

Partition Critical Hypergraphs

Zoltán Füredi³

*Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, Illinois, USA*

Attila Sali^{1,2}

*Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Budapest, Hungary*

Abstract

A k -uniform hypergraph (X, \mathcal{E}) is 3-color critical if it is not 2-colorable, but for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the hypergraph $(X, \mathcal{E} \setminus \{E\})$ is 2-colorable. Lovász proved in 1976, that $|\mathcal{E}| \leq \binom{n}{k-1}$ for a 3-color critical k -uniform hypergraph with $|X| = n$. Here we prove an ordered version that is a sharpening of Lovász' result. Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ be a k -uniform set system on an underlying set X of n elements. Let us fix an ordering E_1, E_2, \dots, E_t of \mathcal{E} and a prescribed partition $A_i \cup B_i = E_i$ ($A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$) for each member of \mathcal{E} . Assume that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ there exists a partition $C_i \cup D_i = X$ ($C_i \cap D_i = \emptyset$), such that $E_i \cap C_i = A_i$ and $E_i \cap D_i = B_i$, but $E_j \cap C_i \neq A_j$ and $E_j \cap D_i \neq B_j$ for all $j < i$. (That is, the i th partition cuts the i th set as it is prescribed, but does not cut any earlier set properly.) Then $t \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0} = \binom{n}{k-1} + O(n^{k-3})$. This is sharp for $k = 2, 3$. Furthermore, if $A_i = E_i$ and $B_i = \emptyset$ for all i , then $t \leq \binom{n}{k-1}$. We also give a construction of size $\binom{n}{k-1}$.

Keywords: color/partition critical hypergraph, linear algebra method

1 Introduction

Partition critical hypergraphs came up in the context of forbidden configuration theorems for simple 0 – 1 matrices [1]. They are generalizations of 3-critical hypergraphs. Our interest here is in the maximum number of edges in a k -uniform ℓ -critical hypergraph.

Definition 1.1 A k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} is ℓ -critical if it is not $\ell - 1$ -colorable, but deleting any edge or vertex results in a $\ell - 1$ -colorable hypergraph.

Toft proved [4] that for $k, \ell > 3$ fixed, $n \rightarrow \infty$, there $\exists k$ -uniform ℓ -critical hypergraph on n vertices of size $\Omega(n^k)$. But all 3-critical k -uniform hypergraphs have size $o(n^k)$. He asked: What is the maximum size of a 3-critical k -uniform hypergraph? Lovász [3] gave the following upper bound.

Theorem 1.2 Let \mathcal{H} be a 3-critical k -uniform hypergraph on an n -element underlying set. Then

$$|E(\mathcal{H})| \leq \binom{n}{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

Partition critical hypergraphs are generalizations of color critical ones.

Definition 1.3 A k -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ on an underlying set X of n elements is called *partition critical* if the followings hold. There exists an ordering E_1, E_2, \dots, E_t of \mathcal{E} and a prescribed partition $A_i \cup B_i = E_i$ ($A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$) for each member of \mathcal{E} such that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ there exists a partition $C_i \cup D_i = X$ ($C_i \cap D_i = \emptyset$), such that $E_i \cap C_i = A_i$ and $E_i \cap D_i = B_i$, but $E_j \cap C_i \neq A_j$ and $E_j \cap D_i \neq B_j$ for all $j < i$. (That is, the i th partition cuts the i th set as it is prescribed, but does not cut any earlier set properly.

A 3-critical hypergraph is certainly partition critical, as well. Indeed, for an arbitrary ordering of the edges E_1, E_2, \dots, E_t of \mathcal{E} , the partition $A_i = E_i$, $B_i = \emptyset$ works for all edges. Thus, we may introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.4 A partition critical hypergraph $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is called *ordered 3-critical* if $A_i = E_i$, $B_i = \emptyset$ for all edges $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$.

