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#### Abstract

We characterize the finite-dimensional elements of a free cylindric algebra. This solves Problem 2.10 in [Henkin, Monk, Tarski: Cylindric Algebras, North-Holland, 1971 and 1985]. We generalize the characterization to quasivarieties of Boolean algebras with operators in place of cylindric algebras.


Free algebras play an important role in universal algebra, see e.g. Andréka-Jónsson-Németi [2]. Free algebras are even more important in algebraic logic, because they give information on proof-theoretic properties of a logic. Cf. e.g. [7, §5.6], [4], [12], [8].

Cylindric algebras are special Boolean algebras with closure operators. Tarski proved that any element of a free cylindric algebra behaves the same way for all but finitely many of these operators: $x$ is either closed for all but finitely many or only finitely many operators. See Theorem 2.6.23 in [6]. It remained open which elements of a free algebra are closed to all but finitely many, and which are closed only to finitely many. [6, 2.6.24] contains a conjecture for a characterization, but Henkin, Monk, and Tarski write there that they were unable to verify this conjecture. They also formulate the conjecture as Problem 2.10 in [6].

In this paper we solve Problem 2.10 of [6] by showing that their conjecture was right. We prove more: we give information about which element is closed under which operators, and also we generalize the result to quasi-varieties of Boolean algebras with operators.

[^0]Boolean algebras with operators ( $B o_{\alpha}$ 's) were introduced in Jónsson-Tarski [9] and they have been well investigated ever since. See e.g. the papers in [3] and in [13], or [1], [11], [10]. $B o_{\alpha}$ is often called also $B A O$ in the literature. An $\alpha$-dimensional Boolean algebra with operators is an algebra $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle A,+,-, c_{i}, d_{i j}\right\rangle_{i, j \in \alpha}$ in which each $c_{i}: A \rightarrow A$ is a closure operator in the usual sense, and the $d_{i j}$ 's are constants. I.e. $c_{i}$ is additive and $x \leq c_{i} x=c_{i} c_{i} x$ holds in $\mathfrak{A}$. We say that $\mathfrak{A}$ has complemented closure operators if the complement of a $c_{i}$-closed element is $c_{i}$-closed again, that is if $\mathfrak{A} \models\left\{c_{i}-c_{i} x=-c_{i} x: i \in \alpha\right\}$. An operator $c_{i}$ is normal if $c_{i} 0=0$, and a $B o_{\alpha}$ is normal if all of its operators are normal. $\alpha$-dimensional cylindric algebras, $C A_{\alpha}$ 's, are $B o_{\alpha}$ 's with commuting complemented closure operators in which three additional equations are postulated for the constants $d_{i j}$.

Some terminology: Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left\langle A,+,-, c_{i}, d_{i j}\right\rangle_{i, j \in \alpha}$ be a $B o_{\alpha}$ and let $a \in A$. We say that $a$ is sensitive to an operator $c_{i}$ if $a \neq c_{i} a$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. We say that $a$ is finite (cofinite) dimensional if $a$ is sensitive to finitely many (co-finitely many) of the operators $c_{i}(i \in \alpha)$. We say that $a$ is zero-dimensional if $a$ is not sensitive to any of the operators, i.e. if $a=c_{i} a$ for all $i \in \alpha$. We say that $a$ is a constant element in $\mathfrak{A}$ if $a$ is generated by the constants $0,1, d_{i j}(i, j \in \alpha)$, or in other words, if $a$ is an element of the smallest subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$. If a generator set $G$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is fixed, then by a generating term of $a$ we understand a term $\tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in the language of $B o_{\alpha}$ such that $a=\tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ for some $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$.

Theorem 1 (Solution of Problem 2.10 in [6]) Let $\alpha$ be an infinite ordinal and $\beta$ be a nonzero cardinal.
(i) The set of all finite-dimensional elements of the $\beta$-generated free algebra $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ is the subuniverse generated by $\left\{g \cdot-c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right): g \in G\right\}$, where $G$ is the set of free generators of $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$.
(ii) If an element is not finite-dimensional in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$, then it is sensitive to all operators which do not occur in all of its generating terms.

We will prove Theorem 1 as a corollary of the following theorem about all quasivarieties of normal $B o_{\alpha}$ 's.

