n} = +\infty , \qquad (4)$$

then we have
$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \sup_{k=1}^{N} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) = +\infty.$$
 (5)

(So that, roughly speaking, $a_x + a_y$ assumes more even values than odd ones.) Clearly, this theorem implies that

Corollary 1. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ $(a_1 < a_2 < ...)$ is an infinite sequence of positive integers such that (4) holds, then the function $R_3(n)$

Corollary 1 has been obtained independently by R. BALASUBRAMANIAN. His.

cannot be monotone increasing from a certain point on, i.e., there does not exist an integer n_2 with

$$R_3(n+1) \geqslant R_3(n)$$
 for $n \geqslant n_2$.

We recall that in [6] we proved this with the much stronger assumption $A(n) = o\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$ in place of (4). This result seems to suggest that, contrary to our earlier conjecture, also $R_3(n)$ can be monotone increasing only in the trivial way but unfortunately we have not been able to prove this.

A sequence $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ $(a_1 < a_2 < ...)$ of positive integers is said to be a Sidon sequence if $R_3(n) \le 1$ for all n, i.e., if

$$a_x + a_y = a_u + a_v, \ x \le y, \ u \le v$$

implies that x = u, y = v. (We remark that very little is known on the properties of Sidon sequences; see eg. [7].) Theorem 1 implies trivially that

Corollary 2. If A is an infinite Sidon sequence, then there exist infinitely many integers k such that $R_3(2k) = 1$ and $R_3(2k+1) = 0$, i.e., 2k can be represented in the form

$$a_i + a_j = 2k$$

but

$$a_x + a_y = 2k + 1$$

is not solvable.

(In fact, it can be shown by analyzing the proof of Theorem 1 that there exist infinitely many positive integers N such that the assertion of Corollary 2 holds for $\gg A(N)$ integers k with $k \le N$.)

Theorem 1 is near the best possible as the following results shows:

Theorem 2. There exists a sequence $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ $(a_1 < a_2 < ...)$ of positive integers such that for some positive real numbers c, n_3 we have

$$\bar{A}(n) > c \log n \quad (for \ n > n_3)$$
 (6)

paper contains several other related results of independent interest. His paper will appear in Acta Arithmetica.

and

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \sup \sum_{k=1}^{N} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) < + \infty . \tag{7}$$

2. The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on the following idea: If A is a *finite* sequence of positive integers, and we denote the number of even elements and odd elements of it by A_0 and A_1 , respectively, then the sum in (5) can be estimated in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(R_3(2\,k) - R_3(2\,k+1) \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} R_3(2\,k) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} R_3(2\,k+1) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a \leqslant a' \\ a+a' \equiv 0 \, (\text{mod } 2)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a \leqslant a' \\ a+a' \equiv 1 \, (\text{mod } 2)}} 1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a+a' \equiv 1 \, (\text{mod } 2)}} 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} 1 - \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a+a' \equiv 1 \, (\text{mod } 2)}} 1 &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0^2 + A_1^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0 + A_1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0 A_1 + A_1 A_0 \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0 - A_1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0 + A_1 \right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(A_0 + A_1 \right) \end{split}$$

which tends to infinity if the cardinality (= $A_0 + A_1$) of the sequence A tends to infinity. However, of course, the situation is much more complicated for infinite sequences.

For -1 < r < +1, put

$$f(r) = \sum_{a \in A} r^a$$

so that

$$f^{2}(r) = (\sum_{a \in A} r^{a}) (\sum_{a' \in A} r^{a'}) = \sum_{a \in A, a' \in A} r^{a+a'} (= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} R_{1}(n) r^{n})$$

and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} R_3(n) r^n = \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a \leqslant a'}} r^{a+a'} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a \in A, a' \in A \\ a \leqslant a'}} r^{a+a'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leqslant a'}} r^{2a} = \frac{1}{2} \left(f^2(r) + f(r^2) \right).$$

(Note that here and in what follows all the infinite power series are absolutely convergent trivially for -1 < r < +1.)