¹ Research is partially supported by Hungarian National research Fund(OTKA)

² Email: sali@renyi.hu

³ Email: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu

The following theorem is a strengthening of Lovász' theorem.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ be an ordered 3-critical k -uniform hypergraph. Then*

$$|\mathcal{E}| \leq \binom{n}{k-1}. \quad (2)$$

For an arbitrary partition critical k -uniform hypergraph we have

$$|\mathcal{E}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}. \quad (3)$$

holds. Bound (3) is sharp for $k = 2, 3$. Furthermore, for all $n \geq 2k - 1$ and $k \geq 2$ there exist partition critical k -uniform hypergraphs of size $\binom{n}{k-1}$.

The proof of the upper bound (2) is based on the polynomial method outlined in [2].

2 Upper bounds

Let us first consider the inequality (2). We define n -variable polynomials $P_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ for all $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$, furthermore $Q_H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ for all $H \subset X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|H| \leq k - 2$. Let P_i be defined by

$$P_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k-1} \left(\left(\sum_{v \in C_i} x_v \right) - m \right), \quad (4)$$

where C_i is one side of the partition $C_i \cup D_i = X$ that belongs to edge E_i according to Definition 1.3. On the other hand, Q_H is defined by

$$Q_H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{h \in H} x_h \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j - k \right). \quad (5)$$

Let \widehat{Y} denote the characteristic vector of subset $Y \subseteq X$. According to Definition 1.3 $P_j(\widehat{E}_i) = 0$, if $i < j$ but $P_j(\widehat{E}_j) \neq 0$. Indeed, $P_j(\widehat{E}_i) = \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k-1} (|C_j \cap E_i| - m)$. Since the partition $C_j \cup D_j = X$ cuts E_i in proper nonempty subsets, $1 \leq |C_j \cap E_i| \leq k - 1$ for $i < j$. Similarly, $Q_H(\widehat{Y}) \neq 0$ iff $H \subseteq Y$ and $|Y| \neq k$. Now let $\widetilde{P}_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be the polynomial obtained from P_i by expanding the products and the repeatedly replacing higher order factor x_v^2 by x_v for all $1 \leq v \leq n$. \widetilde{P}_i is multilinear of degree at most $k - 1$, furthermore for any subset $Y \subseteq X$ we have $\widetilde{P}_i(\widehat{Y}) = P_i(\widehat{Y})$. Let \widetilde{Q}_H be obtained from Q_H by the same reduction as above. \widetilde{Q}_H is also multilinear of

degree at most $k-1$ and $\tilde{Q}_H(\hat{Y}) = Q_H(\hat{Y})$ for any subset $Y \subseteq X$. The system of polynomials $\mathcal{P} = \{\tilde{Q}_H: H \subset X, |H| \leq k-2\} \cup \{\tilde{P}_i: 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ is linearly independent in the space of multilinear polynomials of degree at most $k-1$ of n variables. Thus,

$$|\{\tilde{Q}_H: H \subset X, |H| \leq k-2\}| + t = |\mathcal{P}| \leq \binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}, \quad (6)$$

which implies (2).

For arbitrary prescribed partitions (3) is proved using the polynomials described in [1]. Namely, define a polynomial $p_i(\underline{x}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ for each E_i as follows.

$$p_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{a \in A_i} (1 - x_a) \prod_{b \in B_i} x_b + (-1)^{k+1} \prod_{a \in A_i} x_a \prod_{b \in B_i} (1 - x_b) \quad (7)$$

Polynomials defined by (7) are multilinear of degree at most $k-1$, since the product $\prod_{e \in E_i} x_e$ cancels by the coefficient $(-1)^{k+1}$. It can be easily checked that $p_j(\hat{C}_i) = 0$ if $j < i$ and $p_i(\hat{C}_i) \neq 0$. Let us assume without loss of generality that the partitions $C_i \cup D_i = X$ are so that $n \in D_i$ holds for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Let polynomials q_i be defined by

$$q_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) = p_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|_{x_n=0} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]. \quad (8)$$

Let $C'_i = C_i|_{\{1,2,\dots,n-1\}}$. Then $q_j(\hat{C}'_i) = p_j(\hat{C}_i)$ for all $j \leq i$. Thus the polynomials defined in (8) are linearly independent, (3) follows.

3 Constructions

In this section we show that inequality (3) is sharp for $k = 2, 3$ and construct a k -uniform partition critical hypergraph (X, \mathcal{E}) on the underlying set $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of size $\binom{n}{k-1}$.

