

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam



On 3-uniform hypergraphs without a cycle of a given length



Zoltán Füredi ^{a,*}, Lale Özkahya ^b

- ^a Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, P.O.Box 127, H-1364, Hungary
- ^b Department of Computer Engineering, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 March 2015 Received in revised form 6 October 2016 Accepted 13 October 2016 Available online 3 November 2016

Keywords: Turán number Triangles Cycles Extremal graphs Triple systems

ABSTRACT

We study the maximum number of hyperedges in a 3-uniform hypergraph on n vertices that does not contain a Berge cycle of a given length ℓ . In particular we prove that the upper bound for C_{2k+1} -free hypergraphs is of the order $O(k^2n^{1+1/k})$, improving the upper bound of Győri and Lemons (2012) by a factor of $\Theta(k^2)$. Similar bounds are shown for linear hypergraphs.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. A generalization of the Turán problem

Counting substructures is a central topic of extremal combinatorics. Given two (hyper)graphs G and H let N(G; H) denote the number of subgraphs of G isomorphic to H. (Usually we consider a labeled host graph G.) Note that $N(G; K_2) = e(G)$, the number of edges of G. More generally, N(G; H) is the maximum of N(G; H) where $G \in G$, a class of graphs. In most cases, in Turán type problems, G is a set of G-vertex G-free graphs, where G is a collection of forbidden subgraphs. This maximum is denoted by G is a set of G is the maximum number of copies of G in an G-free graph on G vertices. The Turán number exG is defined as G is defined as G is defined as G is defined as G is the family of all cycles of length at most G. For any graph G and any vertex G is the family of and the number of triangles containing G is respectively. Let G is G is G is the family of and the number of triangles containing G is G in G in G is G in G is G in G.

Our starting point is the Bondy–Simonovits [3] theorem, $ex(n, C_{2k}) \le 100kn^{1+1/k}$. Recall two contemporary versions due to Pikhurko [15], Bukh and Z. Jiang [4], respectively, and a classical result by Kővári, T. Sós, and Turán [14]. For all $k \ge 2$ and n > 1, we have

$$ex(n, C_{2k}) \le (k-1)n^{1+1/k} + 16(k-1)n,$$
 (1)

$$ex(n, C_{2k}) \le 80\sqrt{k \log k} n^{1+1/k} + 10k^2 n,$$
 (2)

$$ex(n, n, C_4) \le n^{3/2} + 2n.$$
 (3)

Erdős [6] conjectured that a triangle-free graph on n vertices can have at most $(n/5)^5$ five cycles and that equality holds for the blown-up C_5 if 5|n. Győri [9] showed that a triangle-free graph on n vertices contains at most $c(n/5)^5$ copies of

E-mail addresses: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu (Z. Füredi), ozkahya@hacettepe.edu.tr (L. Özkahya).

^{*} Corresponding author.

 C_5 , where c < 1.03. Grzesik [8], and independently, Hatami et al. [13] confirmed that Erdős' conjecture is true by using Razborov's method of flag algebras, i.e., $N(n, C_3; C_5) \leq (n/5)^5$.

Bollobás and Győri [2] asked a related question: how many triangles can a graph have if it does not contain a C_5 . They obtained the upper bound $t_5(n) \le (1 + o(1))(5/4)n^{3/2}$ which yields the correct order of magnitude.

Later, Győri and Li [12] provided bounds on $t_{2k+1}(n)$.

$$\binom{k}{2} \operatorname{ex} \left(\frac{n}{k+1}, \frac{n}{k+1}, C_{2k} \right) \le t_{2k+1}(n) \le \frac{(2k-1)(16k-2)}{3} \operatorname{ex}(n, C_{2k}). \tag{4}$$

The construction showing the lower bound in (4) is defined by considering a balanced bipartite (X, Y)-graph G on 2n/(k+1)vertices which is extremal not containing any members of C_{2k} . Each vertex x in X is replaced by k vertices and connected to each other and to all neighbors of x, thus creating $\binom{k}{2}$ distinct triangles per each edge of G.

In Section 3 we improve the upper bound by a factor of $\Omega(k)$.

