

## Minimal Oriented Graphs of Diameter 2\*

Zoltán Füredi<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter Horak<sup>3</sup>, Chandra M. Pareek<sup>3</sup>, and Xuding Zhu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801-2975, USA.  
e-mail: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu

<sup>2</sup> Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1364 Budapest, POB 127.  
e-mail: furedi@math-inst.hu

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Kuwait University, Safat 13060, Kuwait.  
e-mail: horak@math-1.sci.kuniv.edu.kw

<sup>4</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Taiwan.  
e-mail: zhu@ibm7.math.nsysu.edu.tw

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Štefan Znám.

**Abstract.** Let  $f(n)$  be the minimum number of arcs among oriented graphs of order  $n$  and diameter 2. Here it is shown for  $n > 8$  that  $(1 - o(1))n \log n \leq f(n) \leq n \log n - (3/2)n$ .

### 1. Oriented chromatic number

An *oriented graph* is a digraph without opposite arcs, i.e., every pair of vertices is connected by at most one arc. An *oriented colouring* of an oriented graph  $D$  is a colouring of its vertices so that every colour class is an independent set, moreover for any two colour classes  $U$  and  $V$ , all the arcs between them have the same orientation, i.e., either all the arcs of  $D$  joining  $U$  and  $V$  go from  $U$  to  $V$  or all the arcs go from  $V$  to  $U$ . The *oriented chromatic number* of  $D$  is the minimum number of colours in such colourings. For an unoriented graph  $G$ , the *oriented chromatic number*,  $\chi_o(G)$ , of  $G$  is defined as the maximum oriented chromatic number of the orientations of  $G$ . The notion of the oriented chromatic number has been introduced by Courcelle [5]. It was noted in [12] that the oriented chromatic number of the complete bipartite graph,  $K_{k,k}$ , is  $2k$ , the order of the graph. In this paper, the following question is studied.

What is the minimum number of edges of a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with the property  $\chi_o(G) = n$ ?

---

\* 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C20, 05C35, 05C15; Secondary 05D99

*Key words and phrases*. Diameter of graphs, digraphs, crossintersecting families.

The research of the first author was supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation under grant OTKA 016389, and by a National Security Agency grant No. MDA904-95-H-1045. The research of the second author was supported by a Kuwait University grant SM-168.

Suppose,  $x, y$  are vertices of an oriented graph  $D$  such that  $x, y$  are neither adjacent nor connected by a directed path of length 2. Then the colouring of  $D$  which colours  $x, y$  by one colour, and colours every other vertex by a distinct colour is an oriented colouring of  $D$ . On the other hand, if  $x, y$  are adjacent or connected by a directed path of length 2, then they cannot be coloured by the same colour. Therefore, an oriented graph  $D$  has oriented chromatic number  $|V(D)|$  if and only if every pair of vertices of  $D$  is connected by an arc or by a directed path of length 2. In other words, for every pair of vertices of  $D$ , at least one vertex can be reached from the other in one or two steps by walking along the arcs of  $D$ .

## 2. Graphs of diameter 2

Let us define the *diameter* of an oriented graph  $D$  to be the least integer  $d$ , such that every pair of vertices is connected by a directed path of length at most  $d$ . Therefore, the question in the previous section is equivalent to the problem of determining the minimum number of arcs in an oriented graph of order  $n$  and diameter 2. We denote this number by  $f(n)$ , i.e.,  $f(n) = \min\{|E(D)| : |D| = n, \text{diam}(D) = 2\}$ .

In fact, the problem of determining the function  $f(n)$  was originally posed by Erdős, Rényi and Sós [7] in 1966 and later by Znám [15] and Dawes and Meijer [6]. For unoriented graphs the answer to the question of determining the minimum number of edges among all graphs of order  $n$  and diameter 2 is trivial. Such a graph has  $n - 1$  edges and the star is the only extremal graph. Katona and Szemerédi [11] showed that

$$\frac{n}{2} \log \frac{n}{2} \leq f(n) \leq n \lceil \log n \rceil. \quad (1)$$

All logs in this formula and all over in this paper are of base 2.

The main result of this paper is, that  $\lim f(n)/(n \log n) = 1$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We also provide a slight improvement of the upper bound.