For $k = 2$ the 3-critical (hyper)graphs are the odd cycles and they reach equality in (3).

For $k = 3$, consider the following hypergraph (X, \mathcal{E}) , where $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2 \cup \{\{2, 4, 5\}\}$. \mathcal{E}_1 consists of all triplets that contain 1. $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{\{2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \dots, \{n-2, n-1, n\}, \{n-1, n, 2\}, \{n, 2, 3\}\}$. The prescribed partition of $E \in \mathcal{E}_1$ is $\{1\} \cup (E \setminus \{1\})$, while $\{i, i+1, i+2\}$ is decomposed as $\{i\} \cup \{i+1, i+2\} = \{i, i+1, i+2\}$, $i+1$ and $i+2$ should be understood cyclically, that is $n+1 \equiv 2$ and $n+2 \equiv 3$. Finally, $\{2, 4, 5\}$ is cut

as $\emptyset \cup \{2, 4, 5\} = \{2, 4, 5\}$. The ordering of the edges in \mathcal{E} is that edges in \mathcal{E}_1 are first in arbitrary order, then come edges in \mathcal{E}_2 also arbitrarily sorted, finally, $\{2, 4, 5\}$ is the last edge. The partition of the underlying set for $\{1, i, j\} \in \mathcal{E}_1$ is $\{i, j\} \cup (X \setminus \{i, j\})$. That for $\{i+1, i+2\} \in \mathcal{E}_2$ is $\{i\} \cup (X \setminus \{i\})$, finally the last partition (that belongs to $\{2, 4, 5\}$) is $\emptyset \cup X$. It is easy to check that this satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.3.

The following proposition is easy exercise.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $a \leq b \leq \frac{m}{2}$. There exists a matching from $\binom{[m]}{a}$ to $\binom{[m]}{b}$ so that if $A \in \binom{[m]}{a}$ is matched to $B \in \binom{[m]}{b}$ then $A \subseteq B$. \square*

The edge set \mathcal{E} is a disjoint union $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{E}_k$ where \mathcal{E}_i is on the underlying set $X_i = \{i, i+1, \dots, n\} (\subset X)$. Let \mathcal{E}_i consist of the k -sets of X_i matched by Proposition 3.1 to the collection of $k-i+1$ -sets of X_i that contain the element i . Thus, $|\mathcal{E}_i| = \binom{n-i}{k-i}$. If $F \in \mathcal{E}_i$, then there exists $i \in G_F \subset X_i$, such that $|G_F| = k-i+1$ and $G_F \subseteq F$. Let the partition prescribed to F be $F = (G_F \setminus \{i\}) \cup (F \setminus G_F \cup \{i\})$. The partition of the underlying set X that belongs to $F \in \mathcal{E}_i$ is $X = (G_F \setminus \{i\}) \cup (X \setminus G_F \cup \{i\})$. The ordering of edges in \mathcal{E} is that $E \in \mathcal{E}_i$ is before of $F \in \mathcal{E}_j$ if $i < j$, within the same \mathcal{E}_i arbitrary. The hypergraph (X, \mathcal{E}) defined above is partition critical, furthermore. the size of \mathcal{E} is $|\mathcal{E}| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathcal{E}_i| = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n-i}{k-i} = \binom{n}{k-1}$.

References

- [1] R.P. Anstee, B. Fleming, Z. Füredi, and A. Sali. Color critical hypergraphs and forbidden configurations. In S. Felsner, editor, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science Proceedings*, volume AE, pages 117–122, 2005.
- [2] Z. Füredi, K.-W. Hwang, and P. Weichsel. A proof and generalizations of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem using the method of linearly independent polynomials. In M. Klazar, J. Kratochvil, M. Loeb, J. Matousek, R. Thomas, and P. Valtr, editors, *Algorithms Combin.*, volume 26. Springer, Berlin, 2006. in: Topics in Discrete Mathematics.
- [3] L. Lovász. Chromatic number of hypergraphs and linear algebra. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 11:113–114, 1976.
- [4] B. Toft. On colour-critical hypergraphs. In *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, Infinite and Finite Sets, Keszthely (Hungary)*, pages 1445–1457. János Bolyai Mathematical Society, 1973.