

PROBABILISTIC METHODS IN GROUP THEORY II

P. Erdős and R. R.Hall

Dedicated to the memory of A. Rényi.

**Introduction.** Let  $(G,+)$  be a finite Abelian group of order  $n$ , and suppose we choose  $k$  arbitrary elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  of  $G$ . Let us consider the  $2^k$  sums  $\epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k g_k$  where each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Two interesting questions present themselves: can every  $g \in G$  be represented in the form  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 + \dots + \epsilon_k g_k$ , and if so, does each  $g$  have about the same number of representations?

Clearly for a particular set of elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$ , to answer these questions we should have to know about the structure of  $G$ : for example the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  may all belong to a subgroup of  $G$ . So we ask instead, what can we expect to happen if we choose  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  at random, or, put another way, what can be said about these questions for almost all (that is, all but  $o(n^k)$ ) of the possible choices of  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$ ?

These probabilistic questions were raised by Erdős and Rényi [2]. Surprisingly, their answers depend very little on the structure of  $G$ ; the fine detail does depend on the group structure as was pointed out by R. J. Miesch [5]. If every element of  $G$  is of order 2,  $\epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k g_k$  always generates a subgroup of  $G$ , and each element receives the same number of representations. This can be seen by viewing  $G$  as an appropriate vector space.

The only obviously necessary condition for an affirmative answer to the first question, whether every  $g$  can be represented, is  $2^k \geq n$ . Erdős and Rényi proved that provided

$$k \log 2 \geq \log n + 2 \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log \left( \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \right) + 5 \log 2,$$

then for all but at most  $\delta n^k$  choices of  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  every  $g \in G$  may be represented in the required form. This is nearly best possible, indeed it may be that without any conditions on the structure of  $G$ , it cannot be substantially improved. We hope to study this question in a later paper.

In this paper we consider the second question, concerning the number of

representations. Our result is as follows.

**THEOREM.** Let  $R(g)$  denote the number of representations of  $g$  in the form  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k g_k$ , where each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Let  $\eta$  be a fixed positive number. Then for almost all choices of the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  we have

$$(1 - \eta)2^k/n < R(g) < (1 + \eta)2^k/n$$

for every  $g \in G$ , provided

$$k \geq \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log \log n}{\log \log n}\right)\right).$$

The constant implied by the  $O$ -notation depends only on  $\eta$ . Moreover, the result holds if  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , provided  $\log 1/\eta = O(\log n / \log \log n)$ .

This result is sharp except for the  $O$ -terms, and these could be improved if the estimate for  $\max R(g)$  in Lemma 3 were reduced. We hope to return to this question in the future.

Erdős and Rényi [2], Miecz [5], Hall [3] and Hall and Sudbery [4] have proved partial results in this direction, also Bognár [1] and Wild [6] obtained results when  $\epsilon_i$  may be chosen from some fixed set of integers other than  $\{0, 1\}$ . Erdős and Rényi proved that it is sufficient that  $k \log 2 \geq 2 \log n + 2 \log 1/\eta + \phi(n)$  where  $\phi(n) \rightarrow \infty$  arbitrarily slowly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the subsequent work aimed at reducing the factor 2 multiplying  $\log n$  on the right. These improvements all depended on conditions on the group structure, and Erdős and Rényi conjectured that without such conditions, the factor 2 could not be reduced.

We should like to acknowledge the kind help of Professor G. L. Watson, who provided the important Lemma 1 below.

**NOTATION.** The language of probability is appropriate in our arguments. We write  $\text{prob}(\dots)$  for the probability of the event in brackets; as usual  $\text{prob}(A|B)$  means the probability of the event  $A$ , given that the event  $B$  occurs.  $E(\dots)$  denotes the expectation of the random variable in brackets.  $E_0 E_1 E_2 \dots$  means the joint occurrence of the events  $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $G$  be a finite Abelian group of order  $n$ , and suppose we are given  $N$  distinct equations

$$\epsilon_{t,1} g_1 + \epsilon_{t,2} g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_{t,m} g_m = 0 \quad (1 \leq t \leq N)$$

where every  $\epsilon_{t,i} = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $N \leq 2^{m-1}$ . Then the number of choices of the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  to satisfy all the equations simultaneously does not exceed  $n^{m-5}$ , where

$s = (\log N)/(\log 2)$ .

