

A Ramsey-Sperner Theorem

Z. Füredi

Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Science, P.O.B 127, H-1364 Budapest, Hungary

Abstract. Let $n \geq k \geq 1$ be integers and let $f(n, k)$ be the smallest integer for which the following holds: If \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of an n -set X with $|\mathcal{F}| > f(n, k)$ then for every k -coloring of X there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \neq B$, $A \subset B$ such that $B - A$ is monochromatic. Here it is proven that for a fixed k there exist constants c_k and d_k such that $c_k(1 + o(1)) < f(n, k)\sqrt{n/2^k} < d_k(1 + o(1))$ and $c_k = \sqrt{k/2 \log k(1 + o(1))} = d_k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The proofs of both the lower and the upper bounds use probabilistic methods.

1. Introduction, Preliminaries

Let $n \geq k$ be positive integers and X be an n -element set. 2^X denotes the power set of X and $\binom{X}{t}$ is the family of all t -subsets of X . A k -coloring of X is a partition of $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ into at most k parts. A family $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$ has k -color Sperner property for the coloring X_1, \dots, X_k if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ $A \neq B$, $A \subset B$, $B - A$ is not monochromatic. (I.e., there exist $i \neq j$, $X_i \cap (B - A) \neq \emptyset$, $X_j \cap (B - A) \neq \emptyset$.) Define $f(n, k) = \max\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \subset 2^X, \mathcal{F} \text{ has } k\text{-color Sperner property}\}$.

The 1-color Sperner families are just the usual Sperner families, in other words *antichains*, i.e., $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$, $\forall F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ one has $F \not\subset F'$. An antichain has k -color Sperner property for every k and every k -coloring, whence $f(n, k) \geq \left| \binom{|X|}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \right| = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

By Sperner's theorem [16] no antichain of subsets of X contains more than $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ members, whence

$$f(n, 1) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}. \quad (1)$$

For $k = 2$ Katona [8] and Kleitman [10] proved independently that $f(n, 2) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ although there are several 2-color Sperner families which are not antichains. Very recently P.L. Erdős and Katona [3] described all the extremal families (i.e., having $f(n, 2)$ members).

For $k = 3$ Katona [9] gave a simple example \mathcal{F} having more than $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ members.

Example 1.1. Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$, $|X_i| \approx n/3$ (i.e., $|X_i| = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ or $\lceil n/3 \rceil$) and $\mathcal{F} = \binom{X}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \cup \binom{X}{\lfloor n/6 \rfloor - 1} \cup \binom{X}{\lceil 5n/6 \rceil + 1}$. Then \mathcal{F} is a 3-color Sperner family.

Griggs and Kleitman [4], Griggs [5] and Katona [9] have found additional conditions which imply that a 3-color Sperner family \mathcal{F} fulfils $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

For fixed k it is not immediately clear that for all n $f(n, k)$ is at most a constant (depending only on k) times $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. This was proved by Griggs [6] showing that $f(n, k) / \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \leq 2^{k-2}$ for $k \geq 2$. Here the right hand side was decreased by Sali [3] to k and later to $3\sqrt{k}$ [14]. Here we present a short proof due to Graham and Fan Chung [2] which gives

$$f(n, k) < (2^n / \sqrt{n}) \sqrt{2k/\pi} = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sqrt{k}. \quad (2)$$

Proposition 1.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a k -color Sperner family with parts X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k , $k \geq 2$ and let $|X_i| = n_i$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| < (2^n / \sqrt{n_k}) \sqrt{2/\pi}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. For all $T \subset X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{k-1}$ denote by $\mathcal{F}(T) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : F \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{k-1}) = T\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is an antichain hence by (1) its cardinality is at most $\binom{n_k}{\lfloor n_k/2 \rfloor}$.

Using $(1 + o(1))(2^a / \sqrt{a}) \sqrt{2/\pi} = \binom{a}{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} < (2^a / \sqrt{a}) \sqrt{2/\pi}$ we have $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{|X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{k-1}|} \binom{n_k}{\lfloor n_k/2 \rfloor} < 2^{n-n_k} (2^{n_k} / \sqrt{n_k}) \sqrt{2/\pi} = (2^n / \sqrt{n}) \sqrt{2/\pi} \sqrt{n/n_k} = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sqrt{n/n_k}$. We can choose $n_k \geq n/k$, hence (3) implies (2). \square

