

# ON THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR SAMPLES FROM A FINITE POPULATION

by

PAUL ERDŐS and ALFRÉD RÉNYI

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be arbitrary real numbers. Let us consider all possible  $\binom{n}{s}$  sums

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_s}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$$

formed by choosing  $s$  arbitrary different elements of the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . Let us put

$$M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$

and

$$D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{M_n}{n} \right)^2},$$

further

$$D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)} \cdot D_n.$$

Let  $N_{n,s}(x)$  denote the number of those sums  $a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_s}$  which do not exceed

$$\frac{s M_n}{n} + x D_{n,s}$$

and put

$$F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}}.$$

We ask about conditions concerning the sequence  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $s$  under which  $F_{n,s}(x)$  will be approximately equal to the normal distribution function  $\Phi(x)$  defined by

$$(1) \quad \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$

In what follows we shall suppose throughout that

$$M_n = 0.$$

This is no essential restriction, because if  $M_n \neq 0$  we may consider instead of the sequence  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  the sequence  $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\}$  where  $a'_k = a_k - M_n/n$ , and for the new sequence  $a'_k$  we have evidently

$$M'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k = 0.$$

We may also suppose  $s \leq n/2$ , because evidently if  $M_n = 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_{i_k} = - \sum_{l=1}^{n-s} a_{j_l}$$

where  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-s}$  are those among the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  which are not contained in the sequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  and therefore

$$F_{n,n-s}(x) = 1 - F_{n,s}(-x).$$

The problem can be interpreted statistically as follows: We choose a sample  $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_s}\}$  of size  $s$  from the finite population  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and ask under which conditions will the mean

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i_j}$$

of the sample be distributed asymptotically normally about the mean  $\frac{M_n}{n}$  of the population. We shall prove that  $F_{n,s}(x)$  tends to  $\Phi(x)$ , e. g. when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $s = s_n \rightarrow +\infty$  in such a manner that putting

$$L_n = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^3 \right)^2}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^3}$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{L_n}{s_n} = 0;$$

or more generally, if putting

$$d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ |a_k| > \varepsilon D_n s}} a_k^2$$

we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Clearly our question asks about the distribution of a sum of weakly dependent random variables. As a matter of fact if we imagine the elements of our sample as chosen successively without replacement and  $\xi_k$  is the element of the sequence which has been chosen at the  $k$ -th choice, then we have to investigate the distribution of

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=1}^s \xi_k,$$

the random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$  being not independent. (They are however equivalent.)

The special case, when all  $a_k$  are equal to  $\alpha$  or  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , the number of those  $a_k$  which are equal to  $\alpha$  being a positive percentage  $< 1$  of  $n$ , is well-known; as a matter of fact, in this case our question reduces to the investigation of the limiting distribution of the hypergeometric distribution, and it is known (see e. g. BERNSTEIN [1]) that the Moivre—Laplace theorem can be extended for the hypergeometric (instead of the binomial) distribution.

We shall express our principal result (Theorem 1.) as relating to the  $n$ th row of an infinite triangular matrix with each row-sum being equal to 0; we deduce from this theorem statements concerning sampling among the first  $n$  terms of an infinite sequence (Theorem 2.).

We shall prove first the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let us consider an infinite triangular matrix*

$$\begin{matrix} a_{11} & & & \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{nn} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{matrix}$$

*with real elements, such that the sum of elements in each row is zero;*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} = 0 .$$

*Let us put*

$$(3) \quad D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2}$$

*and*

$$(4) \quad D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)} D_n . \quad 1 \leq s \leq n$$

*where  $s$  is an integer.*

*Let  $N_{n,s}(x)$  for any real  $x$  denote the number of those sums*

$$a_{ni_1} + a_{ni_2} + \dots + a_{ni_s} \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$$

the value of which does not exceed  $x D_{n,s}$ , i. e. put

$$(5) \quad N_{n,s}(x) = \sum_{\substack{a_{ni_1} + \dots + a_{ni_s} < x D_{n,s} \\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n}} 1$$

and put

$$(6) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}}$$

Let us put for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$(7) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{|a_{nk}| > \varepsilon D_{n,s}} a_{nk}^2$$

If  $s_n \leq n/2$  is chosen in such a manner that when  $n$  tends to infinity we have for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0,$$

it follows that for any real  $x$  we have

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x)$$

where  $\Phi(x)$  is the normal distribution function defined by (1).