Theorem 1. For k > 2,

$$t_{2k+1}(n) := N(n, C_{2k+1}; K_3) \le 9(k-1) \operatorname{ex}\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil, C_{2k}\right),$$
 (5)

$$t_{2k}(n) \le \frac{2k-3}{3} \exp(n, C_{2k}). \tag{6}$$

The inequalities (1), (3) and (5) give $t_{2k+1}(n) \le 9(k-1)^2 ((2/3)n)^{1+1/k} + O(n)$ for $k \ge 3$ and $t_5(n) \le \sqrt{3}n^{3/2} + O(n)$. This latter one is not better than the Bollobás-Győri bound. However, our constant factor in Theorem 1 is the best possible in the following sense. It is widely believed that the Turán numbers in the above statements are 'smooth', i.e., there are constants a_k , b_k depending only on k such that $ex(n, n, C_{2k}) = (a_k + o(1))n^{1+1/k}$ and $ex(n, n, C_{2k}) = (b_k + o(1))n^{1+1/k}$. If these are indeed true then the ratio of the upper bound in (5) and the lower bound in (4) is bounded by a constant factor of $O(a_k/b_k)$. It is also believed that the sequence a_k/b_k is bounded (as $k \to \infty$), so further essential improvement is probably not possible.

Since the first version of this manuscript (2011) Alon and Shikhelman [1] improved the upper bound in Theorem 1 by a constant factor to $(16/3)(k-1)\exp(\lceil n/2 \rceil, C_{2k})$ and showed that $t_5(n) \le (1+o(1))(\sqrt{3}/2)n^{3/2}$. Nevertheless, we include our proof in Section 3 for completeness, and because we use Theorem 1 in our main result in the next section.

2. Berge cycles

A Berge cycle of length k is a family of distinct hyperedges H_0, \ldots, H_{k-1} such that there are distinct vertices v_0, \ldots, v_{k-1} satisfying

$$v_i v_{i+1} \subset H_i$$
 for $0 \le i \le k-1 \pmod{k}$.

A hypergraph is *linear*, also called nearly disjoint, if every two edges meet in at most one vertex. Let $C_{\ell}^{(3)}$ be the collection of 3-uniform Berge cycles of length ℓ .

We write $ex_r(n, \mathcal{F})$ ($ex_r^{lin}(n, \mathcal{F})$, resp.) to denote the maximum number of hyperedges in a r-uniform (and linear, resp.) hypergraph on n vertices that does not contain any member of \mathcal{F} . Győri and Lemons [10] showed that

$$\operatorname{ex}\left(\left|\frac{n}{3}\right|,\left|\frac{n}{3}\right|,C_{2k}\right) \le \operatorname{ex}_{3}(n,C_{2k+1}^{(3)}) < 4k^{4}n^{1+\frac{1}{k}} + 15k^{4}n + 10k^{2}n. \tag{7}$$

The order of magnitude of the upper bound probably cannot be improved (as *k* is fixed and $n \to \infty$).

Győri and Lemons [11] extended their result to $C_{2k}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform hypergraphs (and also to *m*-uniform hypergraphs) by showing that the same lower bound as in (7) holds for $\exp(n, C_{2k}^{(3)})$ and that $\exp(n, C_{2k}^{(3)}) \le c(k)n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$. The construction showing the lower bound in (7) is defined by considering a balanced bipartite graph G on n/3+n/3 vertices which is extremal not containing any members of C_{2k} . A 3-uniform $C_{2k}^{(3)}$ -free hypergraph $\mathcal H$ is formed by doubling each vertex in one of the parts of G, thus turning each edge of G to a hyperedge of $\mathcal H$. The number of hyperedges in $\mathcal H$ is $e(G) = \exp(n/3, n/3, C_{2k})$. In this paper, we make improvements on the bounds on $\exp(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)})$ and $\exp(n, C_{2k}^{(3)})$. First, observe that trivially

$$t_{2k+1}(n) \le ex_3(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)}).$$
 (8)

(Consider the triple system defined by the triangles of a C_{2k+1} -free graph.) So (4) gives a lower bound which (probably) improves the lower bound in (7) by a factor of $\Omega(k)$.

