

## A THEOREM IN THE PARTITION CALCULUS

BY

P. ERDÖS AND E. C. MILNER<sup>(1)</sup>

1. **Introduction** If  $S$  is an ordered set we write  $\text{tp } S$  to denote the order type of  $S$  and  $|S|$  for the cardinal of  $S$ . We also write  $[S]^k$  for the set  $\{X: X \subset S, |X|=k\}$ . The partition symbol

$$(1) \quad \alpha \rightarrow (\beta_0, \beta_1)^2$$

connecting the order types  $\alpha, \beta_0, \beta_1$  by definition (see [2]) means: if  $\text{tp } S = \alpha$  and  $[S]^2$  is partitioned in any way into two sets  $K_0, K_1$  then there are  $i < 2$  and  $B \subset S$  such that  $\text{tp } B = \beta_i$  and  $[B]^2 \subset K_i$ . The negation of (1) is written as  $\alpha \not\rightarrow (\beta_0, \beta_1)^2$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove that

$$(2) \quad \omega^{1+h} \rightarrow (2^h, \omega^{1+r})^2$$

holds for  $h < \omega$  and  $r < \omega_1$ . We have known this result since 1959. It has been quoted in lectures on the partition calculus by Erdős and there is mention of the theorem in the literature ([3], [7], [11]). A proof was given in Milner's thesis [6]. However, we have been asked for details of the proof on several occasions and so it seems desirable to have a reference which is more readily available than [6].

For finite  $k$ , (2) gives

$$(3) \quad \omega^{4k+1} \rightarrow (4, \omega^{2k+1})^2,$$

and this should be contrasted with the negative relation

$$(4) \quad \alpha \not\rightarrow (3, \omega^{2k+1})^2 \quad (\alpha < \omega^{2k+1})$$

proved in [7]. We know that (3) is not best possible. For example, it is known that

$$\omega^4 \rightarrow (4, \omega^3)^2, \quad \omega^4 \not\rightarrow (5, \omega^3)^2.$$

These results were first proved by A. Hajnal, then by F. Galvin and, more recently by Haddad and Sabbagh [11]. These authors independently discovered a finite algorithm for deciding the truth value of (1) for the case  $\alpha < \omega^\omega$ . Hajnal and Galvin did not publish their results but a preliminary account of the algorithm is described in the papers by Haddad and Sabbagh ([9], [10], [11]). Quite recently Chang [1] proved that  $\omega^\omega \rightarrow (3, \omega^\omega)^2$  and Milner (unpublished) generalized this by proving that

$$\omega^\omega \rightarrow (m, \omega^\omega)^2 \quad (m < \omega).$$

Received by the editors February 12, 1971 and, in revised form, April 27, 1971.

<sup>(1)</sup> Research supported by National Research Council Grant A-5198.

This again shows that (2) is far from being best possible. Even so, it is still the best general positive result of this kind known to us and so it remains of interest.<sup>(2)</sup>

We should like to express our gratitude to the referee for a number of useful comments. In particular, the proof of (9) follows a suggestion of the referee and is simpler than our original version.

2. The order relation in an ordered set will always be denoted by  $<$ . If  $A, B$  are subsets of the ordered set  $S$ , we write  $A < B$  if  $a < b$  holds for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We also write

$$S = \bigcup_{v \in N} A_v \quad (<)$$

to indicate that  $S$  and  $N$  are ordered sets,  $S = \bigcup_{v \in N} A_v$  and  $A_\mu < A_\nu$  holds whenever  $\mu, \nu \in N$  and  $\mu < \nu$ . We write  $\text{tp } A \geq \text{tp } B$  if there is a subset  $A' \subset A$  which is order isomorphic to  $B$ . If  $\alpha, \beta$  are order types we write  $\alpha \approx \beta$  if  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

An order type  $\alpha$  is *additively indecomposable* (AI) if  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$  implies that either  $\beta \geq \alpha$  or  $\gamma \geq \alpha$ .  $\alpha$  is *right-AI* if  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ ,  $\gamma \neq 0$  implies  $\gamma \geq \alpha$ ; *left-AI* is similarly defined. The type  $\alpha$  is *strongly indecomposable* (SI) if whenever  $\text{tp } A = \alpha$ ,  $A = B \cup C$ , then either  $\text{tp } B \geq \alpha$  or  $\text{tp } C \geq \alpha$ . Clearly SI implies AI. We say  $\alpha$  is *right* (*left*)-SI if it is SI and *right* (*left*)-AI. It is well known that the AI ordinal numbers are 0 and powers of  $\omega$  and these are even right-SI (e.g., see [8]).