**Remark.** Clearly if we modify our problem as follows: we denote by  $N_{n,s}^*(x)$  the number of those sums  $a_{ni_1} + a_{ni_2} + \dots + a_{ni_s}$  which are  $< x D_{n,s}$ , where  $1 \leq i_k \leq n$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ), then the question whether

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{n,s_n}^*(x)}{n^s} = \Phi(x)$$

holds, can be answered according to the following theorem which is a special case of a well-known theorem (see [2], p. 103):

Let  $\xi_{nk}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) be independently and identically distributed random variables with the distribution

$$\mathbf{P}\{\xi_{nk} = a_{nj}\} = \frac{1}{n} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then the sums

$$\zeta_{n,s} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s \xi_{nj}}{D_{n,s}}$$

are in the limit for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  normally distributed if and only if (8) holds for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus our theorem shows that if we take a sample without replacement from a finite population, the distribution of the sample mean will be in the limit normal, under the same condition as that which is known for sampling with replacement.

**Proof of Theorem I.** Let us put for any real  $t$

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{n,s}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itx} d F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n} e^{it(a_{ni_1} + a_{ni_2} + \dots + a_{ni_s})}.$$

To prove Theorem I. we have to show that if (8) holds, we have

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_{n,s_n} \left( \frac{t}{D_{n,s_n}} \right) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}.$$

Now evidently, putting

$$(12) \quad p = \frac{s_n}{n}$$

and

$$(13) \quad B_{n,s_n}(p) = \binom{n}{s_n} p^{s_n} (1-p)^{n-s_n}$$

we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{n,s_n}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi B_{n,s_n}(p)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^n (1-p + pe^{i(\theta + ua_{nk})}) \right] e^{-i\theta s_n} d\theta.$$

Taking (2) into account we can write

$$(15) \quad \varphi_{n,s_n}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi B_{n,s_n}(p)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \prod_{k=1}^n ((1-p)e^{-ip(\theta+ua_{nk})} + pe^{i(1-p)(\theta+ua_{nk})}) d\theta.$$

Now as well-known, if  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$  (which follows clearly from (8))

$$(16) \quad B_{n,s_n}(p) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi np(1-p)}}$$

and thus we have, substituting  $u = \frac{t}{D_{n,s_n}}$  and  $\theta = \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$

$$(17) \quad \varphi_{n,s_n} \left( \frac{t}{D_{n,s_n}} \right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi/\sqrt{np(1-p)}}^{\pi/\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^n \varrho_k(\psi, t) \right] d\psi$$

where

$$(18) \quad \varrho_k(\psi, t) = (1-p) e^{-ip \left( \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} + \frac{ta_{nk}}{D_{n,s_n}} \right)} + pe^{i(1-p) \left( \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} + \frac{ta_{nk}}{D_{n,s_n}} \right)}.$$

In what follows  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are absolute constants. Let us choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $k$  is such that

$$\frac{|t| |a_{n,k}|}{D_{n,s_n}} < \varepsilon$$

and  $|\psi| < 2\varepsilon\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ , we have

$$(19) \quad \varrho_k(\psi, t) = 1 - \frac{p(1-p)}{2} \left[ \frac{\psi}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}} + \frac{ta_{nk}}{D_{n,s_n}} \right]^2 (1 + \vartheta_1 \varepsilon)$$

with  $|\vartheta_1| \leq C_1$ . On the other hand, we have for an arbitrary  $k$

$$(20) \quad |\varrho_k(\psi, t) - 1| \leq C_2 \left( \frac{p(1-p)\psi^2}{2n} + \frac{p(1-p)|t\psi a_{nk}|}{2\sqrt{n}D_{n,s_n}} + \frac{p(1-p)a_{nk}^2}{8D_{n,s_n}^2} \right)$$