Theorem 2 Assume $\alpha \geq \omega$. Let $K$ be any quasi-variety of normal Bo ${ }_{\alpha}$ 's in which the equations (1) - (4) below are valid for all $i, j, k \in \alpha$.
(1) $c_{i}\left(c_{i} x \cdot y\right)=c_{i} c_{i} x \cdot c_{i} y \quad$ (weak distributivity)
(2) $c_{k} d_{i j}=d_{i j} \quad$ if $k \notin\{i, j\}$
(3) $c_{i} d_{i j}=1$
(4) $c_{i}-d_{i j}=1$.

Let $\beta \neq 0$. Then (i) and (ii) below hold.
(i) All non-constant elements of the $\beta$-generated $K$-free algebra $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ are cofinitedimensional.
(ii) Moreover, a non-constant element a of the free algebra can be insensitive to an operator $c_{i}$ only if $c_{i}$ occurs in all generating terms of a from the free generators $G$ of $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$. In more detail: Assume that $a=\tau(\bar{g})$ for some sequence $\bar{g}$ of free generators and $c_{i}$ does not occur in the term $\tau$. Then $a \neq c_{i} a$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$.

In proving Theorem 2, we will use the following two lemmas. Lemma 3 below is a version of the known theorem in cyindric algebra theory that relativization with zero-dimensional elements is a homomorphism. We have to prove this because we want to use the statement of this known theorem under much weaker conditions.

Lemma 3 Assume that $\Gamma$ is a set and $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $B o_{\Gamma}$ enriched perhaps with additional constants, and assume that the equations (1) (weak distributivity) hold in $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $b$ be a zero-dimensional element of $\mathfrak{A}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ arbitrary elements of $\mathfrak{A}$, and let $\tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a term in the language of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$
b \cdot \tau_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=b \cdot \tau^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(a_{1} \cdot b, \ldots, a_{n} \cdot b\right) .
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\tau$. The only non-trivial cases are when $\tau$ is $c_{i} \sigma$ or $-\sigma$. In the first case we use weak distributivity, and the proof of the second case is much the same as in the cylindric algebra case. We denote $\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(a_{1} \cdot b, \ldots, a_{n} \cdot b\right)$ by $\sigma(\bar{a})$ and $\sigma(\bar{a} \cdot b)$ respectively. Proof of homomorphism with respect to $c_{i}: b \cdot c_{i} \sigma(\bar{a})=c_{i}(b \cdot \sigma(\bar{a}))=c_{i}(b \cdot \sigma(\bar{a} \cdot b))=b \cdot c_{i} \sigma(\bar{a} \cdot b)$, using (1), $b=c_{i} b$ and the inductive hypothesis on $\sigma$. Proof of homomorphism with respect to complementation $-: \quad b \cdot-\sigma(\bar{a})=b \cdot-(b \cdot \sigma(\bar{a}))=b \cdot-(b \cdot \sigma(\bar{a} \cdot b))=b \cdot-\sigma(\bar{a} \cdot b)$.

Lemma 4 Assume that $K$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Then the following is valid in $K$ :

$$
c_{k}-d_{i j}=-d_{i j} \quad \text { if } \quad k \notin\{i, j\} .
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right)+d_{i j}=1$ and $c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right) \cdot d_{i j}=0$. We will use (1) - (4), additivity and normality of the operators $c_{i}$, and of course Boolean algebra. Proof of the first equation: $c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right)+d_{i j}=c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right)+c_{k}\left(d_{i j}\right)=c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}+\right.$ $\left.d_{i j}\right)=c_{k} 1=c_{k}\left(d_{k i}+-d_{k i}\right)=c_{k} d_{k i}+c_{k}-d_{k i}=1$. Proof of the second equation: $c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right) \cdot d_{i j}=c_{k}\left(-d_{i j}\right) \cdot c_{k} c_{k} d_{i j}=c_{k}\left(-d_{i j} \cdot c_{k} d_{i j}\right)=c_{k}\left(-d_{i j} \cdot d_{i j}\right)=c_{k} 0=0$.

