AB-COMPACTA

ISAAC GORELIC AND ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ

Abstract. We define a compactum X to be AB-compact if the *cofinality* of the character $\chi(x, Y)$ is countable whenever $x \in Y$ and $Y \subset X$. It is a natural open question if every AB-compactum is necessarily first countable.

We strengthen several results from [Arhangel'skii and Buzyakova, Convergence in compacta and linear Lindelöfness, CMUC **39** (1998), no. 1, 159–166] by proving the following results.

(1) Every AB-compactum is countably tight.
(2) If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ then every AB-compactum is Frèchet-Urysohn.
(3) If $\mathfrak{c} < \aleph_\omega$ then every AB-compactum is first countable.
(4) The cardinality of any AB-compactum is at most $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}$.

1. Introduction

As usual, a compactum is an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Let us say that $x \in X$ is a K-point of X if for no *uncountable* regular cardinal ϱ is there a ϱ-sequence in $X \setminus \{x\}$ converging to x. Clearly, any point of first countability of X is a K-point of X. On the other hand, Kunen constructed in ZFC compacta with K-points that are *not* points of first countability. In fact, the methods of [6] and [7] can be used to provide K-points in compacta of character λ for any singular cardinal λ of countable cofinality. This result of Kunen answered a problem that had been first raised in [2] and then in [1].

It is well-known that if x is a non-isolated point of a compactum X of character $\chi(x, X) = \kappa(\geq \omega)$ then there is a κ-sequence of points of X distinct from x that converges to x. So, if $x \in X$ is a K-point then for every closed subspace $F \subset X$ with $x \in F$ we must have $\text{cf}(\chi(x, F)) \leq \omega$. In fact, since $x \in Y \subset X$ implies $\chi(x, Y) = \chi(x, \overline{Y})$, we even have $\text{cf}(\chi(x, Y)) \leq \omega$ for all subspaces Y of X containing x. Now, we say that x is an AB-point of X if it satisfies this latter

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A20, 54A25, 54A35, 54D30.

Key words and phrases. Compact space, first countable space, character of a point.

The first author was supported by the Center for Theoretical Study, Charles University, Prague. The second author was supported by OTKA grant no. 61600 and by the Öveges Project of NKTH and KPI.

condition. Moreover, an AB-compactum is one in which every point is an AB-point.

Again, it is obvious that first countable compacta are AB and the natural question if the converse is also true can be raised. Arhangel'skii and Buzyakova have shown in [1] that under CH the answer to this question is affirmative if all points of X are K-points, i.e. for K-compacta. Contrasting this with Kunen's above result shows that the situation with the "global" question is quite different from the "local" one. In [1] (see also [8]) some weaker questions concerning K-compacta were also raised (but using different terminology): Are they of cardinality $\leq \mathfrak{c}$? Are they sequential? The aim of this note is to give some partial answers to all of these questions that are significantly stronger than those given in [1].

Our results are stronger than those of Arhangel'skii and Buzyakova on one hand because they apply to the class all AB-compacta that is (potentially) wider than that of K-compacta. Moreover, the assumptions of our results are strictly weaker than the ones used in [1]. But before turning to our results let us formulate the following intriguing questions that we could not answer.

Problem 1. *Is there an AB-point of some compactum that is not a K-point? Is there an AB-compactum that is not a K-compactum?*

Note that if, as we conjecture, all AB-compacta are first countable then the answer to the second question is negative.

Finally, let us note that the AB-property makes sense for arbitrary topological spaces but it is easy to find (non-compact) ones that are not first countable. Indeed, consider first Kunen's example of a compactum X having a K-point x of character $\lambda > \omega$. Then the space $\widetilde{X}$ obtained from X by isolating all points of $X \setminus \{x\}$ is clearly a (non-compact) AB-space with $\chi(x, \widetilde{X}) = \lambda$.