It follows that for  $|\psi| < 2\varepsilon\sqrt{np(1-p)}$  we have

$$(21) \quad \prod_{k=1}^n \varrho_k(\psi, t) = e^{-\frac{\psi^2}{2} - \frac{t^2}{2}} \cdot (1 + \eta_n)$$

where

$$|\eta_n| \leq C_3 \left( d_{n,s_n} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|t|} \right) + \frac{\psi^2 p(1-p) \cdot l_n}{n} \right)$$

where

$$l_n = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ |a_{nk}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{|t|} D_{n,s_n}}} 1$$

As by the inequality of SCHWARZ we have

$$l_n \leq \frac{t^2}{\varepsilon^2 p(1-p)} d_{n,s_n} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|t|} \right)$$

we obtain

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_n = 0$$

On the other hand if  $|\psi| \geq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{np(1-p)}$  we use the following estimates:

$$\text{if } \frac{|t| |a_{n,k}|}{D_{n,s_n}} > \varepsilon \quad \text{then} \quad |\varrho_k(\psi, t)| \leq 1$$

and

$$\text{if } \frac{|a_{n,k}| |t|}{D_{n,s_n}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{we have} \quad |\varrho_k(\psi, t)| \leq 1 - p(1-p)(1 - \cos \varepsilon)$$

and thus

$$\left| \int_{2\epsilon/\sqrt{np(1-p)} \leq |\psi| \leq n/\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \prod_{k=1}^n \varrho_k(\psi, t) d\psi \right| \leq 2\pi \left( 1 - \frac{C(\epsilon)s_n}{n} \right)^n \sqrt{s_n} \leq 2\pi e^{-C(\epsilon)s_n} \sqrt{s_n}$$

where  $C(\epsilon) > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $\epsilon$ .

Thus (11) holds and therewith our theorem is proved.

**Remark.** Clearly our condition (8) is a condition of Lindeberg's type. It is easy to see that the following condition of Liapounoff's type implies (8) :

$$(23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{s_n}} \cdot \frac{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^3 \right)}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2 \right)^{3/2}} = 0 .$$

As a matter of fact, if  $\frac{s_n}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$d_{n,s_n}(\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon D_{n,s_n}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^3}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{s_n}} \cdot \frac{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^3 \right)}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2 \right)^{3/2}} .$$

Thus (23) is a sufficient condition for the validity of (9).

For the special case when  $a_{nk} = a_k$  does not depend on  $n$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) we obtain from Theorem 1. the following

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers. Put

$$(24) \quad M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$

$$D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{M_n}{n} \right)^2}$$

and

$$D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)} D_n .$$

Let  $N_{n,s}(x)$  denote the number of those sums

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_s} \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$$

which do not exceed

$$\frac{s M_n}{n} + x D_{n,s}$$

and put

$$(25) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}} .$$

If putting  $a'_k = a_k - \frac{M_n}{n}$ , and

$$(26) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{\substack{|a'_k| > \varepsilon D_{n,s} \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} |a'_k|^2$$

if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $s = s_n \leq \frac{n}{2}$  depends in such a manner on  $n$  that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

then we have for any real  $x$

$$(28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x) .$$

Let us consider some examples.

*Example 1.* If  $0 < c \leq |a_k| \leq C$ , then (27) is satisfied if  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$  arbitrarily slowly.

*Example 2.* (27) is satisfied, provided that  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$  arbitrary slowly if  $0 < c k^\alpha \leq |a_k| \leq C k^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > -1/2$ .

*Example 3.* If

$$a_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{k}},$$

then (27) is satisfied if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log s_n}{\log n} = 1 ,$$

e. g. for

$$s_n \sim \frac{n}{(\log n)^A}$$

with arbitrary large  $A > 0$ .