For -1 < r < +1, put

Problems and Results on Additive Properties of General Sequences, V 18

$$g(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} R_3(n) r^n = \frac{1}{2} (f^2(r) + f(r^2))$$
 (8)

and

$$h(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) r^{2k+1}.$$

Then for 0 < r < 1 we have

$$h(r) = r \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (R_3(2k)r^{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} R_3(2k+1)r^{2k+1}) =$$

$$= r \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2} R_3(n) (r^n + (-r)^n) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2} R_3(n) (r^n - (-r)^n) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} R_3(n) r^n + \frac{1}{2} (1+r) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} R_3(n) (-r)^n =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (1-r) g(r) + \frac{1}{2} (1+r) g(-r) .$$

$$(9)$$

To prove (5), it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-0}} \sup h(r) = + \infty . \tag{10}$$

In fact, if we start from the indirect assumption that (5) does not hold, then there exists a positive real number B such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) \le B \text{ for } N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and hence for all 0 < r < 1,

$$\frac{1}{1-r}h(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r^i \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) r^{2k+1} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[(n-1)/2]} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) r^n \le$$

$$\le \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} B r^n = B \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} r^n = \frac{B}{1-r}$$

so that

$$h(r) \leq B$$

which contradicts (10).

In view of (8) and (9), clearly we have

$$4h(r) = -2(1-r)g(r) + 2(1+r)g(-r) =$$

$$= -(1-r)(f^{2}(r) + f(r^{2})) + (1+r)(f^{2}(-r) + f(r^{2})) = (11)$$

$$= -(1-r)f^{2}(r) + 2rf(r^{2}) + (1+r)f^{2}(-r) \ge$$

$$\ge -(1-r)f^{2}(r) + 2rf(r^{2}).$$

For k = 1, 2, ..., put $r_k = \exp(-1/2^k)$, so that $r_1 < r_2 < ... < 1$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k = 1$,

$$r_{k-1} = r_k^2 \quad \text{(for } k = 2, 3, ...)$$
 (12)

and

$$\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} < 1 - r_k = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2^k}\right) < \frac{1}{2^k} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (13)

since

$$\frac{x}{2} < x \left(1 - \frac{x}{2} \right) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} < 1 - e^{-x} < x \text{ for } 0 < x < 1.$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we write

$$H(k) = h(r_k)$$
 and $F(k) = f(r_k)$.

Furthermore, we put

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup (1 - r_k) F(k)$$
 and $\delta = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \inf (1 - r_k) F(k)$.

3. In order to derive (10) from (11), we have to distinguish four cases.

Case 1. Assume first that

$$\delta < 1$$
 (14)

and

$$\gamma > 0$$
 . (15)

Put $\varrho = \frac{\delta + \gamma}{2}$ so that

$$0 < \varrho < 1 \tag{16}$$

and

$$\rho = \delta = \gamma \text{ if } \delta = \gamma,$$
 (17)

$$\delta < \rho < \gamma \quad \text{if} \quad \delta < \gamma \ . \tag{18}$$

If (17) holds, then

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (1 - r_k) F(k) = \varrho ,$$

hence in view of (14), for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}(1-r_{k-1})F(k-1) > 0 \tag{19}$$

and

$$(1 - r_k)F(k) < (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \varrho$$
 (20)

(19) and (20) imply that

$$(1-r_k)F(k) < (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2} \varrho < (1+\varepsilon)(1-r_{k-1})F(k-1)$$
. (21)

If (18) holds, then by the definition of δ and γ , there exists an infinite sequence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ of positive integers such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(1-r_{k_{2i-1}})F(k_{2i-1}) > \varrho > (1-r_{k_{2i}})F(k_{2i})$$
.

Then for all i, there exists an integer k with $k_{2i-1} > k \ge k_{2i}$ and

$$(1 - r_{k-1})F(k-1) \ge \varrho > (1 - r_k)F(k)$$
(22)

so that (22) holds for infinitely many positive integers k.

Either (21) holds for $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$ or (22) holds for infinitely many k, there exist infinitely many positive integers k with

$$(1-r_k)F(k) < (1+\varepsilon)(1-r_{k-1})F(k-1)$$
.

