# Note

## On r-Cover-free Families

## Zoltán Füredi

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801 and Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O.B. 127, Budapest, 1364 Hungary

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received January 12, 1995

A very short proof is presented for the almost best upper bound for the size of an r-cover-free family over n elements. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

A family of sets  $\mathscr{F}$  is called r-cover-free if  $A_0 \nsubseteq A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  holds for all distinct  $A_0, A_1, ..., A_r \in \mathscr{F}$ . Let T(n,r) denote the maximum cardinality of such an  $\mathscr{F}$  over an n-element underlying set. This notion was introduced by Kautz and Singleton [4] in 1964 concerning binary codes. They proved  $\Omega(1/r^2) \le \log T(n,r)/n \le O(1/r)$  (log is always of base 2). This result was rediscovered several times in information theory, in combinatorics [2], and in group testing [3]. A recent account and related problems can be found in Körner [5]. Dyachkov and Rykov [1] obtained with a rather involved proof that  $\log T(n/r)/n \le O(\log r/r^2)$ . Recently, Ruszinkó [6] gave a purely combinatorial proof. Our aim is to present an even simpler argument to show that

$$\frac{\log T(n,r)}{n} \leqslant \frac{4\log r + O(1)}{r^2}.$$
 (1)

This upper bound is twice as good as that of [6], but about half as good as that obtained from the inductive proof of [1]. Our argument is implicitly contained in Erdős, Frankl, and Füredi [2].

Theorem. If  $\mathscr{F}$  is a family of subsets of an n-element underlying set V such that no set  $F_0 \in \mathscr{F}$  is contained in the union of r other members of  $\mathscr{F}$ , then

$$|\mathscr{F}| \le r + \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{(n-r)} \middle/ \binom{r+1}{2} \right\rceil \right). \tag{2}$$

NOTE 173

*Proof.* Fix an integer t with  $n/2 \ge t > 0$ . Define  $\mathscr{F}_t \subset \mathscr{F}$  as the family of members having its *own t*-subset, i.e.,  $\mathscr{F}_t := \{F \in \mathscr{F} : \text{there exists a } t\text{-element set } A \subseteq F \text{ such that } A \not\subseteq F' \text{ holds for every other } F' \in \mathscr{F} \}$ , and let  $\mathscr{A}$  be the family of these t-subsets. Let  $\mathscr{F}_0 := \{F \in \mathscr{F} : |F| < t\}$ , and let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the family of t-sets containing a member of  $\mathscr{F}_0$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{B} := \{T : T \subset V, |T| = t,$  and there exists some  $F \in \mathscr{F}_0$  with  $T \supset F\}$ . The set-system  $\mathscr{F}$  is an *antichain*; no two members contain each other. This implies that  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$  are disjoint. A lemma of Sperner [7] states that  $|\mathscr{F}_0| \le |\mathscr{B}|$ . We obtain that  $|\mathscr{F}_0 \cup \mathscr{F}_t| \le |\mathscr{A}| + |\mathscr{B}| \le \binom{n}{t}$ .

Let  $\mathscr{F}' := \mathscr{F} \setminus (\mathscr{F}_0 \cup \mathscr{F}_i)$ . We claim that  $F \in \mathscr{F}'$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , ...,  $F_i \in \mathscr{F}$  imply

$$\left| F \middle\backslash \bigcup_{i \le i} F_j \right| > t(r - i). \tag{3}$$

Indeed, if  $F \setminus (F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_i)$  can be written as the union of the *t*-element sets  $A_{i+1}, A_{i+2}, ..., A_r$ , then by the choice of F there are members  $F \neq F_j \in \mathscr{F}$  with  $A_j \subseteq F_j$ . Therefore  $F \subset (F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_r)$ , a contradiction.

Inequality (3) implies that for  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$ , ...,  $F_r \in \mathcal{F}'$  one has  $|\bigcup_{i \leqslant r} F_i| = |F_0| + |F_1 \setminus F_0| + |F_2 \setminus (F_1 \cup F_0)| + \cdots + |F_r \setminus (F_0 \cup F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_{r-1})| \geqslant r+1 + t\binom{r+1}{2}$ . Here the right-hand side exceeds n for  $t := \lceil (n-r)/\binom{r+1}{2} \rceil$ , implying  $|\mathcal{F}'| \leqslant r$ .

Finally, the upper bound (1) easily follows from (2) using  $\binom{n}{t} \le n^t/t! < (en/t)^t$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by grants OTKA 4269 and OTKA 016389 from the Hungarian National Science Foundation and by a National Security Agency grant No. MDA 904-95-H-1045.

### REFERENCES

- A. G. DYACHKOV AND V. V. RYKOV, Bounds on the length of disjunctive codes, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* 18, No. 3 (1982), 7–13. [Russian]
- P. Erdős, P. Frankl, and Z. Füredi, Families of finite sets in which no set is covered by the union of r others, *Israel J. Math.* 51 (1985), 79–89.
- F. K. HWANG AND V. T. Sós, Non-adaptive hypergeometric group testing, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 22 (1987), 257–263.
- W. H. KAUTZ AND R. C. SINGLETON, Nonrandom binary superimposed codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 10 (1964), 363–377.
- J. KÖRNER, On the extremal combinatorics of the Hamming space, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 71 (1995), 112–126.
- M. Ruszinkó, On the upper bound of the size of the r-cover-free families, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 66 (1994), 302–310.
- E. SPERNER, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, Math. Z. 27 (1928), 544–548.