

RECIPROCAL OF CERTAIN LARGE ADDITIVE FUNCTIONS

BY

J.-M. DE KONINCK, P. ERDŐS AND A. IVIĆ

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $\beta(n) = \sum_{p|n} p$ and $B(n) = \sum_{p^a|n} \alpha p$ denote the sum of distinct prime divisors of n and the sum of all prime divisors of n respectively. Both $\beta(n)$ and $B(n)$ are additive functions which are in a certain sense large (the average order of $B(n)$ is $\pi^2 n / (6 \log n)$, [1]). For a fixed integer m the number of solutions of $B(n) = m$, is the number of partitions of m into primes, while the number of solutions of $\beta(n) = m$, $\mu^2(n) = 1$ is the number of partitions of m into distinct primes. There is a certain analogy between the relation of $\beta(n)$ to $B(n)$ and the relation of the well-known additive functions $\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1$ and $\Omega(n) = \sum_{p^a|n} \alpha$. Asymptotic estimates of $B(n)$ were investigated in [1], revealing the connection between $B(n)$ and large prime factors of n . In this paper we turn our attention to sums involving reciprocals of $\beta(n)$ and $B(n)$. We shall prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$,

$$(1) \quad x \exp(-(2+\varepsilon)(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}) \leq \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/B(n) \\ \leq \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\beta(n) \leq x \exp(-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}).$$

THEOREM 2. There exist positive constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B(n)/\beta(n) = x + O(x \exp(-C_1(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2})),$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \beta(n)/B(n) = x + O(x \exp(-C_2(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2})).$$

THEOREM 3.

$$(4) \quad \sum'_{n \leq x} 1/(B(n) - \beta(n)) = Cx + O(x^{1/2} \log x),$$

Received by the editors October 9, 1979 and in revised form January 29, 1980 and March 4, 1980

where

$$(5) \quad C = \int_0^1 (F(t) - 6\pi^{-2})t^{-1} dt, \quad F(t) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (t^{p(k-1)} - t^{p(k-2)})p^{-k} \right),$$

and \sum' denotes summation over $n \leq x$ such that $B(n) \neq \beta(n)$.

2. Proofs

We first prove the lower bound in (1). Let

$$A_k = \{n \mid (n \leq x) \wedge (\mu^2(n) = 1) \wedge (p(n) \leq x^{1/k})\},$$

where we shall use $p(n)$ to denote the largest prime factor of n , x will be sufficiently large and $k = (\log x / \log \log x)^{1/2}$. If n is a product of k different primes each not exceeding $x^{1/k}$, then $n \in A_k$. There at least $U = 3kx^{1/k}/(4 \log x)$ primes not exceeding $x^{1/k}$, which means

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n \in A_k} 1 \geq \binom{U}{k} = \frac{U(U-1) \cdots (U-k+1)}{k!} \geq (\frac{2}{3}U)^k/k!,$$

since $U-k+1 \geq 2U/3$ for x sufficiently large. From Stirling's formula or by induction it is seen that $(k/2)^k > k!$ for $k \geq 6$, which when combined with (6) gives

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n \in A_k} 1 \geq x \log^{-k} x.$$

Now for $n \in A_k$ we have $B(n) = \beta(n) \leq p(n)\omega(n) \ll \frac{x^{1/k} \log x}{\log \log x}$, hence

$$(8) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \in A_k} 1/B(n) = \sum_{2 \leq n \in A_k} 1/\beta(n) \gg x^{-1/k} \log^{-1} x \sum_{n \in A_k} 1 \\ \geq x^{1-1/k} \log^{-k-1} x = x \exp(-2(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}) \log^{-1} x,$$

which proves the lower bound in (1). To prove the upper bound in (1) write

$$(9) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\beta(n) = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x, p(n) \leq y} 1/\beta(n) + \sum_{n \leq x, p(n) > y} 1/\beta(n) \\ \leq \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x, p(n) \leq y} 1 + y^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x, p(n) > y} 1 \leq \psi(x, y) + xy^{-1}$$

