

On composition of polynomials

P. ERDŐS and S. FAJTLÓWICZ

We shall use the following notation: If A is an algebra then $A^{(n)}$ will denote the set of all n -ary polynomial operations of A , and $A^{(\omega)}$ will denote the set of all polynomial operations of A : by an algebra over a field F we shall mean here a member of the variety generated by $F = (F; +, \cdot, (a)_{a \in F})$, (we shall identify here an algebra with its universe).

The following concept of order of enlargeability ε was introduced by E. Marczewski in [4]:

$$\varepsilon(A) = \min \left\{ n : \forall_B ([A^{(n)} = B^{(n)}] \Rightarrow [A^{(\omega)} \supseteq B^{(\omega)}]) \right\}$$

where the minimum of an empty set is assumed to be ∞ , (Marczewski first called $\varepsilon(A)$ the degree of extendability of A , but later he changed his terminology to the above).

The order of enlargeability was studied extensively by Urbanik in [5] and [6]. Let $\gamma(A)$ be the minimal number of generators of a finitely generated algebraic structure A . As usual if every element of A is an algebraic constant we put $\gamma(A) = 0$, but if A is not finitely generated we put $\gamma(A) = \infty$. For any subalgebra B of A let $\gamma(B, A) = \min \alpha(C)$ where C is any subalgebra of A containing B . Further, we put $\gamma_0(A) = \sup \gamma(B, A)$, where the supremum is taken over all finitely generated subalgebras B of A . This concept was introduced by Urbanik [5], who showed that in a number of instances it is true that $\gamma_0(A) = \varepsilon(A)$. We shall show that this identity holds for algebras A over uncountable fields F , unless $A = F$. (If the cardinality of F is \aleph_0 , then $\varepsilon(F) = 1$ but $\gamma_0(F) = 0$.) For fields of cardinality different than \aleph_0 we have a stronger result, namely that if $f: F^n \rightarrow F$ is an operation such that for every $i \leq n$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in F^{n-1}$, $f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_i, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is a polynomial, then f is a polynomial. J. Jones [3] has recently published a problem corresponding to a special case of this theorem: F is the field of real numbers, $n = 2$.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 2, below comes from Wolfgang Schmidt, who proved that for the ring of integers we have that $\varepsilon = 1$. We are publishing the theorem with his kind permission.

In the proof of Theorem 1 integers are identified with the set of their predecessors. For the definition of bicentrality see [1] or [2].

THEOREM 1. *Let F be a field of cardinality $\neq \aleph_0$ and let $f: F^n \rightarrow F$, $n \geq 2$. If for every $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in F^{n-1}$ and $i \leq n$, we have that $f(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{i-1}, x, a_i, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in F^{(1)}$, then $f \in F^{(n)}$.*

Proof. If F is finite then the theorem is obvious because every operation on F can be represented as a polynomial with coefficients from F . For infinite fields we shall prove the theorem by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the theorem is obvious. So suppose the theorem is true for n , and let $f: F^{n+1} \rightarrow F$, $n \geq 1$. By the inductive assumption it follows that for every $a \in F$, the operation $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a) \in F^{(n)}$. Thus for every $a \in F$ there exists an integer k_a and functions $f_\beta: F \rightarrow F$, $\beta = 0, 1, \dots, k_a - 1$, such that

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, a) = \sum_{\beta \in k_a^n} f_\beta(a) x_0^{\beta(0)} x_1^{\beta(1)} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\beta(n-1)}. \quad (*)$$

Because the cardinality of F is bigger than \aleph_0 there are an integer k and an infinite subset $F_0 \subseteq F$ such that for every $a \in F_0$, we have $k_a < k$. Let M be a $k^n \times k^n$ matrix such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in k^n$ the (α, β) -entry of M is the monomial $x_{0,\alpha}^{\beta(0)} x_{1,\alpha}^{\beta(1)} \cdots x_{n-1,\alpha}^{\beta(n-1)}$. Let

$$\Delta = \Delta(x_{0,0}, \dots, x_{n-1,0}, x_{0,1}, \dots, x_{n-1,1}, \dots, x_{0,k^n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1,k^n-1})$$

be the determinant of the matrix M . Since Δ is a linear combination of monomials with coefficients ± 1 with no two of these monomials equal, Δ is a nonzero polynomial and thus there are elements $a_{i,\alpha} \in F$, $i \in n$, $\alpha \in k^n$ such that

$$\Delta(a_{0,0}, \dots, a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,1}, \dots, a_{n-1,1}, \dots, a_{0,k^n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1,k^n-1}) \neq 0.$$