Hence, in view of (12), $(1 - r_k)F(k) < (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - r_k^2)F(k - 1)$ and

$$F(k) < (1 + \varepsilon)(1 + r_k)F(k - 1)$$
. (23)

In view of (11), (12), (20), (22) and (23), for sufficiently large k we have

$$4h(r_k) = 4H(k) \geqslant -(1 - r_k)f^2(r_k) + 2r_kf(r_k^2) =$$

$$= -(1 - r_k)f^2(r_k) + 2r_k f(r_{k-1}) = -(1 - r_k)F^2(k) + 2r_k F(k-1) >$$

$$> -(1-r_k)F^2(k) + \frac{2r_k}{(1+\varepsilon)(1+r_k)}F(k) >$$
 (24)

$$> -(1 - r_k)F^2(k) + \frac{1}{1 + 2\varepsilon}F(k) = F(k)\left(\frac{1}{1 + 2\varepsilon} - (1 - r_k)F(k)\right) >$$

$$> F(k)\left(\frac{1}{1 + 2\varepsilon} - (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}\varrho\right).$$

If ε is sufficiently small in terms of ϱ , then in view of (16) we have

$$\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon} - (1+\varepsilon)^{1/2}\varrho > \frac{1-\varrho}{2}.$$
 (25)

It follows from (24) and (25) that for infinitely many positive integers k we have

$$4h(r_k) > \frac{1-\varrho}{2}F(k)$$

which tends to $+\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$ since clearly, for infinite sequences A we have

$$\lim_{r\to 1-0} f(r) = + \infty ,$$

and this completes the proof of (10) in Case 1.

Case 2. Assume now that

$$\delta = \gamma = \lim_{k \to +\infty} (1 - r_k) F(k) = 0 . \tag{26}$$

We are going to show that there exist infinitely many positive integers k with

$$F(k) < 4F(k-1) . (27)$$

In fact, let us start from the indirect assumption that there exists a positive integer K such that for $k \ge K$ we have $F(k) \ge 4F(k-1)$ (for $k \ge K$).

This implies by straight induction that for j = 0, 1, 2, ... we have

$$F(K+j) \geqslant 4^{j} F(K) . \tag{28}$$

On the other hand, for all 0 < r < 1,

$$f(r) = \sum_{a \in A} r^a < \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} r^n = \frac{1}{1-r}$$

so that in view of (12),

$$F(K+j) = f(r_{K+j}) = f(r_K^{1/2}) < \frac{1}{1 - r_K^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{1 - r_K} \cdot \frac{1 - r_k}{1 - r_K^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{1 - r_K} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}} r_K^{i/2^j} < \frac{1}{1 - r_K} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}} 1 = \frac{2^j}{1 - r_K}.$$
(29)

It follows from (28) and (29) that

$$\frac{2^{j}}{1 - r_{K}} > 4^{j} F(K) = 4^{j} f(r_{K})$$

but if j is sufficiently large in terms of r_K , then this inequality cannot hold (note that $0 < r_K < 1$ and that f(r) > 0 for all 0 < r < 1), and this contradiction proves the existence of infinitely many positive integers k satisfying (27).

Then in view of (12) and (26), we obtain from (11) that if k satisfies (27) and is sufficiently large,

$$4h(r_k) = 4H(k) \geqslant -(1 - r_k)f^2(r_k) + 2r_kf(r_k^2) =$$

$$= -(1 - r_k)f^2(r_k) + 2r_kf(r_{k-1}) =$$

$$= -(1 - r_k)F^2(k) + 2r_kF(k-1) =$$

$$= -(1 - r_k)F(k) \cdot 4F(k-1) + 2r_kF(k-1) =$$

$$= F(k-1)(-4(1 - r_k)F(k) + 2r_k) >$$

$$> F(k-1)(-\frac{1}{2} + 1) > \frac{1}{2}F(k-1)$$

which tends to $+\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$ (since A is infinite) and this completes the proof of (10) in Case 2.