The aim of this paper is to improve the upper bound in (7) by a factor of (at least) $\Omega(k^2)$ and also to simplify the original proof. In Section 4 we reduce the upper bound into three subproblems as follows.

Theorem 2. For k > 2 we have

$$\operatorname{ex}_{3}(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)}) \le t_{2k+1}(n) + 4\operatorname{ex}(n, C_{2k}) + 12\operatorname{ex}_{3}^{\operatorname{lin}}(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)}), \tag{9}$$

$$\operatorname{ex}_3(n, C_{2k}^{(3)}) \le t_{2k}(n) + \operatorname{ex}(n, C_{2k}).$$
 (10)

The first and the third terms in (9) are both lower bounds, and probably the middle term is the smallest one. In Section 5 we estimate the third term.

Theorem 3. For k > 2 we have

$$\operatorname{ex}_{3}^{\text{lin}}(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)}) \le 2kn^{1+1/k} + 9kn. \tag{11}$$

We were not able to relate the left hand side directly to $\exp(n, C_{2k})$. In fact, just like in Győri and Lemons' proof [10], we reiterate a version of the original proof of Bondy and Simonovits [3] (as everybody else did in [16,15,5], and in [4]). Our rendering is much simpler than [10]. For the even case $\exp^{\lim}_3(n, C^{(3)}_{2k}) \le \exp(n, C_{2k})$ is obvious by selecting a pair from each hyperedge in a linear C_{2k} -free triple system. We have no matching lower bound for $\exp^{\lim}_3(n, C^{(3)}_{\ell})$ other than what follows from the random method. Collier, Graber and Jiang [5] proved that $\exp^{\lim}_r(n, C^{(r)}_{2k+1}) \le \alpha_{k,r} n^{1+1/k}$, but their $\alpha_{k,r}$ is greater than $r(2k)^r$. They find not only a Berge cycle but a *linear cycle*, i.e., a cyclic list of triples such that consecutive sets intersect in exactly one element and nonconsecutive sets are disjoint.

Theorems 1-3 together with (1) imply

$$ex_3(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)}) \le (9k^2 + 10k + 5)n^{1+1/k} + O(k^2n)$$

and $\exp(n, C_{2k}^{(3)}) \le \frac{1}{3}(2k+9)(k-1)n^{1+1/k} + O(k^2n)$. Using (2) one can lower the main coefficient to $O(k^{3/2}\sqrt{\log k})$. If the smoothness conjectures concerning $\exp(n, C_{2k})$ and $\exp(n, n, C_{2k})$ hold, then the ratio of the upper bound (9) and lower bound (8) is of $O(a_k/b_k)$.

3. Counting triangles in C_{2k} -free and C_{2k+1} -free graphs

We need the following classical result of Erdős and Gallai [7] on paths.

$$\operatorname{ex}(n, P_k) \le \frac{k-2}{2}n. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 4. If G is a C_{ℓ} -free graph, then $t(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}(\ell - 3)e(G)$.

Proof. For any vertex x, t(x) is equal to the number of edges induced by N(x). Therefore,

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in V(G)} t(x) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in V(G)} e(G[N(x)]).$$

The subgraph induced by N(x) does not contain $P_{\ell-1}$, because G is C_{ℓ} -free. Therefore, by (12), we have

$$e(G[N(x)]) \le \frac{1}{2}(\ell - 3)\deg(x).$$

We obtain

$$t(G) \le \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in V(G)} \frac{1}{2} (\ell - 3) \deg(x) = \frac{1}{3} (\ell - 3) e(G). \quad \Box$$

Note that Lemma 4 implies the upper bound (6) for $t_{2k}(n)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a C_{2k+1} -free graph, $k \geq 2$, with the n element vertex set V. Let \mathcal{H} be the family of triangles in G. Given any 3-partition (or 3-coloring) $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$ of V let $\mathcal{H}(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ be the 3-partite induced subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ is an apartition such that each color class V_i with color V_i has size $V_i = 1$ for all $V_i = 1$

Let G' be the edges of G contained in any triple from \mathcal{H}' . Since $t(G) = |\mathcal{H}|$ and $t(G') = |\mathcal{H}'|$, we have $t(G) \leq (9/2)t(G')$. From now on, our aim is to give an upper estimate for t(G'). Since $t(G') \leq \frac{1}{3}(2k-2)e(G')$ by Lemma 4, we have that

$$t(G) \le \frac{9}{2}t(G') \le 3(k-1)e(G').$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we only need an appropriate upper bound on e(G').