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2: It is enough to prove the second statement of Theorem 2, because it is a stronger version of the first one. Let $\alpha, \beta, K$ be as in the hypotheses of the theorem. Set $\mathfrak{F} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$, let $a$ be a non-constant element of $\mathfrak{F}$, let $\tau\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a term in the language of $B o_{\alpha}$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ be free generators in $\mathfrak{F}$ such that $a=\tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. Let $\Gamma$ be the set of all indices of operators (i.e. $c_{i}$ 's) occurring in $\tau$. We will show that $a \neq c_{i} a$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ for all $i \in \alpha \backslash \Gamma$.

Let $i \in \alpha \backslash \Gamma$. If $K \not \vDash c_{i} \tau(\bar{x})=\tau(\bar{x})$, then $c_{i} \tau(\bar{g}) \neq \tau(\bar{g})$ because the $g_{k}$ 's are free generators in $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$, i.e. $a \neq c_{i} a$ and we are done.

Assume therefore that $K \models c_{i} \tau(\bar{x})=\tau(\bar{x})$. We will derive a contradiction. Let $j \in \alpha \backslash \Gamma, j \neq i$. Such a $j$ exists because $\alpha$ is infinite and $\Gamma$ is finite. Now
$(\star) \quad K \models \tau(\bar{x})=c_{i}\left(\tau(\bar{x}) \cdot d_{i j}\right)=c_{i}\left(\tau(\bar{x}) \cdot-d_{i j}\right)$
by (1), (3) and (4). For every $1 \leq k \leq n$ define

$$
e_{k} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g_{k} \cdot d_{i j}+d_{01} \cdot-d_{i j} .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{N}$ denote the $\Gamma$-reduct of $\mathfrak{F}$ together with all the constants, i.e. the universe of $\mathfrak{N}$ is the same as that of $\mathfrak{F}$ and the operations of $\mathfrak{N}$ are those of $\mathfrak{F}$ except that we omit those operations $c_{k}$ where $k \notin \Gamma$. Then $\mathfrak{N}$ is a $B o_{\Gamma}$ with additional constants in which (1) holds. Also, $d_{i j}$ is zero-dimensional in $\mathfrak{N}$ by (2) and $i, j \notin \Gamma$. Similarly, $-d_{i j}$ is zero-dimensional in $\mathfrak{N}$ by Lemma 4 , and the term $\tau$ is in the language of $\mathfrak{N}$. Thus we can apply Lemma 3.

By applying $(\star)$ and Lemma 3 twice, and using the definition of $\bar{e}$ we get $\tau(\bar{e})=$ $c_{i}\left(\tau(\bar{e}) \cdot d_{i j}\right)=c_{i}\left(\tau\left(\bar{e} \cdot d_{i j}\right) \cdot d_{i j}\right)=c_{i}\left(\tau\left(\bar{g} \cdot d_{i j}\right) \cdot d_{i j}\right)=c_{i}\left(\tau(\bar{g}) \cdot d_{i j}\right)=\tau(\bar{g})$. Completely analogously we obtain $\tau(\bar{e})=\tau\left(\bar{d}_{01}\right)$. Thus $\tau(\bar{g})=\tau\left(\bar{d}_{01}\right)$. But this is a contradiction because $a=\tau(\bar{g})$ is a non-constant element by our assumption and since $\tau\left(\bar{d}_{01}\right)$ is a constant element.

Corollary 5 Let $K$ be a variety of Boolean algebras with complemented closure operators. Then (2)-(4) of Theorem 2 imply the conclusion of Theorem 2.

Proof. A $B o_{\alpha}$ with complemented closure operators is always normal and weak distributivity (1) holds in it. This is easy to check.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1 now. We note that neither (i) nor (ii) of Theorem 2 is true for $K=C A_{\alpha}$. Indeed, if $g$ is a free generator, then $a=g$. $-c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right)$ is a zero-dimensional element in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$, yet $a$ is not a constant in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$. Also, $a=c_{2} a$ because it is zero-dimensional, yet 2 does not occur in the generating term $\tau=x \cdot-c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right)$ of $a$.

Proof of Theorem 1. First we prove (ii). Let $M$ denote the subuniverse of $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ generated by $\left\{g \cdot-c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right): g \in G\right\}$. Assume that $a \in F r_{\beta} C A_{\alpha} \backslash M$, $a=\tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$ and $c_{j}$ does not occur in $\tau$. We want to show that $a \neq c_{j} a$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$.