2. The results

We start with a result that, on one hand, may be considered as a step towards verifying our above conjecture and, on the other hand, will play a great role in establishing our other results.

Theorem 2. *Every AB-compactum is countably tight.*

Proof. Assume that X is a compactum with $t(X) > \omega$. Then by the main result of [5] there is a free sequence of length ω_1 in X, say $Y = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, converging to some point $x \in X$. But then we have

$$\overline{Y} \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup \{\overline{\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}} : \alpha < \omega_1\},$$

hence $\chi(x, \overline{Y}) = \omega_1$, which shows that X is not AB-compact. □

As we mentioned in the introduction, it was explicitly asked in [1] if K-compacta are sequential; moreover an affirmative answer was given to this question under MA. Our next result is a strengthening of this. We note that the assumption $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ is equivalent to $MA(\sigma - centered)$ and so is strictly weaker than MA.

Theorem 3. *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ holds then every AB-compactum X is Frèchet-Urysohn.*

Proof. Assume that $x \in \overline{A}$ with $A \subset X$. By Theorem 2 then there is a countable set $S \subset A$ with $x \in \overline{S}$. But then $\chi(x, \overline{S}) \le \mathfrak{c}$ and so the AB-property and $\mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c}) > \omega$ imply even $\chi(x, \overline{S}) < \mathfrak{c}$. However, in this case $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ is known to imply that x is the limit of an ω-sequence of points from $S \setminus \{x\}$. □

It is well-known, see e.g. Corollary 3.4 of [3], that first countability of any compactum X is decided by its subspaces of cardinality ω_1. More precisely: If all subspaces of X of size ω_1 of X are first countable then so is X. We shall need below a strengthening of this that we now turn to.

In fact, we first present a reflection result concerning the pseudocharacter of a point in a T_3-space. This may be of some independent interest.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a T_3-space and κ be an uncountable regular cardinal such that there is no free sequence of length κ in X. If $p \in X$ has pseudocharacter $\psi(p, X) \ge \kappa$ then either there is a discrete subspace $D \subset X$ with $|D| < \kappa$ such that $\psi(p, \overline{D}) \ge \kappa$ or there is a discrete $E \subset X$ with $|E| = \kappa$ that converges to p.*

Proof. By transfinite recursion on $\alpha < \kappa$, we define a sequence of points $x_\alpha \in X \setminus \{p\}$ and a decreasing sequence of closed $G_{<\kappa}$-sets H_α containing p with the properties $x_\alpha \in H_\alpha$ and $\overline{D_\alpha} \cap H_\alpha \subset \{p\}$, where $D_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. (A $G_{<\kappa}$-set H is one that is the intersection of fewer than κ open sets, i.e. satisfies $\psi(H, X) < \kappa$.)

Now assume that $\alpha < \kappa$ and both x_β and H_β have been appropriately defined for all $\beta < \alpha$. Note that then D_α is a free sequence in the subspace $X \setminus \{p\}$ and hence is discrete. So if we have $p \in \overline{D_\alpha}$ and $\psi(p, \overline{D_\alpha}) \ge \kappa$ then we are done and we simply stop the recursion.

Otherwise we have either $p \notin \overline{D_\alpha}$ or $\psi(p, \overline{D_\alpha}) < \kappa$ and in either case we may obviously find a closed $G_{<\kappa}$-set H_α satisfying

$$p \in H_\alpha \subset \cap\{H_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \text{ and } \overline{D_\alpha} \cap H_\alpha \subset \{p\}.$$

(We have to use here both the regularity of the cardinal κ and the regularity of the space X.) Note that then $H_\alpha \neq \{p\}$ since we have $\psi(p, X) \geq \kappa$, consequently a point $x_\alpha \in H_\alpha \setminus \{p\}$ can be chosen.