Let G_{ij} be the bipartite subgraph of G' induced by the vertex set $V_i \cup V_j$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$. Assume that there exists a copy L of C_{2k} in G_{ij} for some i and j. Let x and y be two adjacent vertices in L. Since there exists a triangle in G' with vertices x, y, z for some $z \in V_k$ ($k \ne i, j$), there exists a copy of C_{2k+1} in G with the edge set ($E(L) - \{xy\}$) $\cup \{xz, yz\}$, a contradiction. Therefore, G_{ij} is C_{2k} -free. We obtain

$$e(G') = \sum_{1 \le i \le 3} e(G_{i,j}) \le 3 \operatorname{ex}(\lceil n/3 \rceil, \lceil n/3 \rceil, C_{2k}). \quad \Box$$

4. $C_{\ell}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform hypergraphs

Proof of Theorem 2. For a pair of vertices u and v, $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(u,v)$ (or just $\deg(u,v)$) denotes the number of hyperedges of \mathcal{H} containing both u and v.

Proposition 5. Let \mathcal{H} be a $C_{\ell}^{(3)}$ -free hypergraph, $\ell \geq 3$. Let $G_2 := G_2(\mathcal{H})$ be the graph on the vertex set of \mathcal{H} such that $E(G_2) := \{uv : \deg(u, v) \geq 2\}$. Then, G_2 is C_{ℓ} -free.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that L is a cycle of length ℓ in G_2 . Let $\mathcal{H}(e)$ be the set of triples from \mathcal{H} containing the pair e. Suppose that $\ell \geq 4$, the case $\ell = 3$ is trivial. Then every triple $E \in \mathcal{H}$ contains at most two edges from E(L), but every $e \in E(L)$ is contained in at least two triples. Therefore, Hall condition holds, that is every i edges of E(L) (for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$) are contained in at least i triples. So by Hall's theorem one can choose a distinct hyperedge from $\mathcal{H}(e)$ for each edge e of E(L). These form a Berge cycle of length ℓ , a contradiction. \square

The upper bound on $ex_3(n, C_{2k+1}^{(3)})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a 3-uniform hypergraph that does not contain $C_{2k+1}^{(3)}$ as a subgraph. Let G_2 be defined as in Proposition 5. Then G_2 is C_{2k+1} -free. Let \mathcal{H}_2 be the collection of triples from \mathcal{H} having all the three pairs covered at least twice. The edges of \mathcal{H}_2 induce triangles in G_2 , hence we have

$$|\mathcal{H}_2| \le N(G_2; C_3) \le t_{2k+1}(n).$$
 (13)

Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the set of triples E from \mathcal{H} having a pair P(E) such that P(E) is contained only in E. Note that $|\mathcal{H}| = |\mathcal{H}_1| + |\mathcal{H}_2|$. In the following, we find an upper bound for $|\mathcal{H}_1|$ by defining further subfamilies $\mathcal{H}_3, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_6$.

Color the vertices of \mathcal{H}_1 randomly with two colors. The probability that for an edge $E \in \mathcal{H}_1$ the pair P(E) gets the same color and the vertex $E \setminus P(E)$ has the opposite color is 1/4. This implies that there is a partition $V_1 \cup V_2$ of $V(\mathcal{H})$ and a subfamily $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $|\mathcal{H}_3| \geq (1/4)|\mathcal{H}_1|$ and every edge E of \mathcal{H}_3 has two vertices in V_i and one vertex in V_{3-i} for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $V_i \cap E = P(E)$. Split \mathcal{H}_3 into two subfamilies as follows.

$$\mathcal{H}_4 := \{\{u, v, w\} \in \mathcal{H}_3 : P(E) = \{u, v\} \subset V_i, \ w \in V_{3-i}, \max(\deg(w, u), \deg(w, v)) \geq 3, \ i \in \{1, 2\}\}$$

and let $\mathcal{H}_5 := \mathcal{H}_3 \setminus \mathcal{H}_4$.