Let $K$ denote the variety of those $C A_{\alpha}$ 's in which the equation $c_{0}-d_{01}=1$ holds. Then $K$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 . (We note that $C A_{\alpha}$ does not satisfy those hypotheses, because (4) fails for $C A_{\alpha}$.) Assume that the set of free generators of $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ is also $G$, and let $h: \mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ be a homomorphism which is the identity on $G$ (i.e. $h(g)=g$ for all $g \in G$ ). Such a homomorphism exists because $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K \in C A_{\alpha}$. We are going to show that $h(a)$ is non-constant in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$.

Let $\delta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right)$. Then it is a cylindric algebraic theorem that $\delta$ is a zerodimensional element. Cf. [6, 1.6.9(i)]. Let $e(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x \cdot \delta$ for any $x \in \operatorname{Fr}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$. Then by Lemma 3, $e$ is a homomorphism on $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ in the sense of [6, Def.0.2.1, p.67], i.e. there is a unique algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $e$ is a surjective homomorphism from $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ onto $\mathfrak{A}$. Cf. [6, Thm.0.2.4]. Then $\mathfrak{A} \in C A_{\alpha}$ because $C A_{\alpha}$ is a variety. Then $\mathfrak{A} \in K$ by $\mathfrak{A} \models \delta=1$. We have $A \subseteq F r_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ because $A=\left\{a \cdot \delta: a \in F r_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}\right\}$. Let $h^{\prime}$ denote the restriction of $h$ to $A$. We are going to show that $h^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$. It is easy to check that $h^{\prime}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ is a homomorphism because $h(\delta)=1$. It remains to show that $h^{\prime}$ is one-to-one on $A$. Let $f: \mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a homomorphism such that $f(g)=g \cdot \delta$ for all $g \in G$. Such a homomorphism exists, because $\mathfrak{A} \in K$ and $g \cdot \delta \in A$ for all $g \in G$. Thus the two homomorphisms $f \circ h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime} \circ f$ are homomorphisms on $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ respectively, such that they are identity on the generating sets $\{g \cdot \delta: g \in G\}$ and $G$ respectively. Thus both $f \circ h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime} \circ f$ are identity on the corresponding algebras, showing that $h^{\prime}$ and $f$ both are isomorphisms.

Now we are ready to show that $h(a)$ is non-constant in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$. Assume the contrary, i.e. that $h(a)=\sigma$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ for some constant term $\sigma$. Then $h(\sigma \cdot \delta)=$ $h\left(\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}}\right)=\sigma$ because $h^{\prime}$ is a homomorphism, and then $a \cdot \delta=\sigma \cdot \delta$ because $h$ is one-to-one on $A$ (since $h^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism) and $a \cdot \delta \in A$. Since $\delta$ is zerodimensional, so is $-\delta$, and thus $a \cdot-\delta=\tau(\bar{g} \cdot-\delta)$ by Lemma 3. So $(a \cdot-\delta) \in M$ by
the definition of $M$. This is a contradiction, because $a \notin M$ but we have seen that $a \cdot \delta=\sigma \cdot \delta$ is a constant, hence in $M$, and $M$ is closed under addition. We have shown that $h(a)$ is not a constant in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$.

Now we can apply Theorem 2(ii), which yields that $h(a) \neq c_{j} h(a)$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$ because $\tau$ is also a generating term for $h(a)$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} K$. But then $a \neq c_{j} a$ in $\mathfrak{F r}_{\beta} C A_{\alpha}$ because $h$ is a homomorphism. This completes the proof of (ii).

To prove (i), it is enough now to show that each element of $M$ is finite-dimensional. This follows from the cylindric algebraic theorem that $\eta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-c_{0}\left(-d_{01}\right)$ is a hereditarily zero-dimensional element, which means that not only $\eta$, but all elements smaller than $\eta$ are zero-dimensional. See [6, 1.6.20]. Thus $g \cdot \eta$ is zero-dimensional for each $g \in G$, and then one can apply the easy cylindric algebraic theorem that finite-dimensional elements generate finite-dimensional ones (cf. [6, 2.1.5(i)]).
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