A type  $\alpha$  is said to be *scattered* if  $\alpha \not\geq \eta$ , the order type of the rationals. Laver [5] proved that the scattered types are well-quasiordered and an easy consequence of this (e.g. [4]) is that a scattered set is the union of a finite number of sets whose types are SI. We will say that  $\beta$  is a *strong type* if, whenever  $\text{tp } B = \beta$  and  $D \subset B$ , then there are  $n < \omega$  and sets  $D_1, \dots, D_n \subset D$  such that

- (5)  $\text{tp } D_i$  is SI for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  
 (6) if  $M \subset D$  and  $\text{tp } (M \cap D_i) \geq \text{tp } D_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\text{tp } M \approx \text{tp } D$ .

From Cantor's classical theorem that an ordinal number is expressible as a finite sum of SI ordinal numbers, it follows that an ordinal number  $\alpha$  and its reverse  $\alpha^*$  are strong types. We mistakenly thought that any scattered type is strong, but the simple example  $(\omega^* + \omega)\omega^2$  pointed out to us by R. Laver, shows that this is false. Our theorem stated in the next section, which implies (2), is valid for any strong denumerable type  $\beta$ . We conjecture that the result is true for any denumerable type  $\beta$ .

**Added in Proof.** F. Galvin has now settled this conjecture. His proof of the stronger result will appear in a later issue of the Bulletin.

<sup>(2)</sup> **Added in Proof.** Jean Larson has since found a much simpler proof of the relation  $\omega^\omega \rightarrow (m, \omega^\omega)^2$  ( $m < \omega$ ). Eva Nosal has recently obtained several strong results of this kind. In particular, she proved that  $\omega^{1+v(h+1)-h} \rightarrow (2^h + 1, \omega^{1+v})^2$  for  $1 \leq h < \omega$  and  $2 \leq v < \omega$ . This shows, rather surprisingly, that in general (2) cannot be substantially improved. For example, (2) gives  $\omega^9 \rightarrow (4, \omega^5)^2$  whereas Eva Nosal's negative result gives  $\omega^8 \rightarrow (3, \omega^5)^2$  and  $\omega^{12} \rightarrow (5, \omega^5)^2$ .

3. We shall prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\alpha$  be right-SI and let  $\beta$  be any strong denumerable type. If  $2 \leq k < \omega$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow (k, \gamma)^2$ , then*

$$(7) \quad \alpha\beta \rightarrow (2k, \gamma \vee \omega\beta)^2.$$

**REMARKS.** (a) In (7) we use the partition symbol with alternatives and the precise meaning of this is the following: If  $\text{tp } S = \alpha\beta$  and  $[S]^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$ , then either

- (i) there is  $X \in [S]^{2k}$  such that  $[X]^2 \subset K_0$ , or
- (ii) there is  $C \subset S$  such that  $\text{tp } C = \gamma$  and  $[C]^2 \subset K_1$ , or
- (iii) there is  $Z \subset S$  such that  $\text{tp } Z = \omega\beta$  and  $[Z]^2 \subset K_1$ .

(b) If we change the hypothesis on  $\alpha$  from right-SI to left-SI, we obtain the analogous result that

$$\alpha\beta \rightarrow (2k, \gamma \vee \omega^*\beta)^2.$$

(c) Suppose (2) holds for some integer  $h \geq 1$ . Applying the above theorem with  $k=2^h$ ,  $\alpha = \omega^{2^{h+1}}$ ,  $\beta = \omega^*$ ,  $\gamma = \omega^{2^h}$ , we see that (2) also holds with  $h$  replaced by  $h+1$ . Since (2) holds trivially for  $h=1$ , it follows that (2) holds for all  $h < \omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem.** Let  $\text{tp } S = \alpha\beta$ ,  $[S]^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$ . If  $\alpha=1$ , the hypothesis  $\alpha \rightarrow (k, \gamma)^2$  implies that  $\gamma \leq 1$  and (ii) above holds. Similarly, if  $\beta=0$ , then (iii) holds. We may therefore assume that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ . We shall assume that statements (i) and (ii) in Remark (a) above are both false and deduce (iii).