4. In order to study the cases with $\delta = 1$, we introduce the following notation: we put

$$p(r) = \frac{1}{1 - r} - f(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} r^n - \sum_{a \in A} r^a = \sum_{n \in \bar{A}} r^n$$
 (30)

and

$$P(k) = p(r_k) \quad (k = 1, 2, ...)$$

so that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup (1 - r_k) p(r_k) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup (1 - (1 - r_k) f(r_k)) =$$

$$= 1 - \lim_{k \to +\infty} \inf (1 - r_k) F(k) = 1 - \delta = 0 \text{ for } \delta = 1,$$
(31)

and in view of (4), for arbitrary large positive number L and for $r \rightarrow 1-0$ we have

$$\begin{split} p(r) &= (1-r) \bigg(\frac{1}{1-r} \sum_{n \in \bar{A}} r^n \bigg) = \\ &= (1-r) \bigg(\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r^i \sum_{n \in \bar{A}} r^n \bigg) = (1-r) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \bar{A}(n) r^n > \\ &> (1-r) (O(1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} L(\log n) r^n) = \\ &= o(1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} L(\log n) (r^n - r^{n+1}) = \\ &= o(1) + L \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} (\log n - \log (n-1)) r^n = \\ &= o(1) + L \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \bigg(\log \bigg(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \bigg) \bigg) r^n > \\ &> o(1) + c L \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{r^n}{n} = o(1) + c L \log \frac{1}{1-r} \end{split}$$

(where c is a positive absolute constant). This holds for all L > 0 whence

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-0}} p(r) \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{-1} = + \infty . \tag{32}$$

It follows from (13) and (32) that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{P(k)}{k} \ge \lim_{r \to 1-0} p(r_k) \log 2 \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - r_k} \right)^{-1} = +\infty.$$
 (33)

Finally, in view of (12), it follows from (11) and (30) that

$$4H(k) = 4h(r_k) \ge -(1 - r_k)f^2(r_k) + 2r_kf(r_k^2) =$$

$$= -(1 - r_k)\left(\frac{1}{1 - r_k} - p(r_k)\right)^2 + 2r_k\left(\frac{1}{1 - r_k^2} - p(r_k^2)\right) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 - r_k} + 2P(k) - (1 - r_k)P^2(k) + \frac{2r_k}{1 - r_k^2} - 2r_kP(k - 1) =$$
(34)

Problems and Results on Additive Properties of General Sequences, V 193

$$= -\frac{1}{1+r_k} + 2P(k) - (1-r_k)P^2(k) - 2r_kP(k-1) >$$

> -1 + 2P(k) - (1-r_k)P^2(k) - 2P(k-1).

Case 3. Assume that

$$\delta = 1 \tag{35}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup P(k) (1 - r_k)^{1/2} > 0.$$
 (36)

It follows from (13) and (36) that

$$0 < \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup P(k) (1 - r_k)^{1/2} < \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup P(k) 2^{-k/2} <$$

$$< \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup P(k) e^{-k/4}.$$
(37)

We are going to show that there exist infinitely many integers k with

$$P(k) > e^{1/8} P(k-1)$$
. (38)

In fact, let us start from the indirect assumption that there exists a positive integer K such that for $k \ge K$ we have

$$P(k) \le e^{1/8} P(k-1)$$
 (for $k \ge K$).

This implies by straight induction that for j = 0, 1, 2, ... we have

$$P(K+j) \leqslant e^{j/8} P(K)$$
,

i.e.,

$$P(k) \le e^{-K/8} e^{k/8} P(K)$$
 for $k \ge K$

hence

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup P(k) e^{-k/4} \le \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup e^{-K/8} e^{k/8} P(K) e^{-k/4} =$$

$$= \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sup e^{-K/8} P(K) e^{-k/8} = 0$$

which cannot hold by (37) and this contradiction proves the existence of infinitely many integers k satisfying (38).

