

EULER'S ϕ -FUNCTION AND ITS ITERATES

P. ERDŐS AND R. R. HALL

Introduction. In this paper we continue our study of the values taken by Euler's ϕ -function begun in [1]-[3]. Let $\phi_r(n)$ be the iterated ϕ -function, that is $\phi_r(n) = \phi\{\phi_{r-1}(n)\}$ where $\phi_1 = \phi$. Let

$$V_r(x) = \text{card}\{m < x : m = \phi_r(n) \text{ for some } n\}.$$

In [2] and [3] we obtained, respectively

$$V(x) = V_1(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \exp(B\sqrt{\log \log x}),$$

$$V_1(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x} \exp\{C(\log \log \log x)^2\},$$

and our present aim is to obtain an upper bound for $V_2(x)$. Our result is as follows.

THEOREM. *There exists an absolute constant D such that*

$$V_2(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \exp\left(D \frac{\log \log x \cdot \log \log \log x}{\log \log \log x}\right).$$

Remarks. In the case $r = 1$ the simple lower bound $V_1(x) \geq \pi(x)$ is available. When $r = 2$, the analogous result is $V_2(x) \geq \pi_2(x)$ where $\pi_2(x)$ denotes the number of primes $p < x$ such that $(p-1)/2$ is prime. Evidently the numbers

$$\phi_2(p) = (p-3)/2$$

are distinct. Sieve theory suggests, but of course does not yet prove, that $\pi_2(x) \gg x/\log^2 x$, so that apart from the second factor on the right our estimate is probably sharp. We hope to return to the lower bound problem: our best result so far is $x/\log^k x$ for some fixed $k > 2$.

It may be that for every fixed r and every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$x/(\log x)^{r+\varepsilon} \ll V_r(x) \ll x/(\log x)^{r-\varepsilon}.$$

Notation. $v(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n and $\omega(n)$ the total number of prime factors. $P^+(n)$ and $P^-(n)$ denote respectively the greatest and least prime factors of n . Other notation will be made clear in the proof.

It is convenient to work with the function

$$W_2(x) = \text{card}\{m : m = \phi_2(n) \text{ for some } n < x\}.$$

This is smaller than $V_2(x)$, but since $\phi(n) \gg n/\log \log n$ we have

$$V_2(x) \leq W_2(cx(\log \log x)^2)$$

and this does not alter the final result.

LEMMA 1. For each fixed A , there exists $B = B(A)$ such that

$$\text{card}\{n < x : \omega(n) > B \log \log x\} \ll x(\log x)^{-A}.$$

Proof. Choose an integer h so that $h \log h - h \geq A$, and let $\omega(n, h)$ denote the total number of prime factors of n greater than h . For all y ,

$$(1 + y)^{\omega(n, h)} = \sum_{d|n} y^{v(d)} (1 + y)^{\omega(d) - v(d)}$$

where the dash denotes the restriction on d that all its prime factors exceed h . This formula is most easily proved by noting that the summand on the right, and therefore the sum, is multiplicative. Hence for $0 \leq y < h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < x} (1 + y)^{\omega(n, h)} &\leq x \sum_{d < x} \frac{y^{v(d)}}{d} (1 + y)^{\omega(d) - v(d)} \\ &\leq x \prod_{h < p < x} \left(1 + \frac{y}{p - 1 - y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $d < x$ implies that all its prime factors are less than x . This does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} x \exp \left\{ \sum_{h < p < x} \frac{y}{p - 1 - y} \right\} &= x \exp \left\{ \sum_{h < p < x} \left(\frac{y}{p} + \frac{y(1 + y)}{p(p - 1 - y)} \right) \right\} \\ &\ll x(\log x)^y \exp \left\{ \sum_{p > h} \frac{y(1 + y)}{p(p - 1 - y)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum in the exponential is convergent, and if we set $y = h - 1$ we may deduce that

$$\sum_{n < x} h^{\omega(n, h)} \ll C(h)x(\log x)^{h-1}$$

where $C(h)$ is a function of h only. Hence

$$\text{card}\{n < x : \omega(n, h) > h \log \log x\} \ll C(h)x(\log x)^{h-1-h \log h},$$

and by the definition of h , the right hand side is $\ll x(\log x)^{-A}$. Next, let