Throughout the proof  $B$  denotes a fixed set of type  $\beta$  and the letter  $A(A', A_v, \text{etc.})$  always denotes a subset of  $S$  of type  $\alpha$ . If  $x \in S$  and  $i < 2$  we define  $K_i(x) = \{y \in S : \langle x, y \rangle \in K_i\}$ , also if  $X \subset S$  we define  $K_i(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X} K_i(x)$ .

(8) *If  $A \subset S$ , then there is  $X \in [A]^k$  such that  $[X]^2 \subset K_0$ .*

This follows from the hypothesis  $\alpha \rightarrow (k, \gamma)^2$  and the assumed falsity of (ii).

(9) *Suppose  $D \subset B$ ,  $A_v \subset S(v \in D)$ ,  $A \subset S$ . For  $x \in A$  let*

$$M(x) = \{v \in D : \text{tp}(K_1(x) \cap A_v) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Then

$$\text{tp}\{x \in A : \text{tp } M(x) \geq \text{tp } D\} \geq \alpha.$$

We prove this first with the added assumption that  $\text{tp } D$  is SI. Suppose the conclusion is false. Then  $\text{tp } A' \geq \alpha$ , where  $A' = \{x \in A : \text{tp } M(x) \not\geq \text{tp } D\}$ . By (8) there is  $X \in [A']^k$  such that  $[X]^2 \subset K_0$ . From the assumption that  $\text{tp } D$  is SI it follows that there is  $v \in D - \bigcup_{x \in X} M(x)$ . Then  $\text{tp}(K_1(x) \cap A_v) \not\geq \alpha$  for  $x \in X$  and hence  $\text{tp}(K_0(X) \cap A_v) \geq \alpha$ . Therefore, by (8) again, there is  $Y \in [K_0(X) \cap A_v]^k$  such that  $[Y]^2 \subset K_0$ . This gives the contradiction that  $|X \cup Y| = 2k$  and  $[X \cup Y]^2 \subset K_0$ .

Assume now that  $D$  is any subset of  $B$ . Since  $\beta$  is strong there are sets  $D_1, \dots, D_n \subset D$  such that (5) and (6) hold. Applying (9) successively to  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , we

see that there is  $A'' \subset A$  such that  $\text{tp}(M(x) \cap D_i) \geq \text{tp} D_i$  for all  $x \in A''$  and  $i=1, \dots, n$ . It follows from (6) that  $\text{tp} M(x) \geq \text{tp} D$  for  $x \in A''$  and this completes the proof of (9).

As a special case of (9) (with  $\text{tp} D=1$ ) we have:

(9') If  $A, A' \subset S$ , then  $\text{tp}\{x \in A' : \text{tp}(K_1(x) \cap A) \geq \alpha\} \geq \alpha$ .

(10) Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $B$ ,  $S' = \bigcup (v \in B)A_v(<)$ ,  $A \subset S$ . Then there are  $x_0 \in A$  and a strictly increasing map  $g: B \rightarrow B$  such that  $g(v)=v$  ( $v \in F$ ) and  $\text{tp}(K_1(x_0) \cap A_{g(v)}) \geq \alpha(v \in B)$ .

We may write  $B = D_0 \cup \{v_1\} \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup \{v_p\} \cup D_p(<)$ , where  $F = \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ . For  $x \in A$ , put  $M(x) = \{v \in B : \text{tp}(K_1(x) \cap A_v) \geq \alpha\}$ . By a finite number of applications of (9') it follows that there is  $A' \subset A$  such that  $F \subset M(x)$  for all  $x \in A'$ . If the assertion (10) is false, then for each  $x \in A'$  there is  $\lambda(x) \leq p$  such that  $\text{tp}(M(x) \cap D_{\lambda(x)}) \not\geq \text{tp} D_{\lambda(x)}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is strongly indecomposable, there is  $A'' \subset A'$  such that  $\lambda(x) = \lambda$  for all  $x \in A''$ . Then  $\text{tp}(M(x) \cap D_\lambda) \not\geq \text{tp} D_\lambda$  ( $x \in A''$ ), a contradiction against (9).

We now conclude the proof of the theorem.

Since  $\beta$  is denumerable and nonzero, there is a sequence  $(\gamma_n : n < \omega)$  which repeats each element of  $B$  infinitely often, i.e. such that

$$(11) \quad |\{n : \gamma_n = v\}| = \aleph_0 \quad (v \in B).$$

Since  $\text{tp} S = \alpha\beta$ , we may write  $S = S^{(0)} = \bigcup (v \in B)A_v^{(0)}(<)$ .

