

Asymptotics of class numbers

Nicole Raulf

Université de Lille,
Villeneuve d'Ascq, France.
email : nicole.raulf@univ-lille.fr

5 June 2020

- Quadratic forms, class numbers and Pell's equation.
- Known results for the asymptotic behaviour of class numbers (of quadratic forms).
- An asymptotic result for class numbers corresponding to fundamental discriminants.
- An asymptotic result for class numbers of quadratic fields.

Let

$$q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

be a primitive binary quadratic form with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and

$$d := b^2 - 4ac$$

its discriminant. We have an equivalence relation given by

$$\gamma^t \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b'/2 \\ b'/2 & c' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and h_d denotes the class number (in the narrow sense) of primitive quadratic forms of discriminant d .

Some known results

We have to treat the case $d > 0$ and $d < 0$ separately.

Gauß

The mean value of h_d behaves as $2\pi\sqrt{|d|}/(7\zeta(3))$ as $|d| \rightarrow \infty$, $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Let $\epsilon_d^+ = \frac{1}{2}(t + u\sqrt{d})$ be the fundamental solution of Pell's equation $t^2 - du^2 = 4$.

Gauß

The mean value of $h_d \log \epsilon_d^+$ behaves as $2\pi^2\sqrt{d}/(7\zeta(3))$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Lipschitz (1865), Mertens (1874), Vinogradov (1917–1963)

$$\sum_{0 \leq -d < N} h_d = \frac{4\pi}{21\zeta(3)} N^{3/2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} N + O(N^{2/3+\epsilon}).$$

Siegel (1944)

$$\sum_{d \leq N} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ = \frac{\pi^2}{18\zeta(3)} N^{3/2} + O(N \log N).$$

Shintani (1975) improved the error term using the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces.

Some known results

If we restrict to fundamental discrimininants we have

Datskowsky (1993), Taniguchi (2007)

$$\sum_{\substack{[K:\mathbb{Q}]=2, \\ d(K)\leq N}} h(K)\text{Reg}(K) \sim \frac{\zeta(2)}{3} \prod_{p>2} (1 - p^{-2} - p^{-3} + p^{-4}) N^{3/2}.$$

Method: Prehomogeneous vector spaces.

Goldfeld/Hoffstein (1985) obtained a similar result using Eisenstein series of half-integral weight.

Changing the order of summation

Goal: determine the asymptotic behaviour of h_d as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem: When does the negative Pell equation $t^2 - du^2 = -4$ have a solution?

Obvious: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ divides $d \implies$ no solutions.

Further results by Fouvry, Klüners.

We can change the order of summation.

Sarnak (1982)

Norms of conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic transformations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \epsilon_d^{+2}$, $d \in \mathcal{D}$, multiplicity = h_d . Furthermore, the lengths of closed geodesics on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2 = 2 \log \epsilon_d^+$, multiplicity = h_d .

Using this identification and the Selberg trace formula we get

Sarnak (1982)

Let $\mathrm{Li}(N) := \int_2^N \frac{dt}{\log t}$. Then

$$\sum_{\epsilon_d^+ \leq N} h_d = \mathrm{Li}(N^2) + O\left(N^{3/2} \log^2 N\right).$$

For fundamental discriminants

Let $\mathcal{D} := \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, d \neq \square\}$ and \mathcal{D}_F be the set of all fundamental discriminants.

Raulf (2009)

Let $\mathcal{D}_F(N) := \{d \in \mathcal{D}_F : \epsilon_d^+ \leq N\}$. Then there exist a constant c_F such that

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_F(N)} h_d \sim c_F \operatorname{Li}(N^2), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The constant c_F can be explicitly determined.

Discriminants in progression

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\mathcal{D}_{m,a} := \{d \in \mathcal{D} : d \equiv a \pmod{m}\}$. We will study the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a}, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+. \quad (1)$$

The behaviour of (1) implies the theorem since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ sqf}, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ &= \sum_{\epsilon_d^+ \leq N} \sum_{m^2 | d} \mu(m) h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{d \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+. \end{aligned}$$

For determining the asymptotic behaviour of class numbers we have two main tools:

- 1 Selberg's trace formula, i. e. the theory of automorphic forms, (Sarnak)
- 2 the class number formula (Barban, Sarnak).