$$\omega'(n, h) = \omega(n) - \omega(n, h)$$

and suppose $\omega'(n, h) > s \log \log x$. Then n has a divisor $d = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_u^{\alpha_u}$ where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_u are the primes up to h and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_u = l = [s \log \log x]$. Hence

$$\text{card}\{n < x : \omega'(n, h) > s \log \log x\} \leq \sum x/p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_u^{\alpha_u} \leq \sum x/2^l,$$

where summation is over all choices of the exponents α_i such that their sum is l . There are at most $(l + 1)^u$ such choices, and so if $s > A/\log 2$, the right hand side is $\ll x(\log x)^{-A}$. Now put $B = h + s$. Then $\omega(n) > B \log \log x$ implies either $\omega(n, h) > h \log \log x$ or $\omega'(n, h) > s \log \log x$, and we obtain the result stated.

LEMMA 2. Let $A > 0$ and $u^2 > 2v > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}\{n < x : v(n) \geq u, \omega\{\phi(n)\} \leq v\} \\ \ll x(\log x)^{-A} + \frac{u^2 x \log \log x}{v \log x} \exp\{2u - u \log(u^2/2v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $y > 1 > z > 0$. Then the cardinality in question does not exceed

$$y^{-u} z^{-v} \sum'_{\sqrt{x} < n < x} y^{v(n)} z^{\omega\phi(n)} + O(x(\log x)^{-A}),$$

where the dash denotes that $\omega(n) \leq B(A) \log \log x$, moreover in the exponential $\omega\phi(n)$ is simply a condensed form of $\omega\{\phi(n)\}$. To see this, notice that integers $n \leq \sqrt{x}$, or such that $\omega(n) > B(A) \log \log x$ are covered by the error term: for the remaining integers the powers of y and z are respectively ≥ 0 , ≤ 0 , which gives the inequality as $0 < z < 1 < y$. Next, we deduce that for the integers n counted by \sum' , we must have $P^+(n) > x^{1/2B \log \log x}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{\sqrt{x} < n < x} y^{v(n)} z^{\omega\phi(n)} &\leq \sum'_{n < x} y^{v(n)} z^{\omega\phi(n)} \sum'' 1 \\ &\leq \sum'' y \sum_{m < x/p} y^{v(m)} z^{\omega\phi(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $''$ denotes $p > x^{1/2B \log \log x}$, that is, $\log(x/m) > (\log x)/2B \log \log x$. Therefore the left hand side does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} y \sum_m y^{v(m)} z^{\omega\phi(m)} \pi(x/m) &\leq \frac{yx(\log \log x)}{\log x} \sum_m \frac{y^{v(m)} z^{\omega\phi(m)}}{m} \\ &\ll \frac{yx(\log \log x)}{\log x} \prod_{p < x} \left(1 + \frac{yz^{\omega(p-1)}}{p-z}\right) \ll \frac{yx(\log \log x)}{\log x} \exp\left(\frac{2yz}{1-z}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the estimate for $\sum p^{-1} z^{\omega(p-1)}$ in [2].

Hence

$$y^{-u} z^{-v} \sum'_{\sqrt{x} < m < x} y^{v(n)} z^{\omega\phi(n)} \ll \frac{yx \log \log x}{\log x} \exp\left(\frac{2yz}{1-z} - u \log y + v \frac{1-z}{z}\right),$$

and we choose $z < 1$ such that $z/(1-z) = \sqrt{(v/2y)}$, and $y = u^2/2v$. This gives the result stated.

LEMMA 3. Let $A, B > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. There exists $C = C(A, B)$ such that

$$\text{card} \left\{ n < x : v(n) \geq \frac{C \log \log x}{\log \log \log x}, \omega\{\phi(n)\} \leq B \log \log x \right\} \ll x(\log x)^{-A}.$$

This follows immediately from Lemma 2. Notice that for all n , $\omega\{\phi(n)\} \geq \omega(n)$ so that we get the same result if we demand that $\omega\{\phi_2(n)\} \leq B \log \log x$.

Proof of the theorem. Let us set $A = 2$, $B = B(2)$, $C = C(2, B(2))$ in Lemmas 1-3. Plainly we may neglect any set of integers of cardinality $\ll x \log^{-2} x$, hence in view of our lemmas we may restrict our attention to integers n satisfying the following conditions.

(i) $\omega\{\phi_2(n)\} \leq B \log \log x$,

(ii) $v(n) \leq u = \frac{C \log \log x}{\log \log \log x}$.