Let  $n < \omega$  and suppose we have already chosen elements  $x_i \in S(i < n)$  and a subset

$$(12) \quad S^{(n)} = \bigcup (v \in B)A_v^{(n)}(<)$$

of  $S$  of order type  $\alpha\beta$ . Since  $\alpha$  is right-SI,  $A_{\gamma_n}^{(n)}$  contains a final section  $A'$  such that  $A_{\gamma_n}^{(n)} \cap \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \subset A'$ . By (10), there are  $x_n \in A'$ , a strictly increasing map  $g_n: B \rightarrow B$  and sets  $A_v^{(n+1)}(v \in B)$  such that

$$(13) \quad g_n(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i \quad (i \leq n),$$

$$(14) \quad A_v^{(n+1)} \subset K_1(x_n) \cap A_{g_n(v)}^{(n)} \quad (v \in B).$$

From the definition of  $A'$ , it follows that

$$(15) \quad x_n \in A_{\gamma_n}^{(n)} \subset S^{(n)}$$

and

$$(16) \quad x_i < x_n \text{ if } i < n \text{ and } x_i \in A_{\gamma_n}^{(n)}.$$

$S^{(n+1)}$  is defined by equation (12) with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$ . It follows by induction that there are  $x_n, A_v^{(n)}(v \in B), S^{(n)}$  and  $g_n$  such that (12)–(16) hold for  $n < \omega$ .

Let  $Z = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ . If  $m < n < \omega$ , then by (15), (14), and (12) we have that

$$x_n \in S^{(n)} \subset S^{(m+1)} \subset K_1(x_m).$$

Therefore,  $[Z]^2 \subset K_1$ . To complete the proof of (iii) we have only to show that  $\text{tp} Z = \omega\beta$ .

By (13), we see that

$$(17) \quad g_{j-1}(g_{j-2}(\dots(g_i(\gamma_i))\dots)) = \gamma_i \quad (i < j < \omega).$$

Also, if  $i < j$ , then by (12),  $A_{\gamma_i}^{(j)} \subset A_{\rho}^{(i)}$ , where

$$\rho = g_{j-1}(g_{j-2}(\dots(g_i(\gamma_i))\dots)).$$

Since the  $g_n$  are increasing functions, it follows that  $\rho \leq \gamma_i$  according as  $\gamma_j \leq \gamma_i$ . Therefore, by (12) we have for  $m, n < \omega$

$$(18) \quad A_{\gamma_m}^{(m)} \subset A_{\gamma_n}^{(n)} \quad \text{iff} \quad \gamma_m < \gamma_n.$$

By (14) and (17) we have

$$A_{\gamma_m}^{(n)} \subset A_{\gamma_m}^{(m)} \quad \text{if} \quad m \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_m = \gamma_n.$$

By (11), the set  $\{n : m \leq n < \omega, \gamma_m = \gamma_n\}$  is infinite and therefore, by (15) and (16),

$$(19) \quad \text{tp}(Z \cap A_{\gamma_m}^{(m)}) = \omega \quad (m < \omega).$$

Since  $\{\gamma_m : m < \omega\} = B$ , it follows from (18) and (19) that the order type of  $Z$  is  $\omega\beta$ . This completes the proof of (iii) and the theorem follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. C. Chang, *A partition theorem for the complete graph on  $\omega^\theta$* , J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A, **12** (1972), 396-452.
2. P. Erdős and R. Rado, *A partition calculus in set theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1956), 427-489.
3. ———, *Partition relations and transitivity domains of binary relations*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 624-633.
4. A. Hajnal and E. C. Milner, *Some theorems for scattered ordered types*, Periodica Mathematica Hungaricae (2) **1** (1971), 81-92.
5. R. Laver, *On Fraïssé's order type conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 89-111.
6. E. C. Milner, Ph.D. Thesis, London, 1962.
7. ———, *Partition relations for ordinal numbers*, Canad. J. Math. **21** (1969), 317-334.
8. E. C. Milner and R. Rado, *The pigeon-hole principle for ordinal numbers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 750-768.
9. L. Haddad and G. Sabbagh, *Sur une extension des nombres de Ramsey aux ordinaux*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **268** (1969), 1165-1167.
10. ———, *Calcul de certains nombres de Ramsey généralisés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **268** (1969), 1233-1234.
11. ———, *Nouveaux résultats sur les nombres de Ramsey généralisés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **268** (1969), 1516-1518.

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY,  
CALGARY, ALBERTA