where $y = y(x) > 2$ will be suitably chosen in a moment. For the function

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{n \leq x, p(n) \leq y} 1$$

we use the following estimate of [2]:

$$(10) \quad \psi(x, y) < c_3 x \log^2 y \cdot \exp(-\alpha(\log \alpha + \log \log \alpha - c_4)),$$

where c_3 and c_4 are some positive, absolute constants, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y = \infty$ and

$$(11) \quad 3 < \alpha = \log x / \log y < 4y^{1/2} / (\log y).$$

Now we choose

$$(12) \quad y = \exp((\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}).$$

Then (11) is satisfied for $x \geq x_0$ and

$$(13) \quad \psi(x, y) \ll_{\varepsilon} x \exp(-(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}),$$

where \ll_{ε} means that the constant implied by the symbol \ll depends on ε only. Substitution in (9) then gives the right-hand side inequality in (1), finishing the proof of Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 2 it is enough to prove (2), since trivially

$$(14) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \beta(n)/B(n) \leq x + O(1),$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$(15) \quad x^2 + O(x) \leq \left(\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1 \right)^2 \leq \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B(n)/\beta(n) \sum_{2 \leq m \leq x} \beta(m)/B(m),$$

so that (2) then implies (3). Let

$$(16) \quad S = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B(n)/\beta(n) = S_1 + S_2,$$

where in S_1 summation is over $2 \leq n \leq x$ such that $B(n) < k\beta(n)$, and in S_2 over $2 \leq n \leq x$ such that $B(n) \geq k\beta(n)$, where $k = k(x)$ is a large number which will be suitably chosen later. Note that if $B(n) \geq r\beta(n)$ for some integer $r \geq 2$, then n must be divisible by p^r for some prime p , so that the number of $n \leq x$ for which p^r divides n for some p is $\ll \sum_p x p^{-r} \ll x 2^{-r}$. Then we have

$$(17) \quad S_2 = \sum_{r \geq k} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x, r \leq B(n)/\beta(n) < r+1} B(n)/\beta(n) \ll \sum_{r \geq k} x(r+1)2^{-r} \ll x \exp(-C_3 k)$$

for some $C_3 > 0$. To estimate S_1 write

$$(18) \quad S_1 = S'_1 + S''_1.$$

In S''_1 , summation is over $2 \leq n \leq x$ such that $B(n) < k\beta(n)$ and n is divisible by p^2 for some prime $p > L$, where $L = L(x)$ is a large number that will be suitably chosen. Thus we obtain

$$(19) \quad S''_1 \ll k \sum_{n^2 m \leq x, n > L} 1 \ll k \sum_{n > L} x n^{-2} \ll kx/L.$$

If $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_i^{a_i}$ is counted in S'_1 then $a_j = 1$ for $p_j > L$ and $j = 1, \dots, i$, which implies

$$(20) \quad B(n) = (a_1 - 1)p_1 + \cdots + (a_i - 1)p_i + \beta(n) \leq L(a_1 + \cdots + a_i - i) + \beta(n) \\ \leq L(\Omega(n) - \omega(n)) + \beta(n) \leq L(\log x / \log 2) + \beta(n).$$

Therefore we have

$$(21) \quad S'_1 \leq \sum_{n \leq x} 1 + L(\log x / \log 2) \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\beta(n) \\ \leq x + O(xL \log x \cdot \exp(-C_4(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2})),$$

where we have used (1) to estimate $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\beta(n)$. From (16)–(21) we obtain

$$(22) \quad S \leq x + O(kx/L) + O(x \exp(-C_3k)) \\ + O(xL \log x \cdot \exp(-C_4(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2})).$$

Noting that trivially $S \geq x + O(1)$ and choosing

$$(23) \quad k = (\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2},$$

$$(24) \quad L = \exp(C_5(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}), \quad C_5 = C_4/2,$$

we obtain (2) from (22).