**Theorem 1.** For any  $n \geq 9$ ,

$$(1 - o(1))n \log n \leq f(n) \leq n \log n - \frac{3}{2}n.$$

The corresponding constructions suggest that characterizing the extremal graphs is probably a difficult problem. At the end of the paper it is shown that our asymptotic result applies also to,  $\tilde{f}(n)$ , the minimum number of edges of oriented graphs of strong diameter 2.

## 3. Recursive constructions

To show that  $f(n) \leq n \log n - n$  for  $n > 3$ , we consider the following operation: Let  $G, H$  be two vertex disjoint oriented graphs and let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$ . The

oriented graph,  $G_v(H)$ , is obtained from  $G$  by replacing the vertex  $v$  by the graph  $H$ . More formally, the vertex set of  $G_v(H)$  is  $V(G) \cup V(H) - v$  and the arc  $a = (x, y)$  belongs to  $G_v(H)$  if one of the following holds:

- (i)  $a$  is an arc in either  $G - v$  or  $H$ ,
- (ii)  $x \in V(H)$ , and  $y \in V(G) - v$  and  $(v, y)$  is an arc of  $G$ ,
- (iii)  $x \in V(G) - v$ , and  $y \in V(H)$  and  $(x, v)$  is an arc of  $G$ .

It is easy to see, that if both  $G$  and  $H$  are oriented graphs of diameter 2, then  $G_v(H)$  is an oriented graph of diameter 2, too.

Now, we construct a sequence  $\{H(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of oriented graphs of diameter 2, where  $H(n)$  is of order  $n$ . First set  $H(1)$  to be a graph consisting of a single vertex and  $H(2)$  to be a graph on two vertices and an arc. Let  $G$  be an oriented path of length 2 with initial and terminal vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively. For  $n > 2$ , the graph  $H(n)$  is obtained from  $G$  by first substituting the vertex  $u$  by  $H\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$  and then  $v$  by  $H\left(\left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil\right)$ . Let  $h(n)$  be the number of arcs of  $H(n)$ . Then  $h(n) = h\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + h\left(\left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil\right) + n - 1$ . It can be easily proved by induction that

$$h(n) = (n+1)t - 2^{t+1} + 2$$

where  $t = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ . We have that  $h(n) - n \log n = n(t - \log n) - 2^{t+1} + (t+2)$ , which is clearly negative for  $n \geq 4$ . Even more, we have that

$$f(n) \leq n \log n - 1.913 \cdots n + t + 2, \quad (2)$$

where the number  $1.913 \dots$  stands for  $1 + \log e - \log \log e$ . This gives the upper bound in the Theorem for all  $n > 12$ . One can further improve the constant  $1.913 \dots$  by about another 0.03 observing that  $f(5) = 5$  (obtained from an oriented cycle), and again applying the recursion

$$f(n) \leq \min_i \{f(i) + f(n-1-i) + n-1\}.$$

Especially, this yields  $f(9) \leq 15$ ,  $f(10) \leq 18$ ,  $f(11) \leq 20$ ,  $f(12) \leq 24$  giving the upper bound in the Theorem for all  $n > 8$ .

However, all these efforts have no effect on the linearity of our error term.

#### 4. Lower bound by the method of crossintersecting pairs

Now, we shall prove the lower bound in Theorem 1. To get the lower estimate in (1) Katona and Szemerédi [11] derived, first, a lower bound on the number of vertices of bipartite graphs which cover the edges of the complete graph. (The same result was, independently but later, obtained by A. Moon [13], too). The method they used is known now as the method of crossintersecting families and

it was first applied successfully by Bollobás [4] in 1965. Actually, the method was rediscovered several times (e.g., Alspach, Ollmann and Reid [2] in 1975), for developments see, e.g., Tuza [14], and the survey [8]. To be able to improve on (1) by about a factor of 2, the crucial observation of our proof is that in an extremal oriented graph most of the edges are concentrated to a few high-degree vertices. Thus applying the method of crossintersecting families at the low degree endpoints *only*, we are able to avoid the double counting. To do this we have to use a version of the method allowing a bit more (but bounded) disjointness among the cross-intersecting pairs. (A similar approach was used in [10].)