*Example 4.* Evidently it is impossible to satisfy (8) if

$$\sum a_{nk}^2 \leq \alpha$$

and

$$\max_k |a_{nk}| \geq \beta > 0 .$$

The most far reaching previous result known to us is that of W. G. MADOW [3]. His theorem, which can be compared with our result can be stated with our notations as follows :

If

$$(29) \quad \lambda_{nr} = \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^r \right|}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2 \right)^{r/2}} \leq C \text{ for all } n \text{ and } r = 3, 4, \dots$$

then the assertion of Theorem 1. holds, provided that  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Clearly Madow's theorem follows from ours because if (29) holds for  $r = 4$ , we have by Hölder's inequality

$$(30) \quad \sqrt{\frac{n}{s_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^3} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n4}^{3/4}}{\sqrt{s_n}} \leq \frac{C^{3/4}}{\sqrt{s_n}}$$

and thus if  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , (23) is satisfied, which as remarked above ensures the validity of (9). Thus if (29) holds for the single value  $r = 4$ , our theorem can be applied, which implies that Madow's result is much weaker than ours.

Madow's result includes as a special case a previous result of F. N. DAVID [4]. Thus our theorem contains as a special case that of the paper [4] too.

(Received 1. December, 1958.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] БЕРНШТЕИН, С. Н.: *Теория вероятностей*. Москва, Гостехиздат, 1945.
- [2] ГНЕДЕНКО, Б. В и КОЛМОГОРОВ, А. Н.: *Предельные распределения для сумм независимых случайных величин*. Москва, Гостехиздат, 1949.
- [3] MADOW, W. G.: „On the limiting distributions of estimates based on samples from finite universes.” *Annals of Math. Stat.* 19 (1948) 535—545.
- [4] DAVID, F. N.: „Limiting distributions connected with certain methods of sampling human population.” *Stat. Res. Mem.* (1938) pp. 69—99. especially p. 77.

## VÉGES SOKASÁGBÓL VETT MINTÁKRA VONATKOZÓ CENTRÁLIS HATÁRELOSZLÁSTÉTEL

ERDŐS P. és RÉNYI A.

### Kivonat

A dolgozat a valószínűségszámítás centrális határeloszlástételének bizonyos gyengén függő változók esetére való kiterjesztésével foglalkozik, amennyiben kimutatja, hogy a Lindeberg-féle feltétellel analóg feltétel teljesülése esetén egy véges sokaságból vett minta elemeinek középértéke közelítőleg normális eloszlású. Más szóval a szerzők a következő tételt bizonyítják be :

**1. tétele:** *Tekintsünk egy valós elemű*

$$\begin{matrix} a_{11} & & & \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{nn} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{matrix}$$

háromszög alakú mátrixot, melyben az egy sorban álló elemek összege mindenütt nulla :

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} = 0 .$$

Vezessük be a

$$(3) \quad D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2}$$

és

$$(4) \quad D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)} D_n$$

jelöléseket.

Legyen tetszőleges valós  $x$ -re  $N_{n,s}(x)$  azon

$$a_{ni_1} + a_{ni_2} + \dots + a_{ni_s} \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$$

alakú összegek száma, melyek kisebbek  $x D_{n,s}$ -nél, azaz

$$(5) \quad N_{n,s}(x) = \sum_{\substack{a_{ni_1} + \dots + a_{ni_s} < x D_{n,s} \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n}} 1$$

és legyen

$$(6) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}} ,$$

Vezessük be a

$$(7) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{a_{nk} > \varepsilon D_{n,s}} a_{nk}^2 \quad 1 \leq s \leq n$$

jelölést. Ha az  $\{s_n\}$  ( $s_n \leq n/2$ ) sorozatot úgy választjuk, hogy minden pozitív  $\varepsilon$ -ra

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

legyen, akkor minden valós  $x$ -re

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x) ,$$

ahol  $\Phi(x)$  a normális eloszlásfüggvény.

