

On the Number of Edges of Quadrilateral-Free Graphs

Zoltán Füredi*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801; and
Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
POB 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary*

Received August 3, 1994

If a graph has $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices ($q > 13$), e edges and no 4-cycles then $e \leq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$. Equality holds for graphs obtained from finite projective planes with polarities. This partly answers a question of Erdős from the 1930's. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

1. RESULTS

Let $f(n)$ denote the maximum number of edges in a (simple) graph on n vertices without four-cycles, (i.e., quadrilateral-free). Erdős [6] proposed the problem of determining $f(n)$ more than 50 years ago, and still no formula appears to be known. McCuaig [15] calculated $f(n)$ for $n \leq 21$. Clapham, Flockart and Sheehan [4] determined all the extremal graphs for $n \leq 21$. This analysis was extended to $n \leq 31$ by Yuansheng and Rowlinson [18] by an extensive computer search. Asymptotically $f(n) \sim \frac{1}{2}n^{3/2}$ (see Brown [2] and Erdős, Rényi and T. Sós [10]).

If q is a prime power and $n = q^2 + q + 1$, then a graph with n vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges and no 4-cycles can be constructed from a projective plane of order q (the *polarity graph*, defined first by Erdős and Rényi [9], see below in Section 2). Erdős [7], [8] conjectured that the polarity graph is optimal for large q . In [11] it was proved that

$$f(q^2 + q + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2 \quad (1)$$

for all even q . It follows that equality holds in (1) for $q = 2^\alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 1$).

In a previous version of this paper [12] it was shown that for large enough q , not only is Erdős' conjecture valid but also the only extremal graphs are the polarity graphs. For $q = 2$ there are 5, and for $q = 3$ there are 2 graphs with the maximum number of edges and so the lower bound on q is essential. (The obvious condition, $q \geq q_0$, was left out from the first announcement of the result in [11]). It seems there are no further

* E-mail: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu; furedi@math-inst.hu.

exceptional cases for $q > 5$. That proof in [12] is rather involved and lengthy and uses the machinery of the theory of finite linear spaces and quasi-designs. The aim of this note is to give a short, simplified proof that (1) is valid for all $q \neq 1, 7, 9, 11, 13$. The description of the extremal graphs will appear in [12]

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a quadrilateral-free graph with e edges on $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices, and suppose that $q \geq 15$. Then $e \leq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$.*

COROLLARY 1. *Let q be a prime power greater than 13, $n = q^2 + q + 1$. Then $f(n) = \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$.*

2. QUASI-DESIGNS AND FINITE LINEAR SPACES

In this section we recall a few results we use in the proof. There is a deep connection between 0–1 intersecting families, (i.e., any two sets have at most one common element), linear spaces (definition below), and quadrilateral-free graphs. First of all, the family of neighborhoods, $\{N(x): x \in V\}$, of a C_4 -free graph, $G = (V, \mathcal{E})$, is 0–1 intersecting.

Consider a 0–1 intersecting family, \mathcal{F} , of $(q+1)$ -element sets on $q^2 + q + 1$ elements and suppose that \mathcal{F} has two disjoint members. Metsch [16] proved that for $q \geq 15$

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq q^2 + 1. \quad (2)$$

Consider a family of $(q+1)$ -element sets, \mathcal{R} , on $q^2 + q + 1$ elements and suppose that $|\mathcal{R}| \geq q^2$ and it is 1-intersecting (i.e., $|R \cap R'| = 1$ holds for each pair of distinct $R, R' \in \mathcal{R}$). Vanstone [17] proved that \mathcal{R} is actually a partial projective plane, i.e., one can find a family \mathcal{P} such that

$$\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{P} \quad (3)$$

forms (the line system of) a projective plane of order q . Dow [5] proved that for such an extension

$$\mathcal{P} \text{ is unique.} \quad (4)$$

A *linear space* is a pair (P, \mathcal{L}) consisting of a set P of *points* and a family of subsets of P , \mathcal{L} , called *lines*, such that any two distinct points x and y are contained in a unique line and each line has at least 2 points. The linear space is called *trivial* if it has only one line, $\mathcal{L} = \{P\}$. deBruijn and Erdős [3] proved that for every nontrivial linear space