We claim that the graph G_4 consisting of the pairs P(E), $E \in \mathcal{H}_4$, is C_{2k} -free. Indeed, suppose, on the contrary, that $L = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2k})$ is a cycle of G_4 . Since G_4 has no edge joining V_1 and V_2 we may suppose that $L \subset V_1$. Consider the triples of \mathcal{H}_4 containing the edges of L, $E_i := \{v_i, v_{i+1}, w_i\}$, $E_i \in \{v_i, v_i\}$, and $E_{2k} := \{v_{2k}, v_1, w_{2k}\}$. The vertices E_1, \ldots, E_{2k} are in E_1, \ldots, E_{2k} . The hyperedges E_1, \ldots, E_{2k} are containing the consecutive pairs E_1, \ldots, E_{2k} in this cyclic order, so form a Berge cycle of length E_1, \ldots, E_{2k} . Thus,

$$|\mathcal{H}_4| = e(G_4) < \exp(|V_1|, C_{2k}) + \exp(|V_2|, C_{2k}) < \exp(n, C_{2k}). \tag{14}$$

Because the multiplicity of the pairs in any edge E in \mathcal{H}_5 is at most 2, one can use a greedy algorithm to find a subfamily $\mathcal{H}_6 \subset \mathcal{H}_5$ such that $|\mathcal{H}_6| \geq (1/3)|\mathcal{H}_5|$, where \mathcal{H}_6 is linear, that is each vertex-pair is covered at most once by an edge of \mathcal{H}_6 . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}| &= |\mathcal{H}_1| + |\mathcal{H}_2| \le 4|\mathcal{H}_3| + |\mathcal{H}_2| \\ &= |\mathcal{H}_2| + 4|\mathcal{H}_4| + 4|\mathcal{H}_5| \le |\mathcal{H}_2| + 4|\mathcal{H}_4| + 12|\mathcal{H}_6|. \end{aligned}$$

This with (13), (14), and the linearity of \mathcal{H}_6 completes the proof of (9).

The upper bound on $ex_3(n, C_{2k}^{(3)})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a 3-uniform hypergraph that does not contain $C_{2k}^{(3)}$ as a subgraph. Let G_2 , \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_2 be defined for \mathcal{H} as before. By Proposition 5, G_2 is C_{2k} -free. Hence, $|\mathcal{H}_2| \leq N(G_2; C_3) \leq t_{2k}(n)$. Recall that for each hyperedge E in \mathcal{H}_1 , there exists a vertexpair, P(E), such that P(E) is contained only in E in \mathcal{H} . Let G_1 be the graph defined by its edge set as $E(G_1) := \{P(E) : E \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$. We have that $|\mathcal{H}_1| = e(G_1)$. Since G_1 is obviously C_{2k} -free we get

$$|\mathcal{H}| = |\mathcal{H}_1| + |\mathcal{H}_2| \le t_{2k}(n) + ex(n, C_{2k}).$$

5. $C_{\ell}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform linear hypergraphs

A theta graph of order ℓ , denoted by Θ_{ℓ} , is a cycle C_{ℓ} with a chord, where $\ell \geq 4$. The following result was used implicitly in [3] and is stated as a separate lemma in [16, Lemma 2] and also used in [4] and [15]. Let F be a Θ -graph of order ℓ and $\ell > t \geq 2$. Let $A \cup B$ be a partition of V(F) with $A, B \neq \emptyset$ such that every path of length t in F that starts in A necessarily ends in A. Then F is bipartite with parts A and B. We need the following corollary, whose proof is left to the reader.