Then in view of (31) and (33), we obtain from (34) that if k satisfies (38) and is sufficiently large,

$$4H(k) > -1 + 2P(k) - (1 - r_k)P^2(k) - 2P(k - 1) >$$

> -1 + 2P(k) - (1 - r_k)P^2(k) - 2e^{-1/8}P(k) =

$$= P(k) \left(-\frac{1}{P(k)} + 2 - (1 - r_k) P(k) - 2 e^{-1/8} \right)$$

$$> P(k) \left(-\frac{1}{k} + 2 - o(1) - 2 e^{-1/8} \right) =$$

$$= P(k) \left(2(1 - e^{-1/8}) - o(1) \right) > (1 - e^{-1/8}) P(k)$$

which, by (33) and $1 - e^{-1/8} > 0$, tends to $+\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$ and this completes the proof of (10) in Case 3.

Case 4. Assume finally that $\delta = 1$ and

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} P(k) (1 - r_k)^{1/2} = 0 . {39}$$

Then in view of (33), (34) and (39), for sufficiently large N we have

$$4\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=2}^{N}H(k) \ge \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=2}^{N}(-1+2P(k)-(1-r_k)P^2(k)-2P(k-1)) >$$

$$> -1+\frac{2}{N}\sum_{k=2}^{N}(P(k)-P(k-1))-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=2}^{N}(1-r_k)P^2(k) >$$

$$> -1+2P(N)N^{-1}-2P(1)N^{-1}-N^{-1}\sum_{k=2}^{N}(P(k)(1-r_k)^{1/2})^2 >$$

$$> -1+2P(N)N^{-1}-1-N^{-1}(O(1)+\sum_{k=2}^{N}1) >$$

$$> -1+2P(N)N^{-1}-1-2>P(N)N^{-1}$$

which, by (33), tends to $+\infty$ as $N \to +\infty$ and this proves (10) also in Case 4 which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $B = \{17, 64, ..., 4^{2k} + 1, 4^{2k+1}, ...\}$ and define the sequence A by

$$A = \bar{B} - \{0\} = \{1, 2, 3, ..., n, ...\} - B$$
.

This sequence A satisfies (6) trivially. We are going to show that it satisfies also (7).

Let us write

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B \end{cases}$$

and

$$B_0(n) = \sum_{\substack{b \le n, b \in B \\ b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{b \le n, b \in B \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} 1$$

so that

$$B_0(n) + B_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{b \in B \\ b \le n}} 1 = B(n),$$

and by the construction of the sequence B,

$$|B_0(n) - B_1(n)| \le 1$$
 for all n . (40)

Clearly we have

$$R_3(n) = \sum_{i \le n/2} (1 - \eta(i))(1 - \eta(n - i)) =$$

$$= \sum_{i \le n/2} 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta(i) - \eta(n/2) + \sum_{i \le n/2} \eta(i) \eta(n - i) =$$

$$= \sum_{i \le n/2} 1 - B(n - 1) + \sum_{i \le n/2} \eta(i) \eta(n - i).$$

Hence

$$R_{3}(2k) - R_{3}(2k+1) =$$

$$= (\sum_{i \le k} 1 - \sum_{i \le k+1/2} 1) + (B(2k) - B(2k-1)) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i \le k-1} \eta(i) \eta(2k-i) - \sum_{i \le k} \eta(i) \eta(2k+1-i) =$$

$$= \eta(2k) + \sum_{i \le k-1} \eta(i) \eta(2k-i) - \sum_{i \le k} \eta(i) \eta(2k+1-i)$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \eta(2k) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i \le k-1} \eta(i) \eta(2k-i) - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i \le k} \eta(i) \eta(2k+1-i) =$$

$$= B_0(2N) + \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$$
(41)

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i \le k-1} \eta(i) \eta(2k-i)$$
 and $\Sigma_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i \le k} \eta(i) \eta(2k+1-i)$.