So if we do not stop for any $\alpha < \kappa$ then we obtain in this way the κ-sequence $E = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, which is a free sequence in $X \setminus \{p\}$ and therefore discrete. It remains to show that E converges to p. Assume, arguing indirectly, that for some open neighbourhood U of p we have $|E \setminus U| = \kappa$. Clearly, then $E \setminus U$, as a subsequence of E, would be a free sequence in X, contradicting our assumption. □

Note that in a compactum the character and the pseudocharacter of any point coincide. Therefore, in this case we get from theorem 4 the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Assume that some point p of a compactum X has character at least κ where κ is an uncountable regular cardinal. If there is no convergent* discrete *κ-sequence in X then we have $\chi(p, \overline{D}) \geq \kappa$ for some* discrete *$D \subset X$ with $|D| < \kappa$.*

Proof. By the main result of [5], if there is no convergent discrete (even free) κ-sequence in X then there is no free κ-sequence in X, either. Consequently, we may apply theorem 4 and from there only the first alternative may hold. □

Let us say that a space is *simple* iff it has exactly one non-isolated point. The following is then an immediate consequence of corollary 5.

Corollary 6. *If κ is an uncountable regular cardinal and all simple subspaces of size $\leq \kappa$ of a compactum X have character $< \kappa$ then all points of X have character $< \kappa$. In particular, if all simple subspaces of size $\leq \omega_1$ of a compactum X are first countable then so is X.*

Our next result shows that for an AB-compactum first countability is even decided by its countable simple subspaces.

Theorem 7. *Let X be an AB-compactum in which the closure of any countable discrete subspace is first countable, or equivalently : all countable simple subspaces of X are first countable. Then X is first countable, as well.*

Proof. By corollary 6 it suffices to show that every simple subspace Y of X with $|Y| = \omega_1$ is first countable. Consider an ω_1-type enumeration $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of Y where x_0 is the unique non-isolated point of Y. Then, putting $Y_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$, for every $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ we have by our assumption that

$$\chi(x_0, Y_\alpha) = \chi(x_0, \overline{Y_\alpha}) \leq \omega.$$

Note that, as X is countably tight, we also have $\overline{Y} = \cup_{\alpha<\omega_1}\overline{Y_\alpha}$, consequently

$$\psi(x_0, \overline{Y}) = \chi(x_0, \overline{Y}) \le \omega_1.$$

But the AB-property then implies $\chi(x_0, \overline{Y}) = \chi(x_0, Y) = \omega$. □

Recall that a compactum is called weakly ω-monolithic if the closure of any countable discrete subspace in it is second countable. So it is immediate from Theorem 7 that every weakly ω-monolithic AB-compactum is first countable. For the (potentially smaller) class of K-compacta this weaker result was proved in [1].

Trivially, every point of a countable space has character $\le \mathfrak{c}$, so another immediate corollary of Theorem 7 is the following.

Corollary 8. *If $\mathfrak{c} < \aleph_\omega$ then every AB-compactum is first countable.*

Perhaps the main result of this paper is the following which, analogously to Theorem 7, states that countable subspaces (or more precisely their closures) control the size of any AB-compactum.

Theorem 9. *For an arbitrary AB-compactum X we have*

$$|X| \le \big(\sup\{|\overline{S}| : S \in [X]^\omega\}\big)^\omega.$$

Proof. Set

$$\mu = \big(\sup\{|\overline{S}| : S \in [X]^\omega\}\big)^\omega$$

and assume, arguing indirectly, that $|X| > \mu$. Since X is countably tight and $\mu = \mu^\omega$ implies $\mu^+ = (\mu^+)^\omega$, it is easy to show that for any set $A \subset X$ with $|A| = \mu^+$ we have $|\overline{A}| = \mu^+$ as well. Consequently, we may assume without any loss of generality that $|X| = \mu^+$.

Now, consider an ω-closed elementary submodel $\mathcal{M}$ of a suitably chosen universe $H(\lambda)$ with $|\mathcal{M}| = \mu$, where the regular cardinal λ is sufficiently large, moreover we have both $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu \subset \mathcal{M}$.