The constant \sqrt{k} cannot be replaced by e.g. $0.6\sqrt{k}$ without any further restriction because $f(k, k) = 2^{k-1}$ (as it can be seen considering $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} = \{F \subset X : |F| = \text{even}\}$ or $\mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}} = \{F \subset X : |F| = \text{odd}\}$), hence $f(k, k) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\pi/8}$. But it can be improved whenever n is large compared to k . This paper is devoted to obtain estimations for $f(n, k)$ when $k \geq 3$ and n is large enough.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. For every positive integer k there exist constants c_k and d_k depending only on k such that $c_k 2^n / \sqrt{n} (1 + o(1)) \leq f(n, k) \leq d_k (2^n / \sqrt{n}) (1 + o(1))$ if n tends to infinity. Moreover

$$c_k > \sqrt{k/2 \log k} (1 - o(\log \log k / \log k)), \quad (4)$$

$$d_k < \sqrt{k/2 \log k} (1 + o(\log \log \log k / \log k)). \quad (5)$$

Obviously, $c_{k+1} \geq c_k$ and the exact form of (4) (cf. (7)) implies $f(n, 4) > 1.001 \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + o(1))$. The following result disproves a conjecture about $f(n, 3)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If n is large enough then $f(n, 3) > 1.018 \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.*

We have to remark that similar results were obtained independently by Griggs, Odlyzko and Shearer [7]. Their proofs are different. The upper bound in (5) is slightly better. But they can prove that for fixed k $\lim f(n, k)/(2^n/\sqrt{n})$ exists and $c_3 > 1.036$.

3. Constructions

Now k is fixed and n tends to infinity. We are going to apply some wellknown properties of binomial coefficients (see e.g. Spencer [15]). For every integer m and real $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j \geq m/2 + x} \binom{m}{j} < 2^m \exp(-2x^2/m). \quad (6)$$

Proof of (4). We improve the idea of Example 1.1. Let $|X| = n$, $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ a partition into almost equal parts ($|X_i| = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ or $\lceil n/k \rceil$) and t a positive integer. Set $\mathcal{F}^t = \{F \subset X: ||F \cap X_i| - |X_i|/2| < t/2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, and $\mathcal{F}_r^t = \{F \in \mathcal{F}^t: |F| \equiv r \pmod{t}\}$ where $0 \leq r < t$. Obviously, \mathcal{F}_r^t is a k -color Sperner family.

Proposition 3.1. *For $t \approx \sqrt{n} \sqrt{2(1 + \varepsilon) \log k/k}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary constant) we have $|\mathcal{F}^t| > 2^n (1 - 2k^{-\varepsilon}) (1 + o(1))$.*

Proof. Choose $F \subset 2^X$ randomly. Then by (6) we have $\text{Prob}(|F \cap X_i| \geq |X_i|/2 + t/2) = 2^{-|X_i|} \sum_{j \geq |X_i|/2 + t/2} \binom{|X_i|}{j} < \exp(-t^2/2|X_i|) = (1 + o(1))k^{-1-\varepsilon}$. Similar inequality holds for $\text{Prob}(|F \cap X_i| \leq |X_i|/2 - t/2)$. Because of the independence of the events we have $|\mathcal{F}^t| 2^{-n} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Prob}(|F \cap X_i| - |X_i|/2 < t/2) \geq (1 + o(1))(1 - 2k^{-1-\varepsilon})^k \geq (1 + o(1))(1 - 2k^{-\varepsilon})$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *We can choose t and r such that for the family \mathcal{F}_r^t the inequality (4) holds.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq r < t$ such that $|\mathcal{F}_r^t|$ is maximal. Clearly $|\mathcal{F}_r^t| \geq |\mathcal{F}^t|/t$. Choose t as in Proposition 3.1 then we have $|\mathcal{F}_r^t| \geq (1 + o(1))(2^n/\sqrt{n})\sqrt{k/2 \log k(1 - 2k^{-\varepsilon})}/\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon}$. For $k \geq 20$ one can choose $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ such that $(1 - 2k^{-\varepsilon})/\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} > 1 - \log \log k / \log k$ ($\varepsilon \sim (\log \log k + o(1))/\log k$). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Instead of (6) we can use the Moivre-Laplace formula (see [11]) to improve Proposition 3.1. This yields that for $t = \sqrt{n} \sqrt{2(1 + \varepsilon) \log k/k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \sum_r |\mathcal{F}_r^t| &\geq (1 + o(1)) (2^n / \sqrt{n}) \sqrt{k/2 \log k} \\ &\times (-1 + 2\varphi(2(1 + \varepsilon) \log k))^k / \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We remark that for large k (7) does not give an essentially better lower bound for c_k than (4). Optimizing (7) for $k = 3$ we obtain $\frac{1}{t} \sum |\mathcal{F}_r^t| > 0.97 \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ for n sufficiently large. If the average of $|\mathcal{F}_r^t|$ is so large we can hope that $\max_r |\mathcal{F}_r^t| > 1.01 \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. This is true. To prove this let $t \approx 1.2\sqrt{n}$ and $r \equiv \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \pmod{t}$. Then \mathcal{F}_r^t consists of 3 levels of 2^X , more precisely if $F \in \mathcal{F}_r^t$ then $|F| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + t, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ or $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - t$. More exactly