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an oriented graph on  $n$  vertices of diameter 2. Let  $d = \lceil (\log n)^2 \rceil$ , and let  $A$  be the set of vertices of  $G$  of degree less than  $d$ . Suppose  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  and that the vertex  $x_i$  is adjacent to  $d_i$  vertices in  $V - A$ . Let  $s = |V - A|$ , and assume that  $V - A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$ . For each vertex  $x$  of  $A$ , we associate a set  $U(x)$  of 0–1-sequences of length  $s$  as follows:

$$U(x) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s) : a_i = 0 \text{ if } xv_i \in E \text{ and } a_i = 1 \text{ if } v_i x \in E\}.$$

Then  $|U(x_i)| = 2^{s-d_i}$ , as  $a_i$  could be either 0 or 1 in case of  $x_i$  is not adjacent to  $v_i$ . Next we show that each 0–1-sequence of length  $s$  can appear in at most  $1 + d^2$  sets  $U(x)$ . Indeed, if  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s) \in U(x) \cap U(y)$  then there is no vertex  $v \in V - A$  such that  $xv, vy \in E(G)$  or  $yv, vx \in E(G)$ . Since  $G$  has diameter 2, we conclude that  $x, y$  are either adjacent or connected by a path of length 2 contained in  $A$ . Since the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $A$  has maximum degree  $d$ , there are at most  $d^2$  vertices of  $A$  that are connected to  $x$  by a directed path (of either direction) of length 1 or 2. Therefore the 0–1-sequence  $\mathbf{a}$  appears in at most  $d^2$  other sets  $U(y)$ . Hence, by taking the sum  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |U(x_i)|$ , each 0–1-sequence of length  $s$  is counted at most  $1 + d^2$  times. It follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} 2^{-d_i} \leq 1 + d^2.$$

Let  $e = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} d_i$ . Since the function  $2^{-x}$  is convex, Jensen's inequality implies that

$$k2^{-e/k} \leq 1 + d^2.$$

Hence  $e \geq k \log k - k \log(1 + d^2)$ .

If  $|V - A| \geq 2n/\log n$  then the number of edges of  $G$  is at least  $n \log n$ . If  $|V - A| < 2n/\log n$ , then  $k = |A| > n - (2n/\log n)$ . This implies that

$$|E(G)| \geq e \geq k \log k - k \log(1 + \lceil \log n \rceil^4) = n \log n - O(n \log \log n). \quad \square$$

## 5. Strong diameter and other problems

**Strong diameter.** Define the *strong diameter* of a digraph as the least integer  $d$  such that for any pair of vertices,  $u$  and  $v$ , there exist two directed paths of length at most  $d$ , one from  $u$  to  $v$  and the other from  $v$  to  $u$ . Then one can ask the following question:

What is the minimum number of arcs,  $\bar{f}(n)$ , of an oriented graph on  $n$  vertices with strong diameter 2?

It turns out that  $\bar{f}(n)$  and  $f(n)$  are asymptotically the same.

**Theorem 2.**  $\bar{f}(n) = n \log n + O(n \log \log n)$ .

*Proof.* The lower bound follows from the fact that  $\bar{f}(n) \geq f(n)$ . For the upper bound, we construct the digraph  $G$  as follows:

Let  $A$  be a set of size  $2k$ , and let  $B$  be a set of size at most  $\binom{2k}{k}$ , where we choose  $k$  to be the minimum integer with  $n \leq 2k + 2 + \binom{2k}{k}$ . Then the vertex set of  $G$  is  $V = A \cup B \cup \{x, y\}$  and there is an arc from  $x$  to each element of  $A$ , an arc from each element of  $A$  to  $y$ , an arc from  $y$  to each element of  $B$ , an arc from each element of  $B$  to  $x$ . Moreover associate each vertex  $b$  of  $B$  a distinct  $k$ -subset  $S(b)$  of  $A$ . Then put an arc from  $b$  to each element of  $S(b)$  and an arc from each element of  $A - S(b)$  to  $b$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $G$  indeed has strong diameter 2, and that the number of arcs is as it was claimed. (The only extra care we need is, that for every  $a, a' \in A$  we have to choose an  $S(b)$  with  $a \in S(b)$  but  $a' \notin S(b)$ . This can be done by less than  $k(k-1)$  sets, then the rest of the choices for  $S(b)$ 's could be arbitrary.)  $\square$

We believe, though, that the above construction is close to the optimal, and there must be a relatively large gap between  $f(n)$  and  $\bar{f}(n)$ .