Let $m = \phi(n')$, $n' = \phi(n)$. By condition (i), $\omega(m) \leq B \log \log x$, hence $\omega(n') \leq B \log \log x$. Either $n' \leq \sqrt{x}$, in which case it may be neglected, or we may deduce

$$(iii) P^+(n') > x^{1/2B \log \log x} = t \text{ say.}$$

Hence we have

$$W_2(x) \leq \sum_{k \leq u} W(x, k) + O(x/(\log x)^2),$$

where

$$W(x, k) = \text{card}\{n < x : v(n) = k, \omega\{\phi_2(n)\} \leq B \log \log x,$$

$$P^+(n') > x^{1/2B \log \log x}\}.$$

We write $\psi(n) = \omega\{\phi_2(n)\}$ and

$$S_k(x, z) = \sum z^{\psi(n)} \quad (0 < z < 1),$$

where the sum is over the n 's counted by $W(x, k)$. If $p|n$ then $\phi_2(p^x n) = p^x \phi_2(n)$ or $\phi(p^x) \phi_2(n)$ according as $p|\phi(n)$ or not. In either case, $\psi(p^x n) \geq \alpha + \psi(n)$. Hence

$$\sum \left\{ z^{\psi(n)} : \prod_{p|n} p = n_0 \right\} \leq (1-z)^{-v(n_0)} z^{\psi(n_0)},$$

and so

$$S_k(x, z) \leq (1-z)^{-k} \sum |\mu(n)| z^{\psi(n)}$$

(where we have replaced n_0 by n in the sum on the right). Suppose that

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k.$$

By (iii) above we may write $\phi(n) = n' = qr$ where r is a prime exceeding t . We drop the condition that p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k should be ordered, and assume further that $r|(p_1 - 1)$. Then we have

$$S_k(x, z) \leq \frac{(1-z)^{-k}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{qr < x} z^{\omega\phi(qr)},$$

where $qr = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$, $p_1 - 1 = rd, d|q$, and as before $\omega\phi$ in the exponent means $\omega\{\phi\}$. The sum on the right does not exceed

$$\sum_{q < x/t} z^{\omega\phi(q)} \sum'_{d|q} \sum''_{r < x/q} 1,$$

where \sum' denotes that q/d is of the form $(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$, and \sum'' that both r , and $rd + 1$, should be prime. This is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \sum_{q < x/t} z^{\omega\phi(q)} \sum'_{d|q} \frac{dx/q}{\phi(d) \log x/q} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{\log^2 t} \sum_{q < x} \frac{z^{\omega\phi(q)}}{\phi(q)} \sum'_{a|q} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $a (= q/d) = (p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$. Since $\phi(q) \geq q/\log \log q$, and in

view of the definition of t , this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^3}{\log^2 x} \sum_{a < x} \sum_m \frac{z^{\omega\phi(ma)}}{ma} \quad (ma = q) \\ &\ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^3}{\log^2 x} \left(\sum_{a < x} \frac{1}{a} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\omega\phi(m)}}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum over m (with no restriction) was estimated in [2], and that over a does not exceed

$$\left(\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p-1} \right)^{k-1}.$$

Hence $W(x, k) \leq z^{-B \log \log x} S_k(x, z)$ and

$$S_k(x, z) \ll \frac{x(1-z)^{-k} (\log \log x + O(1))^{k+2}}{(k-1)! \log^2 x} \exp\left(\frac{2z}{1-z}\right).$$

We choose z so that $z/(1-z) = \log \log \log x$. Since $k \leq u$, we deduce from Stirling's formula that

$$S_k(x, z) \ll \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \exp\left(O\left(\frac{\log \log x \cdot \log \log \log \log x}{\log \log \log x}\right)\right),$$

and hence this estimate is true of $W_2(x)$, and $V_2(x)$, as required.

References

1. P. Erdős. "On the normal number of prime factors of $p-1$ and some related problems concerning Euler's ϕ -function", *Quart. J. Math.*, 6 (1935), 205-213.
2. P. Erdős and R. R. Hall. "On the values of Euler's ϕ -function", *Acta Arith.* XXII (1973), 201-206.
3. P. Erdős and R. R. Hall. "Distinct values of Euler's ϕ -function". *Mathematika*, 23 (1976), 1-3.

Department of Mathematics,
University of York,
England.

10A20: NUMBER THEORY; Elementary
number theory; Number theoretic functions

Received on the 19th of May, 1977.