Here Σ_1 is the number of solutions of

$$b + b' < 2N + 1, b + b' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, b < b', b \in B, b' \in B, (42)$$

while Σ_2 is the number of solutions of

$$b + b' < 2N + 1, b + b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b < b', b \in B, b' \in B$$
. (43)

Let us define j by

$$b_j < 2N + 1 \leqslant b_{j+1}$$
,

and let us classify the pairs satisfying (42) according to that whether $b' < b_j$ or $b' = b_j$. If $b' < b_j$, then the pair b, b' in (42) can be chosen in $\binom{B_0(b_j-1)}{2}$ ways from the $B_0(b_j-1)$ integers b with $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $b \leqslant b_j-1$, $b \in B$, or it can be chosen in $\binom{B_1(b_j-1)}{2}$ ways from the $B_1(b_j-1)$ integers b with $b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $b \leqslant b_j-1$, $b \in B$. Furthermore, if $b' = b_j$, then b in (42) can be any of the integers b with $b \equiv b_j \pmod{2}$, $b \leqslant 2N+1-b_j$, $b \in B$, apart from the case $2b_j \leqslant 2N+1$ when $b=b_j$ must not occur. Thus writing

$$\theta_N = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \, b_j \le 2 \, N + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \, b_i > 2 \, N + 1 \end{cases},$$

we have

$$\Sigma_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{0}(b_{j} - 1) \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}(b_{j} - 1) \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\substack{b \equiv b/(\text{mod } 2) \\ b \leq 2N+1-b_{b}b \in B}} 1 - \theta_{N} . \tag{44}$$

Similarly, if $b' < b_j$ in (43), then b, b' in (43) can be any of the $B_0(b_j-1)B_1(b_j-1)$ pairs b, b' with $b \not\equiv b' (\text{mod } 2), b \leqslant b_j-1, b' \leqslant b_j-1, b \in B, b' \in B$. If $b' = b_j$ in (43), then b can be any integer with $b \not\equiv b_j (\text{mod } 2), b \leqslant 2N+1-b_j, b \in B$ so that

$$\Sigma_2 = B_0(b_j - 1) B_1(b_j - 1) - \sum_{\substack{b \neq b, (\text{mod } 2) \\ b \leqslant 2N + 1 - b_i, b \in B}} 1.$$
 (45)

It follows from (41), (44) and (45) that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(R_3(2\,k) - R_3(2\,k+1) \right) = \\ &= B_0(2\,N) + \left(\binom{B_0(b_j-1)}{2} + \binom{B_1(b_j-1)}{2} - B_0(b_j-1)B_1(b_j-1) \right) + \\ &+ \left(\sum_{\substack{b \equiv b_j \pmod{2} \\ b \leqslant 2\,N+1-b_j, b \in B}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{b \not\equiv b_j \pmod{2} \\ b \leqslant 2\,N+1-b_j, b \in B}} 1 \right) - \theta_N \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(B_0(b_j-1) - B_1(b_j-1) \right)^2 + |B_0(2\,N) - B_0(b_j-1)| + \end{split}$$

hence, in view of (40),

 $+\frac{1}{2}|B_0(b_i-1)-B_1(b_i-1)|$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (R_3(2k) - R_3(2k+1)) \le \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} = 2$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

[1] ERDÖS, P.: Problems and results in additive number theory. Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres (CBRM) (Bruxelles, 1956), 127—137.

[2] ERDÖS, P., and RÉNYI, A.: Additive properties of random sequences of

positive integers. Acta Arith. 6, 83-110 (1960).

[3] ERDÖS, P., SÁRKÖZY, A.: Problems and results on additive properties of

general sequences, I. Pacific J. Math. 118, 347-357 (1985).

[4] ERDÖS, P., SÁRKÖZY, A.: Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, II. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. To appear.

[5] ERDÖS, P., SÁRKÖZY, A., SÓS, V.T.: Problems and results on additive

properties of general sequences, III. Studia Sci. Math. Hung. To appear.

[6] ERDÖS, P., SÁRKÖZY, A., SÓS, V.T.: Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, IV. In: Number Theory. Proc., Ootacamund, India. Lect. Notes Math. 1122, pp. 85—104. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer. 1984.

[7] HALBERSTAM, H., ROTH, K. F.: Sequences. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer, 1983.

P. ERDÖS, A. SÁRKÖZY and V. T. SÓS Mathematical Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences Reáltanoda u. 13—15 Budapest, Hungary