To prove Theorem 3 we employ an analytical method. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and observe that $t^{B(n)-\beta(n)}$ is a multiplicative function of n satisfying $t^{B(p^k)-\beta(p^k)} = t^{p(k-1)}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and every prime p . Therefore for $\text{Re } s > 1$

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{B(n)-\beta(n)} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 + p^{-s} + t^p p^{-2s} + t^{2p} p^{-3s} + \dots) \\ = \zeta(s) \prod_p (1 + (t^p - 1)p^{-2s} + (t^{2p} - t^p)p^{-3s} + \dots) = \zeta(s)G(s, t),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function and for $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$

$$(26) \quad G(s, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n, t)n^{-s},$$

and $g(n, t)$ is a multiplicative function of n for which $g(p, t) = 0$ and $|g(p^k, t)| \leq 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Therefore uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we have

$$(27) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n, t)| \ll x^{1/2},$$

and by partial summation we subsequently obtain

$$(28) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} t^{B(n)-\beta(n)} = \sum_{n \leq x} g(n, t)[x/n] = x \sum_{n \leq x} g(n, t)/n + O\left(\sum_{n \leq x} |g(n, t)|\right) \\ = xG(1, t) + O(x^{1/2}),$$

where

$$G(1, t) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (t^{p(k-1)} - t^{p(k-2)})p^{-k}\right) = F(t),$$

and therefore

$$F(0) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-2}) = 6/\pi^2.$$

Note that $B(n) = \beta(n)$ if and only if n is squarefree. Therefore we have uniformly in t

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} t^{B(n) - \beta(n) - 1} &= \sum_{n \leq x, B(n) \neq \beta(n)} t^{B(n) - \beta(n) - 1} \\ &= xt^{-1}F(t) + O(x^{1/2}t^{-1}) - \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n)t^{-1} \\ &= x(F(t) - 6/\pi^2)t^{-1} + O(x^{1/2}t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F(0) = 6/\pi^2$ the function $(F(t) - 6/\pi^2)t^{-1}$ is continuous for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and we obtain the conclusion of the theorem integrating (29) over t from $\varepsilon(x) = x^{-2/3}$ to 1, since

$$(30) \quad \int_{\varepsilon(x)}^1 \sum_{n \leq x} t^{B(n) - \beta(n) - 1} dt = \sum_{n \leq x} 1/(B(n) - \beta(n)) + O(x^{1/3}),$$

$$(31) \quad x \int_0^{\varepsilon(x)} (F(t) - 6/\pi^2)t^{-1} dt \ll x\varepsilon(x) = x^{1/3},$$

$$(32) \quad \int_{\varepsilon(x)}^1 O(x^{1/2}t^{-1}) dt \ll x^{1/2} \log 1/\varepsilon(x) \ll x^{1/2} \log x.$$

3. Some remarks

It seems probable that the inequalities (1) may be replaced by asymptotic formulae, viz.

$$(33) \quad \log \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/B(n) \sim \log x - C(\log x \cdot \log \log x)^{1/2}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad C > 0$$

(and a similar formula with $\beta(n)$ instead of $B(n)$), but we are unable to prove (33). Our results concerning $B(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ may be compared with corresponding results for "small" additive functions $\Omega(n)$ and $\omega(n)$. Utilizing essentially the method of proof of Theorem 3 it was shown in [3] that

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\Omega(n) &= x/\log \log x + a_2x/(\log \log x)^2 + \cdots + a_{N-1}x/(\log \log x)^{N-1} \\ &\quad + O(x/(\log \log x)^N), \end{aligned}$$

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/\omega(n) &= x/\log \log x + b_2x/(\log \log x)^2 + \cdots + b_{N-1}x/(\log \log x)^{N-1} \\ &\quad + O(x/(\log \log x)^N), \end{aligned}$$

where the a_i 's and b_i 's are computable constants and N is arbitrary, but fixed.