**Conjecture 1.**  $\bar{f}(n) \geq n \log n + (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n \log \log n$ .

**Larger diameters.** Let  $f(n, d)$  ( $\bar{f}(n, d)$ ) denote the minimum number of arcs in a simple  $n$ -vertex directed graph of diameter (strong diameter, respectively) at most  $d$ . For fixed  $d > 2$ , the order of magnitude is only linear in  $n$ . This and some conjectures of Znám [15] and Dawes and Meijer [6] are the subject of a forthcoming manuscript [9].

**Homomorphisms of edge coloured graphs.** Very recently, N. Alon and T. H. Marshall [1] discussed the following problem. A *homomorphism* of an edge coloured graph  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  to another edge coloured graph  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  is a mapping  $\varphi: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that for every edge  $uv$  of  $G_1$ ,  $\varphi(u)\varphi(v)$  is an edge of  $G_2$ , and that the colour of the edge  $\varphi(u)\varphi(v)$  is the same as that of  $uv$ . For an edge coloured graph  $G$ , let  $\lambda(G)$  be the minimal order of an edge coloured graph  $H$  such that there is a homomorphism of  $G$  to  $H$ . For an uncoloured graph  $G$ , we may define  $\lambda(G, k)$  to be the maximum of  $\lambda(G')$  where  $G'$  runs over all edge colourings of  $G$  by  $k$  colours. Given an uncoloured, undirected graph  $G$ , it is easy to see that  $\lambda(G, 1) = \chi(G)$ . It turns out that  $\lambda(G, 2)$  and  $\chi_o(G)$  are closely related, although they are different. One can ask the following question:

What is the minimum number of edges,  $g(n)$ , of a graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices such that  $\lambda(G, 2) = n$ ?

Similarly to the case of the oriented chromatic number, this question is equivalent to the question of finding the minimum number of edges of an edge coloured

graph with 2 colours such that any pair of vertices are either adjacent or connected by a path of length two whose two edges are coloured by distinct colours. In general  $g(n)$  is different from  $f(n)$ , for example  $f(5) = 5$  and  $g(5) = 6$ . However, it is straightforward to modify the argument in this paper to show that

$$(1 - o(1))n \log n \leq g(n) \leq n \log n. \quad (3)$$

The details are omitted.

## References

1. Alon, N., Marshall, T.H.: Homomorphisms of edge-coloured graphs and Coxeter groups. manuscript (1996)
2. Alspach, B., Ollmann, L.T., Reid, K.B.: Mutually disjoint families of 0–1 sequences. *Discrete Math.* **12**, 205–209 (1975)
3. Berge, C., *Graphs and hypergraphs*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973
4. Bollobás, B.: On generalized graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **16**, 447–452 (1965)
5. Courcelle, B.: The monadic second order logic of graphs VI: On several representations of graphs by relational structures. *Discrete Applied Math.* **54**, 117–149 (1994)
6. Dawes, R., Meijer, H.: Arc-minimal digraphs of specified diameter. *J. Comb. Math. and Comb. Comput.* **1**, 85–96 (1987)
7. Erdős, P., Rényi, A., Sós, V.T.: On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **1**, 215–235 (1966)
8. Füredi, Z.: Matchings and covers in hypergraphs. *Graphs Comb.* **4**, 115–206 (1988)
9. Füredi, Z.: Minimal directed graphs of specified diameter. In preparation
10. Füredi, Z., Reimer, D., Seress, Á.: Hajnal's triangle-free game and extremal graph problems. *Congressus Numerantium* **82**, 123–128 (1991)
11. Katona, G., Szemerédi, E.: On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Scientiarum Math. Hungarica* **2**, 23–28 (1967)
12. Kostochka, A.V., Sopena, E., Zhu, X.: Acyclic chromatic numbers of graphs. *J. Graph Theory* **24**, 331–340 (1997)
13. Moon, Aeryung: unpublished manuscript (1985)
14. Tuza, Zs.: Inequalities for two set-systems with prescribed intersections. *Graphs Comb.* **3**, 75–80 (1987)
15. Znám, Š.: The minimal number of edges of a directed graph with given diameter. *Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math. Publ.* **24**, 181–185 (1970)

Received: April 12, 1996

Revised: November 28, 1997