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_r^t| &= \sum_{\substack{x_1+x_2+x_3=0 \\ |x_i| < t/2, \text{ integers}}} \binom{n_1}{\lfloor n_1/2 \rfloor + x_1} \binom{n_2}{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor + x_2} \binom{n_3}{\lfloor n_3/2 \rfloor + x_3} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\substack{x_1+x_2+x_3=t \\ |x_i| < t/2, \text{ integers}}} \binom{n_1}{\lfloor n_1/2 \rfloor + x_1} \binom{n_2}{\lfloor n_2/2 \rfloor + x_2} \binom{n_3}{\lfloor n_3/2 \rfloor + x_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Use the following equality which holds for $|x| < C\sqrt{m}$ (see [11]).

$$\binom{m}{m/2 + x} = (2^m / \sqrt{\pi m/2}) \exp(-2x^2/m) (1 + O(1/m)).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_r^t| / \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (1 + o(1)) &= \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \iint_{\substack{|x| \leq a \\ |y| \leq a \\ |x+y| \leq a}} \exp(-12(x^2 + xy + y^2)) dx dy \\ &+ 2 \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \iint_{\substack{|x| \leq a \\ |y| \leq a \\ |2a-x-y| \leq a}} \exp(-6(x^2 + y^2 + (2a-x-y)^2)) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here $a = 0.6 (\sim t/2\sqrt{n})$. Using a computer one can show that for this value of a the right hand side equals to $1.0189 \dots$. \square

4. The Proof of the Upper Bound

We begin with a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. $(1 - \exp(-1/x))(1 - \exp(-1/y)) \leq (1 - \exp(-2/(x+y)))^2$ holds in the following cases

- (a) $0 < x, y \leq c$, where $0 < c < 1$ such that $e^{-1/c} = 1 - 1/2c$. ($c = 0.627 \dots$)
- (b) $0 < y < 0.251, c \leq x \leq 4/\pi$.

Proof. (a) The function $f(x) = \log(1 - \exp(-1/x))$ is concave (convex) if $0 < x \leq c$ ($x > c$, resp.) as it can be shown by derivations. The case (b) follows from (a) and the fact that $(f(y_0) + f(4/\pi))/2 = f((y_0 + 4/\pi)/2)$ for $y_0 = 0.2513 \dots$. \square

Moreover we will use the following estimation which holds for *every* m and t . (See [11] pp. 151–152.)

$$\left(\binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} - \binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - t/2} \right) \binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}^{-1} = (1 + o(1/m))(1 - \exp(-t^2/2m)). \quad (8)$$

Now let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$ be a k -color Sperner family with respect to the coloring X_1, \dots, X_k , $|X_i| = n_i$. Let $t \approx \sqrt{n 2(1 - \varepsilon) \log k/k}$ where $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ is a fixed small real ($\varepsilon = 0(\log \log \log k / \log k)$)

Lemma 4.2. *If some $n_i > (4(1 - \varepsilon) \log k / \pi k) n$ then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq d_k 2^n / \sqrt{n}$, where d_k is given by (5).*

Proof. It is a trivial consequence of (3). \square

From now on we can suppose that for each i $(2n_i/t^2) \leq 4/\pi$.

A family of sets $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i, C_{i+1}, \dots, C_m\} \subset 2^Y$ is called a *symmetric chain* if it is linearly ordered by inclusion and $|C_j| = j$, $m = |Y| - i$, $|\mathcal{C}| = m - i + 1$. deBruijn, Kruijswijk and Tengbergen [1] proved that there exists a chain decomposition of $2^Y = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_i$ into $\binom{y}{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}$ pairwise disjoint symmetric chains ($|Y| = y$). The number of chains of length t in this decomposition equals to $\binom{y}{\lfloor (y-t)/2 \rfloor} - \binom{y}{\lfloor (y-t)/2 \rfloor - 1}$. Fix this decomposition and permute the elements of Y . Then every $F \in 2^Y$ belongs to a chain of length at least t at least $y! \binom{y}{\lfloor (y-t)/2 \rfloor} / \binom{y}{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}$ times. In other words, using (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(F \in \mathcal{C}, |\mathcal{C}| < t) &\leq 1 - \binom{y}{\lfloor (y-t)/2 \rfloor} \binom{y}{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}^{-1} \\ &= (1 + o(1/y))(1 - \exp(-t^2/2y)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now fix a chain decomposition of 2^{X_i} for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Choose a chain $\mathcal{C}_i \subset 2^{X_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. The family $\mathcal{B} \subset 2^X$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_k =: \{C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k : C_i \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$ is called a *block*.