Let us consider the system of k^n linear equations in the unknowns x_β

$$f(a_{0,\alpha}, a_{1,\alpha}, \dots, a_{n-1,\alpha}) = \sum_{\beta \in k^n} x_\beta a_{0,\alpha}^{\beta(0)} a_{1,\alpha}^{\beta(1)}, \dots, a_{n-1,\alpha}^{\beta(n-1)} \quad (**)$$

where $\alpha \in k^n$. By the choice of $a_{i,\alpha}$ the determinant of the right side is different from 0 and thus by Cramer's rule the system (**) has a unique solution, which is a

linear combination of $f(a_{0,\alpha}, \dots, a_{n-1,\alpha}, a)$. Because for every $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F^n$, $f(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, x)$ is a polynomial, for every $\beta \in k^n$ there is a polynomial π_β such that the solution of (**) is $\pi_\beta(a)$. Again, because (**) has a unique solution, therefore in view of (*), for every $a \in F_0$, we have $\pi_\beta(a) = f_\beta(a)$, $\beta \in k^n$. Let

$$\psi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) - \sum_{\beta \in k^n} \pi_\beta(x_n) x_0^{\beta(0)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\beta(n-1)}.$$

Let $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in F^n$. Then $\chi(x) = \psi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, x)$ is a polynomial. But now from (*) it follows that for every $a \in F_0$, $\chi(a) = 0$. Since F_0 is infinite $\chi = 0$ and so $\psi = 0$. Thus

$$f = \sum_{\beta \in k^n} \pi_\beta(x_n) x_0^{\beta(0)} x_1^{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_n^{\beta(n)} \quad \text{i.e., } f \text{ is a polynomial.}$$

THEOREM 2. *If F is a countable field then $\varepsilon(F) = \infty$.*

Proof. To prove the theorem we have to show that for every $n > 1$ there is an operation $f: F^n \rightarrow F$ which is not a polynomial such that for every n -tuple of polynomials $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$ whose variables come from fixed $(n-1)$ element set of variable $f(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n)$ is a polynomial. Let $\varphi_1 = (\psi_1^1, \dots, \psi_n^1)$, $\varphi_2 = (\psi_1^2, \dots, \psi_n^2) \dots$ be a sequence of all n -tuples of polynomials of $n-1$ variables. Since for every positive integer k the polynomials $\psi_1^k, \psi_2^k, \dots, \psi_n^k$ are algebraically dependent, there is a nontrivial polynomial σ_k such that $\sigma_k(\psi_1^k, \psi_2^k, \dots, \psi_n^k) = 0$. Let $\pi_k = \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k$ and let $X_k = \{x \in F^n : \pi_k(x) = 0\}$. Thus for $l \leq k$ we have that $\text{Im } \varphi_l \subseteq X_k$. Moreover, each of the sets X_k is a subset of X_{k+1} and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k = F^n$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $X_k \neq X_{k+1}$. Because each element of F^n belongs to all but finitely many sets X_k , for every sequence ε_i of zeros and ones the formula $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \pi_i(x)$ defines an operation on F . Because $\text{Im } \varphi_k \subseteq X_k$ we have that for every k $f(\psi_1^k, \dots, \psi_n^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i \pi_i(\psi_1^k, \dots, \psi_n^k)$ is a polynomial. However different sequences ε_i yield different operations f and so some of them are not polynomials. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

THEOREM 3. *If $A \neq F$ is an algebra over a field of cardinality $\neq \aleph_0$ then $\varepsilon(A) = \gamma_0(A)$.*

Proof. If $A \neq F$ then $\varepsilon(F) \leq \gamma_0(A)$, because by Theorem 1 $\varepsilon(F)$ equals 0 or 1. Because every two polynomial operations which are equal on F are equal on A , by Urbanik's Theorem 4.1 of [5], we have that $\varepsilon(A) \leq \gamma_0(A)$.

Suppose now that $k < \gamma_0(A)$. Let f_m be an operation such that

$f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ if and only if the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is contained in a subalgebra generated by k elements. Because $k < \gamma_0(A)$ there is an integer s such that f_s is not identically equal to zero and hence f_s is not a polynomial. Nevertheless, for every $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s \in A^{(k)}$ we have that $f_s(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s) \in A^{(k)}$ because it is identically equal to 0. Thus $\gamma(A) \leq \varepsilon(A)$ i.e. Theorem 3 is proved.

COROLLARY. *Polynomial rings over fields of cardinality $\neq \aleph_0$ are bicentral.*

Proof. Let F be an uncountable field and let R be a polynomial ring over F . Then R is a free algebra in the variety of algebras generated by F . If the number of variables of R is infinite then the corollary follows from Theorem 1 of [2]. If the number of variables is finite and equals n then by Theorem 3 $\varepsilon(R) = n$, and thus R is bicentral by Theorem 2 of [2].

Using Theorem 2 one may show that polynomial rings in finitely many variables over countable fields are not bicentral.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. M. COHN, *Universal Algebra*, Harper & Row, 1965.
- [2] S. FAJTLOWICZ, *Algebras of homomorphisms*, Rendiconti di Matematica (6) 3 (1970), 523–527.
- [3] J. JONES, *Problems for solution*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, Vol. 17 (5) 1975, p. 767.
- [4] E. MARCZEWSKI, *Independence in abstract algebras. Results and problems*, Colloquium Mathematicum 14 (1966), 169–188.
- [5] K. URBANIK, *On some numerical constants associated with abstract algebras*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, LIX (1966), 263–287.
- [6] K. URBANIK, *On some numerical constants associated with abstract algebras, II*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, LXII (1968), 191–210.

*University of Houston
Houston, Texas
U.S.A.*