

Note

Non-trivial Intersecting Families

P. FRANKL

CNRS, 15 Quai A. France, 75007 Paris, France

AND

Z. FÜREDI

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
1364 Budapest, P.O.B. 127, Hungary*

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received April 16, 1984

The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem states that if \mathbf{F} is a family of k -subsets of an n -set no two of which are disjoint, $n \geq 2k$, then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ holds. Taking all k -subsets through a point shows that this bound is best possible. Hilton and Milner showed that if $\bigcap \mathbf{F} = \emptyset$ then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 1$ holds and this is best possible. In this note a new, short proof of this theorem is given. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose X is an n -element set and \mathbf{F} is a family of k -subsets of X . The family \mathbf{F} is called *intersecting* if $F \cap F' \neq \emptyset$ holds for all $F, F' \in \mathbf{F}$. For $n < 2k$ every \mathbf{F} is intersecting. From now on assume $n \geq 2k$.

If all members of \mathbf{F} contain a fixed element of X then, obviously, \mathbf{F} is intersecting. Such a family is called *trivial*. Clearly, a trivial intersecting family has at most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ members.

ERDŐS–KO–RADO THEOREM [1]. *If $n \geq 2k$, \mathbf{F} is intersecting then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ holds.*

EXAMPLE 1. Take $F_1 \subset X$, $|F_1| = k$ and $x_1 \in X - F_1$. Define $\mathbf{F}_1 = \{F_1\} \cup \{F \subset X: x_1 \in F, |F| = k, F \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset\}$. It is easily checked that \mathbf{F}_1 is intersecting and $|\mathbf{F}_1| = \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} + 1$.

EXAMPLE 2. Take $F_2 \subset X$, $|F_2| = 3$ and define $\mathbf{F}_2 = \{F \subset X; |F| = k, |F \cap F_2| \geq 2\}$. Again, \mathbf{F}_2 is intersecting. For $k = 2$, $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}_2$ while for $k = 3$, $|\mathbf{F}_1| = |\mathbf{F}_2|$ hold. If $n > 2k$ and $k \geq 4$ then $|\mathbf{F}_1| > |\mathbf{F}_2|$.

HILTON-MILNER THEOREM [4]. *If $n > 2k$ and \mathbf{F} is a non-trivial intersecting family then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq |\mathbf{F}_1|$ holds. Moreover, equality is possible only for $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1$ or $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_2$, the latter occurs only for $k \leq 3$.*

Note that this theorem shows in a strong way that only trivial families attain equality in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. The proof of the Hilton-Milner theorem is rather long and complicated. The aim of this note is to give a more concise argument.

2. THE NEW PROOF OF THE HILTON-MILNER THEOREM

Suppose for simplicity the elements of X are linearly ordered. Let \mathbf{F} be a non-trivial intersecting family of maximal size. We prove the statement by induction on k . If $k = 2$, then \mathbf{F} consists necessarily of the three edges of a triangle. For $x, y \in X$, $x < y$ we define $S_{xy}(\mathbf{F}) = \{S_{xy}(F); F \in \mathbf{F}\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{xy}(F) &= (F - \{y\}) \cup \{x\} & \text{if } x \notin F, y \in F, (F - \{y\}) \cup \{x\} \notin \mathbf{F} \\ &= F & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.1 (see [1]). $|S_{xy}(\mathbf{F})| = |\mathbf{F}|$ and $S_{xy}(\mathbf{F})$ is intersecting. ■

Apply repeatedly the operation S_{xy} to \mathbf{F} until we obtain either a family \mathbf{H} such that $S_{xy}(\mathbf{H})$ is trivial or a family \mathbf{G} which is *stable*, i.e., $S_{xy}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}$ holds for all $x < y$. In the second case we define $X_0 = \emptyset$ in the first $X_1 = \{x, y\}$. Then $H \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$ holds for all $H \in \mathbf{H}$. The maximality of $|\mathbf{H}|$ implies that all k -subsets containing X_1 are in \mathbf{H} . Now apply repeatedly S_{xy} to \mathbf{H} for $x < y$, $x, y \in (X - X_1)$. Since the sets containing X_1 stay fixed, finally we obtain a family \mathbf{G} , satisfying:

- (1) $G \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$ for all $G \in \mathbf{G}$,
- (2) $S_{xy}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}$ for $x, y \in (X - X_1)$, $x < y$.

For $i = 0, 1$ let Y_i be the set of first $2k - 2i$ elements of $X - X_i$, $Y = X_i \cup Y_i$.

LEMMA 2.2. *For all $G, G' \in \mathbf{G}$, $G \cap G' \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ holds.*

Proof. Consider first the case $Y = X_1 \cup Y_1$. Suppose for contradiction $G \cap G' \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $G, G' \in \mathbf{G}$ are such that $|G \cap G'|$ is minimal. Now (1) implies that G and G' intersect X_1 in different elements. Thus $G - X_1$,

$G' - X_1$ are $(k - 1)$ -sets. Since $G \cap G' \cap (X - Y) \neq \emptyset$, we may choose $x \in Y$, $x \notin G \cup G'$, $y \notin Y$, $y \in G \cap G'$. Then (2) implies $(G' - \{y\}) \cup \{x\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G'' \in \mathbf{G}$. However, $G \cap G'' \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $|G \cap G''| < |G \cap G'|$, a contradiction.