The problem rewritten

We can study

$$\sum_{\substack{(\epsilon_d^+)^n \leq N, \\ d \equiv a \pmod{m}}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+$$

or, using partial summation,

$$\sum_{1 \leq u \leq N} \sum_{\substack{2 < t \leq N, \\ (t^2 - 4)/u^2 \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a}}} \underbrace{\frac{h_{d(t,u)} \log \epsilon_{d(t,u)}^+}{\sqrt{d(t,u)}}}_{=L(1, \chi_{d(t,u)})}.$$

- Fix u and study the behaviour for each u separately.
- Here it suffices to consider small us , the others are absorbed in the error term.

A theorem of Barban and Sarnak

In order to deduce the asymptotic behaviour we adapt

Barban, Sarnak

Let ϕ be a polynomial satisfying certain conditions,

$$c_{8n}(\phi) := \sum_{8n \leq x < 16n} \left(\frac{\phi(x)}{n} \right)$$

and for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ set

$$I(\phi(l), N) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \Gamma(s-1) L(s, \phi(l)) N^{s-1} ds.$$

Then for $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} L(1, \phi(l)) = N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{8n}(\phi)}{8n^2} - \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} I(\phi(l), N) + O_{\phi, \epsilon} \left(N^{1/2+\epsilon} \right).$$

The right polynomials

For $m \in N$ we divide \mathcal{D}_m into three sets taking into account whether $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $1, 5 \pmod{8}$ and we set $v_r(m) := \text{lcm}(r, m)$, $r = 4, 8$.

For $u \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$F_*(m, u) := \left\{ 2 < t \leq v_r(m)u^2 + 2 : \exists d \in \mathcal{D}_* \text{ s.t. } t^2 - du^2 = 4 \right\}.$$

For $t_0 \in F_*(m, u)$ we set

$$\phi_{m,u,t_0}(l) := \frac{(t_0 + v_r(m)u^2 \cdot l)^2 - 4}{u^2}.$$

- $\phi_{m,u,t_0}(l) \in \mathcal{D}_*$.
- For $\phi = \phi_{m,u,t_0}$ the contribution of $O_{\epsilon, \phi}$ and $I(\phi(l), N)$ to the asymptotics is small.

Calculating the leading coefficients

We begin by determining $c_{8n}(\phi)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is basically the problem of evaluating sums of the form

$$\sum_{x \bmod p} \left(\frac{\phi_{m,u,t_0}(x)}{p} \right)^{e(p)}, \quad p \text{ a prime.}$$

The results depends on whether $e(p) \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{2}$ and on the relation between p and $d_0(t_0, u)$. Furthermore,

$$n \mapsto c_{8n}(\phi_{m,u,t_0}) / 8$$

is multiplicative. Euler product \implies

$$\mathcal{C}(2, \phi_{m,u,t_0}) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c_{8n}(\phi_{m,u,t_0})}{8n^2}.$$

Theorem

For $m, u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = 0$

$$\mathcal{C}(2, \phi_{m,u,t_0}) = \frac{3}{4} \zeta(2) \mathcal{P}(m, u), \quad t_0 \in F_4(m, u),$$

$$\mathcal{C}(2, \phi_{m,u,t_0}) = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(2) \mathcal{P}(m, u), \quad t_0 \in F_{8,1}(m, u),$$

$$\mathcal{C}(2, \phi_{m,u,t_0}) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2) \mathcal{P}(m, u), \quad t_0 \in F_{8,5}(m, u).$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}(m, u) :=$$

$$\prod_{p \geq 3} (1 - p^{-2} - p^{-3}) \prod_{\substack{p \geq 3, p|u, \\ (p,m)=1}} \frac{1 - p^{-3}}{1 - p^{-2} - p^{-3}} \prod_{\substack{p \geq 3, \\ p|m}} \frac{1 - p^{-2}}{1 - p^{-2} - p^{-3}}.$$

Partial summation, summation over t_0 and $u \implies$

Theorem

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{4,m} \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{3\zeta(2)}{8v_4(m)} \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{|F_4(m, u)| \mathcal{P}(m, u)}{u^3} \times N^2.$$