$$|\mathcal{L}| \geq |P|. \quad (5)$$

A *polarity* π of a projective plane (P, \mathcal{L}) is a bijection $\pi: P \leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which preserves incidences. A point x is called *absolute* with respect to π if $x \in \pi(x)$. The number of absolute points is denoted by $a(\pi)$. A bijection $x_i \leftrightarrow L_i$ is a polarity if and only if the corresponding incidence matrix, M , of the projective plane is symmetric. Moreover, $a(\pi) = \text{trace}(M)$. A theorem of Baer [1] states that for every polarity π

$$a(\pi) \geq q + 1. \quad (6)$$

The polarity graph. Consider a projective plane, H , of order q , with polarity π . Let M be a symmetric incidence matrix of H defined by π . Replace the 1's on the main diagonal by 0's. The matrix A obtained in this way is an adjacency matrix of a graph G , called the *polarity graph*; G is quadrilateral free. More properties of this and other symmetric graphs can be found in [13].

If H is Desarguesian then a polarity π can be defined by $(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow [x, y, z]$. Two distinct points (x, y, z) and (x', y', z') are joined in G if and only if $xx' + yy' + zz' = 0$. A point not on the conic $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ is joined to exactly $q + 1$ points and each of the $q + 1$ points on this conic is joined to exactly q points, so G has $\frac{1}{2}q(q + 1)^2$ edges.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $G = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a four-cycle free graph on n vertices with e edges. The set of vertices adjacent to the vertex $x \in V$ is called the *neighborhood*, and it is denoted by $N(x) := \{y \in V \setminus \{x\} : xy \in \mathcal{E}\}$. The size of $N(x)$ is called the *degree* of G at x , and it is denoted by $\deg(x)$. Suppose that $n = q^2 + q + 1$, where $q > 1$ is an integer.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a quadrilateral-free graph on $n = q^2 + q + 1$ vertices, with $q > 1$. Suppose that the maximum degree, $\Delta(G)$, satisfies $\Delta(G) \geq q + 2$. Then $e \leq \frac{1}{2}q(q + 1)^2$.*

This Lemma 1 comes from [11]. Its proof is based on the following inequalities where x_0 is any vertex of degree Δ :

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n - \Delta}{2} &\geq \text{the number of paths of length 2 in } G \text{ with endpoints in } V \setminus N(x_0) \\ &\geq \sum_{x \neq x_0} \binom{\deg(x) - 1}{2} \geq (n - 1) \binom{(2e - \Delta - n + 1)/(n - 1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we suppose that the maximum degree of G is at most $q+1$. We may also suppose that $e \geq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$. This implies that the number of vertices of degree $q+1$ is at least q^2 . Let $\mathcal{R} = \{N(x): x \in V, |N(x)| = q+1\}$, $R = \{x \in V: |N(x)| = q+1\}$.

We may suppose that each vertex has degree at least 2. Indeed, $\deg(x) \leq 1$ implies $2e = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) \leq 1 + (n-1)(q+1) = q(q+1)^2 + 1$. Since $2e$ is even, we get the desired upper bound. (Let us note, that in [4] it was proved that each vertex has degree at least 2 for every extremal graph for all $n \geq 7$.)

We may even suppose that $|N(x) \cap R| \geq 2$ for each $x \in V$. Suppose, on the contrary, that for some vertex x_0 the neighborhood $N_0 = N(x_0)$ contains at least $|N_0| - 1$ vertices of G of degree less than $q+1$. The degree of x_0 is exactly $|N_0|$. We obtain

$$\sum_{x \in V(G)} (q+1 - \deg(x)) \geq (q+1 - |N_0|) + (|N_0| - 1) = q. \quad (7)$$

This implies $e \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(nq + n - q) \rfloor$, the desired upper bound.

Case 1. Suppose that \mathcal{R} contains two disjoint sets. Then, by (2), $|\mathcal{R}| \leq q^2 + 1$, so G contains at least q vertices of degree at most q . Therefore $2e \leq n(q+1) - q = q(q+1)^2 + 1$ and we get the desired upper bound.

Case 2. Suppose \mathcal{R} contains no disjoint sets, i.e., \mathcal{R} is a 1-intersecting family of size at least q^2 . Then (3) implies that there exists a family \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{P}$ form a projective plane. For every $N = N(x)$, $N \notin \mathcal{R}$, the restricted hypergraph $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{P}|N$ is a linear space (not considering the hyperedges of size less than 2), i.e., $\mathcal{N} := \{N \cap P: P \in \mathcal{P}, |P \cap N| \geq 2\}$.