Similarly [4] contains a proof that

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \Omega(n)/\omega(n) &= x + c_1x/\log \log x + \cdots + c_{N-1}x/(\log \log x)^{N-1} \\ &\quad + O(x/(\log \log x)^N), \end{aligned}$$

and the formulae (34)–(36) are further sharpened in [5].

The degree of sharpness of the above formulae is not attained in our theorems concerning $\beta(n)$ and $B(n)$, which is to be expected since $\beta(n)$ and $B(n)$ are much larger functions than $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$, possessing notably wider fluctuations in size.

It is clear that the method of proof of Theorem 2 would yield (2) and (3) with $B(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ replaced by $B^m(n)$ and $\beta^m(n)$ respectively, where m is a fixed positive integer. Our methods also work in the general case of other large additive functions defined by

$$f(n) = \sum_{p|n} h(p), \quad F(n) = \sum_{p^a||n} \alpha h(p),$$

where for some fixed $K, \gamma > 0$ and a fixed real δ we have

$$h(x) = \exp(K \log^\gamma x \cdot (\log \log x)^\delta).$$

For other results and problems concerning $B(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ the reader is referred to [1].

Closely related to $B(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ is the function $B_1(n) = \sum_{p^a||n} p^a$. From $B_1(n) \geq \beta(n)$ and the fact that $B_1(n) = B(n) = \beta(n)$ if $n \in A_k$ (the set defined at the beginning of §2) we conclude that the bounds of Theorem 1 hold also for

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} 1/B_1(n).$$

It seems likely that

$$(37) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B_1(n)/\beta(n) = (c_1 + o(1))x \log \log x$$

and

$$(38) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B_1(n)/B(n) = (C + o(1))x, \quad C > 0.$$

We can rigorously prove at present only

$$(39) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B_1(n)/\beta(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}x \log \log x + o(x \log \log x).$$

To see this let $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ be the primes not exceeding x . Suppose $p_i^l \leq x < p_i^{l+1}$ ($i \leq k$) and define $t_i \geq 1$ by

$$(40) \quad t_i p_i^l \leq x < (t_i + 1)p_i^l,$$

so that $t_i < p_i$. Then we have

$$(41) \quad S = \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} B_1(n)/\beta(n) > \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=t_i}^{p_i} B_1(sp_i^l)/\beta(sp_i^l),$$

Now $\beta(sp_i^l) \leq \beta(s) + \beta(p_i^l) \leq s + p_i \leq t_i + p_i < 2p_i$ and $B_1(sp_i^l) \geq p_i^l$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} S &> \sum_{i=k}^x \sum_{s \leq t_i} p_i^l / (2p_i) \geq \sum_{i=k}^x t_i p_i^l / (2p_i) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=k}^x (xp_i^{-l} - 1) p_i^{l-1} \\ &\geq \frac{x}{2} \sum_{i=k}^x 1/p_i + O\left(\sum_{i=k}^x p_i^{l-1}\right) \geq \frac{x}{2} \log \log x + o(x \log \log x), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{p \leq x} 1/p = \log \log x + O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=k}^x p_i^{l-1} = o(x \log \log x).$$

REFERENCES

1. K. Alladi and P. Erdős, *On an additive arithmetic function*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 275-294.
2. N. G. de Bruijn, *On the number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors $> y$* , Indag. Math. **13** (1951), 50-60.
3. J.-M. De Koninck, *On a class of arithmetical functions*, Duke Math. J. **39** (1972), 807-818.
4. J.-M. De Koninck, *Sums of quotients of additive functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 35-38.
5. J.-M. De Koninck and A. Ivić, *Sums of reciprocals of certain additive functions*, Manuscripta Math. **30** (1980), 329-341.

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL, QUÉBEC
G1K 7P4, CANADA.

PAUL ERDŐS
HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ
RUDARSKO-GEOLOŠKI FAKULTET
UNIVERSITETA U BEOGRADU
DRUŠINA 7, 11000 BEOGRAD
YUGOSLAVIA