

M. Deza and P. Frankl in [1] posed the following question:

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be a family of different sets such that

- (i) $|A_t| = k$ for every $1 \leq t \leq m$,
 (ii) $|A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2}| = \lambda_1$ for every $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m$,
 (iii) $|A_{j_1} \cap A_{j_2} \cap A_{j_3}| = \lambda_2$ for every $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq m$,

and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq 0$. A theorem of Deza [2] implies $m < k^2$. Is it true that $m = o(k^2)$?

We prove that the answer is positive, moreover we show that the condition in (iii) is so strong, that conditions (i) and (ii) are essentially superfluous. We prove

THEOREM. Let r be any integer, $r \geq 3$, and let $A_1 \dots A_m$ distinct sets, such that

$$(iv) \quad |A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_r}| = \lambda$$

for every $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m$. Then either exists a set S with λ elements such that

$$S \subset A_i$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, or

$$(v) \quad m \leq v + r - 2$$

where $v = \min\{|A_{t_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{t_{r-2}}| : 1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{r-2} \leq m\}$.

REMARKS. 1. In our original question the first case cannot occur so in this case $m \leq k+1$.

2. The bound (v) of the theorem cannot be improved in general as show by $(\lambda + r - 1)$ -subsets of a set on $(\lambda + r)$ elements.

3. The problem of investigating families satisfying

$|A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_r}| = \lambda$ was already by V.T. Sós [4]. That is the problem which is interesting.

Proof. If $|A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_{r-1}}| = \lambda$ for some j_1, \dots, j_{r-1} , then $S = A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_{r-1}}$ must be a subset of any other A_i , so the first case holds. In this case there exists no bound on m , as $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ can be arbitrarily enlarged without violating (iv).

So we may suppose that $\min\{|A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_{r-1}}| : 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{r-1} \leq m\} = \mu > \lambda$. Let $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{r-2} = X$. We may suppose $|X| = v$. $|A_i \cap X| \geq \mu$ for any $i > r-2$. Thus $A_i \cap X \neq A_j \cap X$ if $r-2 < i < j \leq m$, because $\mu > \lambda$ and (iv) holds. Let $B = \{X \cap A_i : r-2 < i \leq m\}$. The intersection of any two sets in B has exactly λ elements. A theorem of Ryser [3] states that in this case $|B|$ is at most the cardinality of the ground set, i.e. $|B| = m - (r-2) \leq |X| = v$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] M. Deza and P. Frankl, *Problem 2.*, Combinatorics (ed. A. Hajnal, V.T. Sós) Proc. of the Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, 18. Bolyai-North Holland Publ. (1978), p. 1193.
- [2] M. Deza, *Solution d'un probleme de Erdős-Lovász*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory (ser. B) 16 (1974), 166-167.
- [3] H.J. Ryser, *An extension of a theorem of de Bruijn and Erdős on combinatorial designs*, Journal of Algebra 10 (1968), 246-261.
- [4] V.T. Sós, *Some remarks on the connection of graph theory, finite geometry and block designs*, Teorie Combinatorie, (Proc. of the Coll. held in Rome September 1973) Roma 1976, 223-233.

Mathematical Institute of Hungarian Academy of Science
1053 Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13-15
HUNGARY