Corollary 6. Let F be a Θ -graph of order ℓ , where $\ell > t \ge 1$ and t is an odd integer. Let $A \cup B$ be a partition of V(F), $A \ne \emptyset$ such that every path of length t in F that starts in A necessarily ends in A. Then A = V(F). \square

We also use the following easy fact, which is used in [3], [4] and [15], too. If the n-vertex graph G contains no Θ -graph of order at least $\ell > 4$, then $e(G) < (\ell - 2)n$. In other words

$$\operatorname{ex}(n,\Theta_{>\ell}) \le (\ell-2)n. \tag{15}$$

Proof of the upper bound on $\operatorname{ex}_3^{\operatorname{lin}}(n,C_{2k+1}^{(3)})$ **in Theorem 3.** Let $\mathcal H$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph on n vertices such that no two hyperedges meet in two vertices. Suppose that $\mathcal H$ contains no $C_{2k+1}^{(3)}$ and let δ be the third of the average degree. We have $\sum_{v\in V(\mathcal H)} \deg(v) = 3|\mathcal H| = 3\delta n$. Then, there exists a subhypergraph $\mathcal H'$ on n' vertices such that the degree of each vertex of $\mathcal H'$ is at least δ . Therefore, we may suppose that every degree of $\mathcal H$ is at least δ , and also that $\delta \geq 11k$.

The mapping $\pi: \mathcal{H} \to \binom{[n]}{2} \cup \emptyset$ is called a *choice function* if $\pi(E) \subset E$ for each $E \in \mathcal{H}$. There are $4^{|\mathcal{H}|}$ such choice functions. Let $\partial \mathcal{H}$ be the set of vertex-pairs contained in the members of \mathcal{H} and consider a coloring of $\partial \mathcal{H}$, where the color of each pair is given by the single hyperedge of \mathcal{H} containing it. We call a subgraph G of $\partial \mathcal{H}$ multicolored, if all edges of G have different colors under this coloring. For a choice function π on \mathcal{H} , define the graph G_{π} as the graph induced by the edge set $\{\pi(E): \pi(E) \neq \emptyset, E \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Because \mathcal{H} is a linear hypergraph, for two different hyperedges E and E' in \mathcal{H} we have $\pi(E) \neq \pi(E')$. First, we consider the properties of arbitrary multicolored G_{π} , later we will define a special π . Clearly, G_{π} has no cycle C_{2k+1} .

Lemma 7. Let T be a subtree (not necessarily spanning) in G_{π} , let $x \in V(T)$ be an arbitrary vertex, and let $V_i := N_i(x)$ in T, the set of vertices of distance i from x in the tree T. Consider $G_i := G_{\pi}[V_i]$, the subgraph of G_{π} restricted to V_i . Then G_i has no Θ -graph of order 2k or larger.

Corollary 8. $e(G_i) \le (2k-2)|V_i|$ for $1 \le i \le k$.

Proof of Lemma 7. We use induction on *i*. Since $V_0 = x$, and V_1 (more exactly G_1) contains no path of 2k vertices, it does not contain a $\Theta_{\geq 2k}$ either. From now on, we may suppose that $i \geq 2$.

Suppose, on The contrary, that F is a Θ subgraph of G_i of order $\ell \ge 2k$, $i \ge 2$. For arbitrary $y \in V_1$, let $V_i(y)$ be the subset of descendants of y in V_i in the tree T. Consider the partition of V_i defined as $\{V_i(y): y \in V_1\}$. There exists a $y_1 \in V_1$ such that $A := V(y_1) \cap V(F) \ne \emptyset$.

We claim that F is contained in $V(y_1)$. Note that there is no path P(a,b) of F (neither of G_i) of length 2k+1-2i that starts in some vertex $a \in A \subset V_i(y_1)$ and ends in another vertex $b \in V_i \setminus V(y_1)$. Otherwise, the xy_1a and xb paths on T have only a single common vertex (namely x), have lengths i so together with P(a,b) they form a C_{2k+1} in G_{π} , a contradiction. Therefore, every path of length 2k+1-2i in F, that starts in A ends in A. Corollary 6 implies that A = V(F), i.e., $V(F) \subset V(y_1)$.