We claim that then $X \cap \mathcal{M}$ is closed in X. Indeed, for every countable set $S \in [X \cap \mathcal{M}]^\omega$ we have $S \in \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{M}$ is ω-closed and then $\overline{S} \in \mathcal{M}$ as well. But we have $|\overline{S}| \le \mu$ and therefore $\overline{S} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Next, observe that for every point $x \in X$ we trivially have $\chi(x, X) \le \mu^+$ and so by the AB-property even $\chi(x, X) < \mu$, for both μ and μ^+ have uncountable cofinality. Since $\mu \subset \mathcal{M}$, this in turn implies that for every point $x \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$ there is a neighbourhood base $\mathcal{V}_x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}_x| < \mu$ and hence $\mathcal{V}_x \subset \mathcal{M}$.

From this it is a standard procedure to show that $X \subset \mathcal{M}$ that is clearly a contradiction. Indeed, assume that $y \in X \setminus \mathcal{M}$. Then for each point $x \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$ there is a neighbourhood $V_x \in \mathcal{V}_x \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $y \notin V_x$.

As $X \cap \mathcal{M}$ is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, ..., x_n \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that $X \cap \mathcal{M} \subset V_{x_1} \cup ... \cup V_{x_n}$, contradicting that

$$y \notin V_{x_1} \cup ... \cup V_{x_n}.$$

□

Now, if X is a separable AB-compactum then $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ and consequently $\chi(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$. But $\mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c}) > \omega$ implies that we actually have $\chi(x, X) < \mathfrak{c}$ for all $x \in X$. It follows then that $|X| \leq 2^{<\mathfrak{c}} = \sum_{\kappa<\mathfrak{c}} 2^\kappa$, see e.g. 2.5 of [4]. Note also that $(2^{<\mathfrak{c}})^\omega = 2^{<\mathfrak{c}}$, as can be easily checked.

As a consequence we obtain the following result that is remarkable for in [1] no upper bound was given even for the size of K-compacta.

Corollary 10. *Every AB-compactum has cardinality at most* $2^{<\mathfrak{c}}$. *This, of course is optimal if* $2^{<\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{c}$, *in particular if* $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{c}$ *holds (which is a consequence e.g. of MA).*

Another immediate consequence of Theorem 9 that is worth noting reads as follows.

Corollary 11. *If every separable subspace of an AB-compactum* X *has cardinality at most* $\mathfrak{c}$ *then* $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ *as well.*

References

[1] A. V. Arhangel'skii and R. Z. Buzyakova, *Convergence in compacta and linear Lindelöfness,* Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 39 (1998), no. 1, pp. 159–166.
[2] L. Babai and A. Máté, *Inner set mappings on locally compact spaces,* In: Topics in topology (Proc. Colloq., Keszthely, 1972), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 8, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974, pp. 77–95.
[3] R. E. Hodel and J. E. Vaughan, *Reflection theorems for cardinal functions,* Topology Appl. 100 (2000), no. 1, pp. 47–66.
[4] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions – ten years later*, Math. Centre Tract 123 (1980). Amsterdam.
[5] I. Juhász and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *Convergent free sequences in compact spaces*, Proc. AMS. 116 (1992), pp. 1153–1160.
[6] K. Kunen, *Locally compact linearly Lindelöf spaces,* Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 43 (2002), no. 1, pp. 155–158.
[7] K. Kunen, *Small locally compact linearly Lindelöf spaces,* Topology Proc. 29 (2005), no. 1, pp. 193–198.
[8] E. Pearl, *Linearly Lindelöf problems,* In: Open Problems in Topology II, E. Pearl editor, Elsevier (2007), pp. 225–231.

Government of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
E-mail address: isaacgorelic@yahoo.com

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
E-mail address: juhasz@renyi.hu