The chain decompositions of 2^{X_i} define a block decomposition of 2^X .

Lemma 4.3. $|\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{B}| / \max_i |\mathcal{C}_i|$.

Proof. Suppose $|\mathcal{C}_1| = \max_i |\mathcal{C}_i|$. Split \mathcal{B} according to the traces on $X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_k$, i.e., $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}(T) : T \in \mathcal{C}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_k\}$ where $\mathcal{B}(T) =: \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B - X_1 = T\}$. Then each $\mathcal{B}(T)$ is a chain in 2^X of length $|\mathcal{C}_1|$ and $|\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{B}(T)| \leq 1$ because \mathcal{F} is a k -color Sperner family. \square

A block $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_k$ is *small* if $\max_i |\mathcal{C}_i| < t$. Fix a member $F \in 2^X$ and consider all the permutations of X which are the products of the permutations of the X_i 's. The inequality (9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(F \in \text{small block}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Prob}(F \cap X_i \text{ belongs to a small chain in } 2^{X_i}) \\ &\leq (1 + o(1/\sqrt{n})) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (1 - \exp(-t^2/2n_i)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 4.4. If $k \geq 50$ then $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (1 - \exp(-t^2/2n_i)) \leq (1 - \exp(-t^2k/2n))^k$.

Proof. If each $(2n_i/t^2) \leq c$ ($\sim 0.6275 \dots$) then we can apply Lemma 4.1 (a) and the Jensen's inequality. If for some i $c < (2n_i/t^2) (\leq 4/\pi)$ then there exists a j such that $2n_j/t^2$ is at most 0.251 and first we can apply (possibly repeatedly) Lemma 4.1(b). \square

Hence the right hand side of (10) is at most $(1 - k^{-1+\epsilon})^k < \exp(-k^\epsilon)$. Thus we have obtained an upper bound for the mean value

$$E(\#F \in \mathcal{F} : F \text{ belongs to a small block}) \leq |\mathcal{F}|/\exp(k^\epsilon).$$

This implies that there exists a block decomposition in which $\geq (1 - \exp(-k^\epsilon))$ proportion of \mathcal{F} belongs to a large (that is not a small) block.

Apply Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}|(1 - \exp(-k^\epsilon)) &\leq \sum_{\mathcal{B} \text{ large block}} |\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{B}| \leq \sum_{\mathcal{B} \text{ large block}} |\mathcal{B}|/\max |\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}| \\ &\leq \sum |\mathcal{B}|/t \leq 2^n/t. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Rearranging (11) we get (5). \square

Finally we remark that an argument similar to the one giving (5) can be found in Rödl [12].

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to P. Frankl and J.R. Griggs for their helpful comments.

References

1. de Bruijn, N.G., Kruijswijk, D., Tengbergen, C. van E.: On the set of divisors of a number. Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **23**, 191–193 (1949–51)
2. Chung, F.R.K., Graham, R.L.: private communication
3. Erdős, P.L., Katona, G.O.H.: The convex hull of the M -part Sperner families (to appear)
4. Griggs, J.R., Kleitman, D.J.: A three part Sperner theorem. Discrete Math. **17**, 281–289 (1977)
5. Griggs, J.R.: Another three-part Sperner theorem. Stud. Appl. Math. **57**, 181–184 (1977)
6. Griggs, J.R.: The Littlewood-Offord problem: tightest packing and an M -part Sperner theorem. Europ. J. Comb. **1**, 225–234 (1980)
7. Griggs, J.R., Odlyzko, A.M., Shearer, J.B.: K -color Sperner theorems (manuscript)
8. Katona, G.O.H.: On a conjecture of Erdős and a stronger form of Sperner's theorem. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **1**, 59–63 (1966)
9. Katona, G.O.H.: A three part Sperner theorem. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **8**, 379–390 (1973)
10. Kleitman, D.J.: On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord on the distribution of certain sums. Math. Z. **90**, 251–259 (1965)
11. Rényi, A.: Probability Theory. North-Holland, American Elsevier 1970
12. Rödl, V.: The maximum number of sets in a family not containing a Boolean algebra of dimension d (manuscript)
13. Sali, A.: Stronger form of an M -part Sperner theorem. Europ. J. Comb. **4**, 179–183 (1983)
14. Sali, A.: A Sperner-type theorem. Order (submitted)
15. Spencer, J.: Sequences with small discrepancy relative to n events. Compos. Math. **47**, 365–392 (1982)
16. Sperner, E.: Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge. Math. Z. **27**, 544–548 (1928)