

ON THE SUM $\sum_{n=1}^x d[d(n)]$

By

P. ERDÖS

(Received : 13-12-1965)

Denote by $d(n)$ the number of divisors of n . It is well-known that

$$\sum_{n=1}^x d(n) = x \log x + (2c-1)x + O(x^\alpha), \quad n < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Ramanujan [1] investigated the function $d[d(n)]$, but I believe the following simple result is new :

THEOREM. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log \log x} \sum_{n=1}^x d\{d(n)\} = d_2 \quad \dots(1)$

where $0 < d_2 < \infty$ is a constant.

Proof. The proof of (1) is simple. Denote by $s_1=1 < s_2 < \dots$ the sequence of integers all whose prime factors occur with an exponent greater than 1. Clearly every integer can be uniquely written in the form $s_i q_i$ where q_i is square free and $(s_i, q_i)=1$. Thus we evidently have $\left[V(q) \right]$ denotes the number of prime factors of q and in $\sum' q < \frac{x}{s_i}, (q, s_i)=1$]

$$\sum_{n=1}^x d\{d(n)\} = \sum_i \sum' d\{d(s_i, q)\} = \sum_i \sum' d\{2^{v(q)} \cdot d(s_i)\} \quad \dots(2)$$

Put $d(s_i) = 2^{d_i} \beta_i$, β_i odd. Then from (2) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^x d\{d(n)\} = \sum_i \sum' d\{2^{v(\theta)+d_i} \cdot \beta_i\} = \sum_i d(\beta_i) \sum' \{v(q) + d_i + 1\} \quad \dots(3)$$

For fixed i we evidently have by interchanging the order of summation (p, q, r are primes)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum' \{v(q) + d_i + 1\} &= \sum' v(q) + 0(x) = \frac{x}{s_i} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p+s_i}} \frac{f(s_i) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p} + 0(x) \\ &= \frac{x f(s_i)}{s_i} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + 0(x) = x \log \log x \frac{f(s_i)}{s_i} + 0(x), \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4)$$

where

$$f(s_i) = \prod_{q+s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \prod_{s+s_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right). \quad \dots(5)$$

The $0(x)$ in (4) is not uniform in i . Put now

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(\beta_i) f(s_i)}{s_i} = d_2. \quad \dots(6)$$

It is easy to see that the series (6) converges. To see this observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(\beta_i) f(s_i)}{s_i} &< \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(\beta_i)}{s_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(s_i)}{s_i} \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{p^k}\right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(7)$$

(3) and (4) clearly implies that for every fixed i_0

$$\sum_{n=1}^x d\{d(n)\} = x \log \log x \sum_{i \leq i_0} \frac{d(\beta_i) f(s_i)}{s_i} + \sum_{i > i_0} d(\beta_i) \sum' \{v(q) + d_i + 1\} + 0(x) \quad \dots(8)$$

Thus by (6) and (7), (8) implies (1) if we can show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an i_0 so that

$$\sum_{i > i_0} d(\beta_i) \sum' \{v(q) + d_i + 1\} < \epsilon x \log \log x. \quad \dots(9)$$

By $d(s_i) = 2^{a_i} \beta_i$ we clearly have $d(\beta_i) \{v(q) + d_i + 1\} \leq d(s_i) v(q)$. Thus instead of (9) it will suffice to show

$$\sum_{i > i_0} d(s_i) \sum' v(q) < \epsilon x \log \log x. \quad \dots(10)$$

Clearly we have for $x > x_0$

$$\sum' v(q) \leq \sum_{n \leq x/s_i} v(n) \leq \frac{x}{s_i} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{2x \log \log x}{s_i}. \quad \dots(11)$$

From (10) and (11) we have for sufficiently large i_0 ,

$$\left(\text{by (7)} \sum_i \frac{d(s_i)}{s_i} < \infty \right)$$

$$\sum_{i > i_0} d(s_i) \sum' v(q) < 2x \log \log x \sum_{i > i_0} \frac{d(s_i)}{s_i} < \epsilon x \log \log x$$

which proves (9) and hence the proof of our theorem is complete.

Put $d(n) = d_1(n)$, $d_k(x) = d\{d_{k-1}(n)\}$ and denote by $\log_k n$ the k -fold iterated logarithm. It seems likely that $(0 < d_k < \infty)$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log_k x} \sum_{n=1}^x d_k(n) = d_k.$$

I have verified this for $k=3$, the proof is similar but much more complicated than for $k=2$ and probably could be made to work in the general case but I have not carried out the details.

Denote by $l(n)$ the smallest integer k for which $d_k(n) = 2$. It seems to be very difficult to get good limitations for the growth of $l(n)$, no doubt the problem is somewhat artificial.

REFERENCE

1. RAMANUJAN, S.: *On highly composite Numbers*, Collected Papers (Cambridge), 1927.

Punjab University,
Chandigarh.