The size of $|F_4(m, u)|$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\tau(m) := \#\{p \geq 3 : p|m\}$, $d_2(m) := \max\{k \geq 0 : 2^k|m\}$ and we write u in the form $u = 2^r u_0$, $2 \nmid u_0$. Consider the congruences

$$\begin{aligned}t^2 &\equiv 4 \pmod{p^{e(p)}}, \quad p|(mu), \quad p \geq 3, \\t^2 &\equiv 4 \pmod{2^{2d_2(u)+d_2(v_4(m))}}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

For $m, u \in \mathbb{N}$, $u = 2^r u_0$, $2 \nmid u_0$,

$$|F_4(m, u)| = \begin{cases} 2^{1+\tau(um)}, & r = 0, d_2(v_4(m)) = 2, \\ 2^{1+\tau(um)}, & r = 0, d_2(v_4(m)) = 3, \\ 2^{2+\tau(um)}, & 2r + d_2(v_4(m)) = 4, \\ 2^{3+\tau(um)}, & 2r + d_2(v_4(m)) \geq 5, \end{cases}$$

The final result

Raulf

If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_m, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{2^{\tau(m)}}{56m} \prod_{\substack{p \geq 3, \\ p|m}} (1 - p^{-3})^{-1} C(2, m) N^2.$$

Raulf

If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_m, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{2^{\tau(m)-1}}{m} \prod_{\substack{p \geq 3, \\ p|m}} (1 - p^{-3})^{-1} N^2.$$

Corollary

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{N^2}{2}.$$

- By partial summation one can remove the regulators in the formulae.
- Similar formulae can be proved for the progression $d \equiv a \pmod{m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Asymptotics for fundamental discriminants

The formulae for class numbers in progressions and the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \text{ sqf,} \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ = \sum_{m \geq 1} \mu(m) \sum_{\substack{d \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+$$

yield

Raulf

$$1. \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_F, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{25\zeta(3)}{32} \prod_{p \geq 2} (1 - 2p^{-2} - p^{-3}) N^2,$$

$$2. \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_F, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \sim \frac{25\zeta(3)}{16} \prod_{p \geq 2} (1 - 2p^{-2} - p^{-3}) \text{Li}(N^2).$$

Quadratic fields and class numbers

Let d be a fundamental discriminant and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. There is a close relationship between h_d , $\log \epsilon_d^+$ and the class number $H(K)$ and the regulator $\text{Reg}(K)$ of K .

If we consider the size of the regulator instead of the size of the discriminant, then we can count the solutions of $t^2 - du^2 = -4$ and we get:

Raulf (2020)

Let $\mathcal{P}_1 := \{p : p \text{ prime, } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ s.t., as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{\text{Reg}(K) \leq \log N} H(K) = C \text{Li}(N^2) + O\left(\frac{N^{2-\epsilon}}{\log N}\right),$$

$$C = \frac{25\zeta(3)}{32} \prod_{p \geq 2} (1 - 2p^{-2} - p^{-3}) + \frac{3}{8} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} \frac{1 - 2p^{-2} - p^{-3}}{1 - p^{-3}}.$$

Modifications of the previous proof

We need to look at the negative Pell equation $t^2 - du^2 = -4$. We write

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \cup \mathcal{D}^-,$$

- \mathcal{D}^+ contains all those discriminants for which the negative Pell equation does not have a solution,
- \mathcal{D}^- those for which the negative Pell equation does have a solution.
- $d \in \mathcal{D}^- \implies h_d = H(K)$ and $\log \epsilon_d^+ = 2\text{Reg}(K)$,
- $d \in \mathcal{D}^+ \implies h_d = 2H(K)$ and $\log \epsilon_d^+ = \text{Reg}(K)$.