The case $Y = X_0 \cup Y_0$ is similar but easier (cf. [2]). ■

Let us define $\mathbf{A}_i = \{G \in \mathbf{G} : |G \cap Y| = i\}$.

LEMMA 2.3.

$$|\mathbf{A}_i| \leq \binom{2k-1}{i-1} - \binom{k-1}{i-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1$$

and

$$|\mathbf{A}_k| \leq \binom{2k-1}{k-1} - \binom{k-1}{k-1} + 1 = \binom{2k-1}{k-1}.$$

Proof. Consider first the case $2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Suppose for contradiction

$$|\mathbf{A}_i| > \binom{2k-1}{i-1} - \binom{k-1}{i-1} \geq \binom{2k-1}{i-1} - \binom{2k-i-1}{i-1} + 1.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, \mathbf{A}_i is intersecting. Thus the induction hypothesis yields that \mathbf{A}_i is trivial, say $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{A}_i$. As \mathbf{G} is nontrivial, we may choose $G \in \mathbf{G}$, $x \notin G$. By Lemma 2.2 $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$ holds for all $A \in \mathbf{A}_i$. Consequently, $|\mathbf{A}_i| \leq \binom{2k-1}{i-1} - \binom{k-1}{i-1}$ holds, as desired. The case $i = 1$, i.e., $\mathbf{A}_1 = \emptyset$, is obvious.

$|\mathbf{A}_k| \leq \binom{2k-1}{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$ follows easily from the fact that \mathbf{A}_k is intersecting and therefore $A \in \mathbf{A}_k$ implies $(Y - A) \notin \mathbf{A}_k$. ■

Since for a fixed $A \in \mathbf{A}_i$ there are at most $\binom{n-2k}{k-i}$ k -element sets G with $G \cap Y = A$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{G}| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbf{A}_i| \binom{n-2k}{k-i} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\binom{2k-1}{i-1} - \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right) \binom{n-2k}{k-i} \\ &= 1 + \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-1} = |\mathbf{F}_1|, \end{aligned}$$

proving the inequality part of the Theorem.

To have equality we must have equality in Lemma 2.3, in particular $|\mathbf{A}_2| = \binom{2k-1}{1} - \binom{k-1}{1} = k$. As \mathbf{A}_2 is intersecting either it is a k -star or $k = 3$ and it is a triangle. In the second case $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{F}_2$ is immediate. In the first case let $\mathbf{A}_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \dots, \{x_1, x_{k+1}\}\}$. If $G \in \mathbf{G}$, $x_1 \notin G$ then necessarily $G = \{x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$, i.e., all other members of \mathbf{G} contain x_1 and intersect G ,

proving $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{F}_1$. Recall that \mathbf{G} was obtained from \mathbf{F} by a series of exchange operations $S_{x,y}$. It is easy to check that if \mathbf{H} is intersecting and $S_{xy}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{F}_i$ then \mathbf{H} is isomorphic to \mathbf{F}_i , too ($i = 1, 2$). Consequently, \mathbf{F} is isomorphic to either \mathbf{F}_1 or \mathbf{F}_2 . ■

3. FURTHER PROBLEMS

If for $F, F' \in \mathbf{F}$ $|F \cap F'| \geq t$ holds then \mathbf{F} is called t -intersecting, $t \geq 2$.

THEOREM 3.1 (Erdős–Ko–Rado [1]). *Suppose $n \geq n_0(k, t)$, \mathbf{F} is t -intersecting then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}$.*

The best possible bound for $n_0(k, t)$ is $(k-t+1)(t+1)$ as was shown by Frankl [2] for $t \geq 15$ and very recently by Wilson [5] for all t . They showed that for $n > (k-t+1)(t+1)$ equality holds only if \mathbf{F} consists of all k -subsets containing a fixed t -subset. Again, such an \mathbf{F} is called trivial.

Examples of non-trivial t -intersecting families are $\mathbf{F}_1 = \{F \subset X, |F| = k: (Y_0 \subset F, Y_1 \cap F \neq \emptyset)\}$ or $(|Y_0 \cap F| = t-1, Y_1 \subset F)$, where $|Y_0| = t, |Y_1| = k-t+1, Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$, and $\mathbf{F}_2 = \{F \subset X, |F| = k: |F \cap Y_2| \geq t+1\}$, where $|Y_2| = t+2$.

THEOREM 3.2 ([3]). *Suppose \mathbf{F} is a non-trivial t -intersecting family, $n > n_1(k, t)$. Then $|\mathbf{F}| \leq \max\{|\mathbf{F}_1|, |\mathbf{F}_2|\}$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if either $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1, k > 2t+1$ or $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_2, k \leq 2t+1$.*

It would be interesting to know whether $n_1(k, t) < ckt$ holds.

REFERENCES

1. P. ERDŐS, C. KO AND R. RADO, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **12** (1961), 313–320.
2. P. FRANKL, The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem is true for $n = ckt$, in “Combinatorics,” Proc. Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **18** (Keszthely, 1976), pp. 365–375, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
3. P. FRANKL, On intersecting families of finite sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **24** (1978), 146–161.
4. A. J. W. HILTON AND E. C. MILNER, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **18** (1967), 369–384.
5. R. M. WILSON, The exact bound in the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, *Combinatorica* **4** (1984), 247–260.