Az 1. tétel speciális eseteként nyerhető az alábbi

**2. tétel.** Legyen  $\{a_n\}$  tetszőleges valós számsorozat. Vezessük be az

$$(24) \quad M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$

$$D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{M_n}{n} \right)^2}$$

$$D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)} D_n .$$

jelöléseket. Jelölje  $N_{n,s}(x)$  azon

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_s} \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$$

alakú összegek számát, melyek kisebbek, mint

$$s \frac{M_n}{n} + x D_{n,s}$$

és legyen

$$(25) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{M_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}} .$$

Vezessük be az  $a'_k = a_k - \frac{M_n}{n}$ , és

$$(26) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{\substack{|a'_k| > \varepsilon \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} a'^2_k$$

jelölést. Ha az  $\{s_n\}$  ( $s_n \leq n/2$ ) sorozatot úgy választjuk, hogy minden pozitív  $\varepsilon$ -ra

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

akkor minden valós  $x$ -re

$$(28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x) .$$

## ЦЕНТРАЛЬНАЯ ПРЕДЕЛЬНАЯ ТЕОРЕМА ДЛЯ ВЫБОРКАХ ВЗЯТЫХ ИЗ КОНЕЧНЫХ МНОЖЕСТВ

P. ERDŐS и A. RÉNYI

### Резюме

Работа занимается распространением центральной предельной теоремы вероятностей на случай некоторых слабо зависящих случайных величин. Авторы доказывают, что в случае выполнения условия, аналогичного условию Lindeberg-a, среднее значение элементов выборки взятой из конечного множества, имеет приблизительно нормальное распределение. Иначе говоря доказывается следующая теорема :

**Теорема 1:** Рассмотрим треугольную матрицу

$$\begin{matrix} a_{11} & & & \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \ddots & \ddots & a_{nn} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{matrix}$$

из вещественных элементов, в которой сумма элементов каждой строки равна нулю

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} = 0 ,$$

Введем обозначения

$$(3) \quad D_n = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2}$$

и

$$(4) \quad D_{n,s} = \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)} D_n \quad 1 \leq s \leq n .$$

Пусть для любого вещественного  $x$   $N_{n,s}(x)$  обозначает число тех сумм вида  $a_{ni_1} + a_{ni_2} + \dots + a_{ni_s}$ , где  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ , которые меньше чем  $x D_{n,s}$  т. е.

$$(5) \quad N_{n,s}(x) = \sum_{\substack{a_{ni_1} + \dots + a_{ni_s} < x D_{n,s} \\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n}} 1$$

и пусть

$$(6) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}} .$$

Введем обозначение

$$(7) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{|a_{nk}| > \varepsilon D_{n,s}} a_{nk}^2$$

Если последовательность  $s_n$  ( $\leq n/2$ ) выбрана так, что для каждого положительного  $\varepsilon$  выполняется условие

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

то для всех вещественных  $x$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x)$$

где  $\Phi(x)$  нормальная функция распределения.

В качестве специального случая теоремы 1 получается следующая

**Теорема 2.** Пусть  $\{a_n\}$  любая последовательность вещественных чисел. Введем следующие обозначения

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} M_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \\ D_n &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{M_n}{n} \right)^2} \\ D_{n,s} &= \sqrt{\frac{s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{n} \right)} D_n \end{aligned}$$

Обозначим через  $N_{n,s}(x)$  число тех сумм вида

$$a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots + a_{i_s} \quad (1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n)$$

которые меньше, чем

$$s \frac{M_n}{n} + x D_{n,s},$$

и пусть

$$(25) \quad F_{n,s}(x) = \frac{N_{n,s}(x)}{\binom{n}{s}}.$$

Введём обозначение  $a'_k = a_k - \frac{M_n}{n}$ , и

$$(26) \quad d_{n,s}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{D_n^2} \sum_{\substack{|a'_k| > \varepsilon D_{n,s} \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} a'^2_k$$

Если последовательность  $s_n$  ( $\leq n/2$ ) выбрана так, что для каждого положительного  $\varepsilon$  выполняется условие

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n,s_n}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

то для всех вещественных  $x$

$$(28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,s_n}(x) = \Phi(x).$$