Thus

$$2 \sum_{\text{Reg}(K) \leq \log N} H(K)\text{Reg}(K) = \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_F^+, \\ \epsilon_d^+ \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ + \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_F^-, \\ \epsilon_d \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+$$

Modifications of the previous proof

If ϵ_d is the fundamental solution of $t^2 - du^2 = -4$, then all its solutions are given by ϵ_d^{2k+1} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We are interested in the behaviour of

$$\sum_{\substack{(d,k), \\ d \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a}, k \geq 1, \\ \epsilon_d^k \leq N}} \frac{h_d \log \epsilon_d^+}{\sqrt{d}} = \sum_{1 \leq u \leq N} \sum_{\substack{2 < t \leq N, d(t,u) := \\ (t^2+4)/u^2 \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a}}} \frac{h_{d(t,u)} \log \epsilon_{d(t,u)}^+}{\sqrt{d(t,u)}}.$$

Modifications of the previous proof

For $m, u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set

$$F_*^-(m, a, u) := \{2 < t \leq v_*(m)u^2 + 2 : \exists d \in \mathcal{D}_* \text{ s.t. } t^2 - du^2 = -4\}$$

and for $t_0 \in F_*^-(m, a, u)$ we define

$$\phi_*^-(l) := \frac{(t_0 + v_*(m)u^2 \cdot l)^2 + 4}{u^2}.$$

This influences the calculation of the leading term in the following way:

$$\sum_{x \bmod p} \left(\frac{\phi_{m,u,t_0}^-(x)}{p} \right)^e$$

depends, as before, on the parity of e , on the relation between p and $d_0(t_0, u)$ and additionally on whether $p \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.

Adapting the previous proof

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(2, \phi_{4,m,u,t_0}^-) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{8n}(\phi_{m,u,t_0}^-)}{8n^2} \\ &= \frac{\zeta(2) \mathcal{P}(m, u)}{2} \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } d_0(t_0, u) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 3 & \text{if } d_0(t_0, u) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 & \text{if } d_0(t_0, u) \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(m, u) &:= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} (1 - p^{-2} - 2p^{-3}) \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_3} (1 - p^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_1, \\ p|m}} \frac{1 - p^{-2}}{1 - p^{-2} - 2p^{-3}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_1, p|u, \\ (p,m)=1}} \frac{1 - p^{-3}}{1 - p^{-2} - 2p^{-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Counting the solutions of the negative Pell equation

It remains to evaluate sums of the form

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{|F_*^-(m, u)| \mathcal{P}(m, u)}{u^3},$$

i.e. to count the solutions of $t^2 - du^2 = -4$ for fixed u and $d \in \mathcal{D}_*$. Note that if u or m contain a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ there are no solutions.

If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is not divisible by a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we obtain:

1. If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_m, \\ \epsilon_d \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \left(\frac{2^{\tau(m)-1}}{m} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_1, \\ p|m}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-3}} \right) N^2.$$

2. For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_m, \\ \epsilon_d \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ \sim \frac{2^{\tau(m)-1}}{m} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_1, \\ p|m}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-3}} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \quad d_2(m) = 1, \\ 2, \quad d_2(m) = 2, 3 \end{array} \right\} N^2.$$

Raulf

There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_F, \\ \epsilon_d \leq N}} h_d \log \epsilon_d^+ = \frac{3}{8} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} \frac{1 - 2p^{-2} - p^{-3}}{1 - p^{-3}} N^2 + O(N^{2-\epsilon}).$$

What about powers?

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\mathcal{D}_{m,a} := \{d \in \mathcal{D} : d \equiv a \pmod{m}\}$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_{m,a}(N) := \{d \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a} : \epsilon_d^+ \leq N\}.$$

Raulf (2009), Raulf (2016)

For $k, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exist constants $C(k, m, a)$ such that

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{m,a}(N)} (h_d \log \epsilon_d^+)^k \sim \frac{C(k, m, a)}{k+1} N^{k+1}, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

These constants can be explicitly determined. Sieving process allows to restrict to fundamental discriminants. $\log \epsilon_d^+$ can be removed by partial summation.

The same method allows us to count the discriminants contributing to the sum. \implies

Raulf (2016)

As $N \rightarrow \infty$ the sequence

$$F_n^*(x) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_*(N)|} \left| \left\{ d \in \mathcal{D}_*(N) : \frac{h_d \log \epsilon_d^+}{\sqrt{d}} \leq x \right\} \right|$$

converges to a distribution function F^* for each x at which F^* is continuous.