To finish the proof of Lemma 7 simply use induction to the subtree T_1 of T consisting of all descendants of y_1 . Then $N_{i-1}(y_1)$ in T_1 is exactly $V_i(y_1)$, so it does not contain any $\Theta_{>2k}$. \square

We say for two sets of sequences of integers $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ that $\alpha > \beta$, if there is an i such that $a_i > b_i$ and $a_i = b_j$ for all j < i. This is called the lexicographical ordering, and it is indeed a linear order.

We are ready to define a concrete T and a choice function π . Fix a vertex $x \in V(\mathcal{H})$ arbitrarily, let $V_0 := \{x\}$. Consider all choice functions π and all multicolored trees of G_{π} with root and center x and radius at most k. Let T be such a tree for which the sequence of the neighborhood sizes $(|N_1(x)|, \ldots, |N_k(x)|)$ takes its maximum in the lexicographic order. Since \mathcal{H} is linear we have $|N_1(x)| = \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(x)$. Recall that $N_i(x)$ is denoted by V_i , $0 \le i \le k$. Our aim is to prove that the sizes of the $|V_i|$'s increase rapidly as follows.

Lemma 9. For $1 \le i \le k - 1$ we have $|V_{i+1}| \ge \frac{\delta - 7k}{2k} |V_i|$.

This lemma completes the proof, because we obtain $n \ge |V_k| \ge (\delta - 7k)^{k-1} (2k)^{-k+1} |V_1|$. This and $|V_1| = \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(x) \ge \delta$ give $2kn^{1/k} + 7k \ge \delta$.

Proof of Lemma 9. Let \mathcal{H}_i be the hyperedges of \mathcal{H} containing the edges of T joining V_i to V_{i+1} , $0 \le i \le k-1$, we have $|\mathcal{H}_i| = |V_{i+1}|$. If $uvw = E \in \mathcal{H}_i$ with $u \in V_i$, $v \in V_{i+1}$, then $w \notin V_j$ with j < i. Otherwise, leaving out the edge uv from T and joining wv results in a multicolored tree preceding T in the lexicographic order.

Let \mathcal{B}_i be the set of hyperedges from $\mathcal{H}\setminus (\mathcal{H}_0\cup\mathcal{H}_1\cup\cdots\cup\mathcal{H}_i)$ meeting V_i , but not meeting $\bigcup_{i< i}V_j$, $0\leq i\leq k-1$. We have $\mathcal{B}_0=\emptyset$. If $E\in\mathcal{B}_i$, then $E\subset V_i\cup V_{i+1}$. Otherwise, if $u\in E\cap V_i$ and $v\in E\setminus (V_i\cup V_{i+1})$ then truncating our tree at $V_0\cup V_1\cup\cdots\cup V_{i+1}$ and joining the edge uv result in another tree lexicographically larger than T.

Let \mathcal{B}_{i}^{α} , $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, be the set of those hyperedges from \mathcal{B}_{i} , that meet V_{i} exactly in α vertices, $\alpha=1,2$ or 3. The graph G_{i} , for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, is defined on the vertex set V_{i} as follows. It contains exactly one vertex-pair from each member of \mathcal{B}_{i}^{3} and the pairs $E \cap V_{i}$ for $E \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}^{2}$. For i=k, the edge set of G_{k} consists only of the sets $\{E \cap V_{k} : E \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}^{1}\}$, since \mathcal{B}_{k} is undefined. The graph G_{π} consisting of the edges of E_{k} and the E_{k} and the E_{k} is a multicolored subgraph. So Corollary 8 implies that

$$e(G_i) \le (2k-2)|V_i|. \tag{16}$$

Consider the \mathcal{H} -degrees of the elements of V_i , $(1 \le i \le k-1)$. Their total sum is at least $\delta |V_i|$. Obviously,

$$\sum_{v \in V_i} \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{H}} |E \cap V_i|.$$

The edges of \mathcal{H} meeting V_i belong to some \mathcal{H}_j , $j \leq i$, or to $\mathcal{B}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{B}_i$. An edge $E \in \mathcal{H}_j$ can meet V_i in at least two elements, only if j is equal to i-1 or i. We obtain for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$

$$\begin{split} \delta|V_i| &\leq \sum_{v \in V_i} \deg(\mathcal{H})(v) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{H}} |E \cap V_i| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq i-2} |\mathcal{H}_j|\right) + 2|\mathcal{H}_{i-1}| + 2|\mathcal{H}_i| + |\mathcal{B}_{i-1}^2| + 2|\mathcal{B}_{i-1}^1| + 3|\mathcal{B}_i^3| + 2|\mathcal{B}_i^2| + |\mathcal{B}_i^1|. \end{split}$$