Suppose that there exists a neighborhood $N_0 = N(x_0)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}(x_0)$ is not a trivial space. The inequality (5) gives that $|\mathcal{N}_0| \geq |N_0|$, which implies $|V \setminus R| = |\mathcal{P}| \geq |\mathcal{N}_0| \geq |N_0|$. Hence there are at least $|N_0| - 1$ vertices of G of degree less than $q+1$ distinct from x_0 . The degree of x_0 is exactly $|N_0|$. Then (7) holds, implying the desired upper bound for e .

From now on, we may suppose that for each neighborhood N with $|N| \leq q$ there exists a unique $P = P(N) \in \mathcal{P}$, such that $N \subset P$. Then the incidence matrix, M , of $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{P}$ majorizes the adjacency matrix, A , of G . i.e., M is obtained from A by changing a few 0's to 1. Here we suppose that the ordering of the vertex sets and \mathcal{R} in both matrices are the same, and for the row $N \notin \mathcal{R}$ we associate the row $P(N)$ in M . We also suppose that the first $|R|$ rows (and columns) of A correspond to the vertices of R . The extra entries of M must be in the rows corresponding to \mathcal{P} , and in the columns corresponding to $V \setminus R$. i.e., M and A coincide outside the lower right corner.

The matrix A is symmetric, and we claim that the matrix M is symmetric, too. If not, then M and its transpose M^T give two different extensions of the partial projective plane \mathcal{R} . However, by (4) these two extensions must be the same, apart from the ordering of the rows. But every row contains at least two 1's from the first $|R|$ columns, so the ordering of the rows is also determined.

Finally, (6) implies, that M has at least $q+1$ nonzero elements on its main diagonal. However, $\text{trace}(A)=0$, so M was obtained by adding $q+1$ new elements to the main diagonal of A , i.e., G is the polarity graph. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation under Grants OTKA 4269 and OTKA 016389, and by National Security Agency Grant MDA904-95-H-1045. The author is greatly indebted to the referees for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, Polarities in finite projective planes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 77–93.
2. W. G. Brown, On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph, *Canada Math. Bull.* **9** (1966), 281–289.
3. N. G. deBruijn and P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, *Indag. Math.* **10** (1948), 421–423.
4. C. R. J. Clapham, A. Flockart, and J. Sheehan, Graphs without four-cycles, *J. Graph Theory* **13** (1989), 29–47.
5. S. Dow, An improved bound for extending partial projective planes, *Discrete Math.* **45** (1983), 199–207.
6. P. Erdős, On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and some related problems, *Izv. Naustno-Issl. Inst. Mat. i Meh. Tomsk* **2** (1938), 74–82. (*Zbl* **20**, 5.)
7. P. Erdős, Some recent results on extremal problems in graph theory, in “Theory of Graphs” (Internat. Sympos., Rome, 1966), pp. 117–130, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1967.
8. P. Erdős, Problems and results in combinatorial analysis, in “Colloq. International Sulle Teorie Combinatorie, Rome, 1975,” Vol. 2., pp. 3–17, Acad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, 1976.
9. P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On a problem in the theory of graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.* **7A** (1962), 623–641. [Hungarian with English and Russian summaries]
10. P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós, On a problem of graph theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **1** (1966), 215–235.
11. Z. Füredi, Graphs without quadrilaterals, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **34** (1983), 187–190.
12. Z. Füredi, Quadrilateral-free graphs with maximum number of edges, submitted for publication.
13. W. M. Kantor, Moore geometries and rank 3 groups having $\mu=1$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **28** (1977), 309–328.
14. T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, *Colloq. Math.* **3** (1954), 50–57.

15. W. McCuaig, unpublished letter, 1985.
16. K. Metsch, On the maximum size of a maximal partial plane, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* (7) **12** (1992), 345–355.
17. S. A. Vanstone, The extendability of $(r, 1)$ -designs, in “Proc. Third Manitoba Conf. on Numerical Math., Winnipeg, 1973,” 409–418.
18. Yang Yuansheng and P. Rowlinson, On extremal graphs without four-cycles, *Utilitas Math.* **41** (1992), 204–220.