Inequality (16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{i-1}^2| &\leq e(G_{i-1}) \leq (2k-2)|V_{i-1}|, \\ 2|\mathcal{B}_{i-1}^1| + 3|\mathcal{B}_i^3| + 2|\mathcal{B}_i^2| &\leq 3(|\mathcal{B}_{i-1}^1| + |\mathcal{B}_i^3| + |\mathcal{B}_i^2|) = 3e(G_i) \leq (6k-6)|V_i|, \\ |\mathcal{B}_i^1| &\leq e(G_{i+1}) \leq (2k-2)|V_{i+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Using these inequalities and the fact that $|\mathcal{H}_i| = |V_{i+1}|$ we obtain that

$$\delta|V_i| \le \left(\sum_{1 \le i \le i-1} |V_i|\right) + 2|V_i| + 2|V_{i+1}| + (2k-2)|V_{i-1}| + (6k-6)|V_i| + (2k-2)|V_{i+1}|.$$

By rearranging we have

$$(\delta - (6k - 4))|V_i| \le \left(\sum_{1 \le i \le j - 1} |V_j|\right) + (2k - 2)|V_{i-1}| + 2k|V_{i+1}|. \tag{17}$$

For i = 1 the fact that $\mathcal{B}_0 = \emptyset$ implies the slightly stronger $(\delta - (6k - 4))|V_1| \le 2k|V_2|$. So Lemma 9 holds for i = 1. For larger i we use induction and (17) to prove first that $2|V_i| \le |V_{i+1}|$ for all i < k and then the sharper inequality of Lemma 9. \square

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author is supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation OTKA 104343, by the European Research Council Advanced Investigators Grant 26719 and by the Simons Foundation grant 317487.

This work was done while the first author visited the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA.

A major revision of the paper was done during a visit of the first author to the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden).

References

- [1] N. Alon, C. Shikhelman, Triangles in H-free graphs, http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4192.
- [2] B. Bollobás, E. Győri, Pentagons vs. triangles, Discrete Math. 308 (19) (2008) 4332–4336.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 16 (1974) 97–105.
- [4] B. Bukh, Z. Jiang, A bound on the number of edges in graphs without an even cycle, http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1601.
- [5] C. Collier, C.N. Graber, T. Jiang, Linear turán numbers of r-uniform linear cycles and related ramsey numbers, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.5015.
- [6] P. Erdős, On some problems in graph theory, combinatorial analysis and combinatorial number theory, in: Graph Theory and Combinatorics, CAmbridge, 1983, Academic Press, London, 1984, pp. 1–17.
- [7] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 10 (1959) 337–356.
- [8] A. Grzesik, On the maximum number of five-cycles in a triangle-free graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 102 (5) (2012) 1061–1066.
- [9] E. Győri, On the number of C_5 's in a triangle-free graph, Combinatorica 9 (1989) 101–102.
- [10] E. Győri, N. Lemons, 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a given odd cycle, Combinatorica 32 (2) (2012) 187–203.

- [11] E. Győri, N. Lemons, Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length, Combin. Probab. Comput. 21 (1–2) (2012) 193–201.
 [12] E. Győri, H. Li, The maximum number of triangles in C_{2k+1}-free graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 21 (1–2) (2012) 187–191.
 [13] H. Hatami, J. Hladký, D. Král, S. Norine, A. Razborov, On the number of pentagons in triangle-free graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 120 (3) (2013) 722–732.
 [14] T. Kővári, V.T. Sós, P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, Colloq. Math. 3 (1954) 50–57.
 [15] O. Pikhurko, A note on the Turán function of even cycles, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (11) (2012) 3687–3692.
 [16] J. Verstraëte, On arithmetic progressions of cycle lengths in graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 9 (4) (2000) 369–373.