PROOF. Let  $r$  be the unique integer such that  $2^{m-r} < N \leq 2^{m-r+1}$ . Select any  $r$  integers  $k_j$ ,  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq m$ . Since there are only  $2^{m-r}$  choices of the coefficients  $\{\epsilon_{t,i}, 1 \leq i \leq m, i$  not equal to any  $k_j\}$ , and  $N$  equations, we can find two equations, say the  $t$ -th and  $u$ -th such that  $\epsilon_{t,i} = \epsilon_{u,i}$  for every  $i$  other than the  $k_j$ 's. Subtracting, we obtain an equation

$$(1) v_1 g_{k_1} + v_2 g_{k_2} + \dots + v_r g_{k_r} = 0,$$

where each  $v_j = 0$  or  $\pm 1$ , not all zero. Now let  $\rho$  be the largest number for which there exist distinct numbers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_\rho$  for which no relation like (1) can be found. We have  $\rho \leq r - 1$ , moreover, given any other number  $k_0$ ,  $1 \leq k_0 \leq m$  we can deduce, from the original  $N$  equations, an equation

$$v_0 g_{k_0} + v_1 g_{k_1} + \dots + v_\rho g_{k_\rho} = 0, v_0 = \pm 1.$$

Therefore once the group elements  $g_{k_1}, g_{k_2}, \dots, g_{k_\rho}$  have been chosen, the other  $g_i$ 's may be determined. Hence the equations have at most  $n^\rho$  solutions, where  $\rho \leq r - 1 = [m - (\log N)/(\log 2)] \leq m - s$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\ell = [(\log N)/(\log 2)]$ , and suppose elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell$  are chosen randomly, and independently, from  $G$ . For each  $g \in G$ , let  $R(g)$  denote the number of representations of  $g$  in the form  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_\ell g_\ell$ , where each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Then

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_g R^m(g)\right) \leq 2^{2^m}.$$

PROOF. Let  $\chi$  denote a group character on  $G$ , so that  $\chi(a+b) = \chi(a)\chi(b)$  for every  $a, b \in G$ . Then

$$R(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \prod_j (1 + \chi(g_j))$$

where the product runs over  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_g R^m(g) = \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{\chi_1} \dots \sum_{\chi_m} \prod_j (1 + \chi_j(g_j)),$$

where  $i$  runs over  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $\sum'$  denotes summation restricted by the relation  $\chi_1 \chi_2 \dots \chi_m = \chi_0$ , the principal character. Therefore

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_g R^m(g)\right) = \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{\chi_1} \dots \sum_{\chi_m} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_h \prod_i (1 + \chi_i(h))\right)^\ell,$$

the inner sum being over every group element  $h$ . But

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_h \prod_i (1 + \chi_i(h)) = N(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m),$$

where  $N(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m)$  denotes the number of distinct relations

$$(2) x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_m^{\epsilon_m} = x_0 \quad (\epsilon_i = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

existing between these characters. The characters form a group  $(\hat{G}, X)$  isomorphic (if we change the group operation) to  $(G, +)$ . Let us denote by  $M_m(\hat{G}, N)$  the number of choices of  $m$  characters  $x_i$  from  $\hat{G}$  to satisfy any set of exactly  $N$  relations (2). Then

$$(3) E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_g R^m(g)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_N M_m(\hat{G}, N) N^\ell,$$

summation being over the range  $1 \leq N \leq 2^m$ , since any set of  $x$ 's satisfy at least one relation, the empty one. We have dropped the condition  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_m = x_0$  which actually implies  $N \geq 2$ ,  $N$  even. For each  $N$ , there are at most  $\binom{2^m}{N}$  sets of  $N$  relations, and, given such a set of relations, the number of choices of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  to satisfy them does not exceed  $n^{m-s}$ , by Lemma 1, where  $s = (\log N)/(\log 2)$ . Hence

$$(4) M_m(\hat{G}, N) \leq \binom{2^m}{N} n^{m-s} = n^m \binom{2^m}{N} N^{-(\log N)/(\log 2)}.$$

Since  $N \geq 1$  and  $\ell \leq (\log n)/(\log 2)$ , we obtain the result stated from (3) and (4).

LEMMA 3. Suppose elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell$  are chosen randomly and independently from  $G$ ;  $\ell$  and  $R(g)$  are as defined in the previous lemma. Then for any fixed  $A > 2$ ,

$$\text{Prob}(\max_g R(g) > A^{\log n / \log \log n}) \leq c(A) n^{-\delta(A)}$$

where  $\delta(A)$  and  $c(A)$  are positive numbers depending on  $A$  only.

PROOF. By Lemma 2, and Markoff's inequality, the probability in question does not exceed

$$n \cdot 2^{2^m} \cdot A^{-m \log n / \log \log n}.$$

Since  $A > 2$ , we can find a constant  $a$  such that  $2^a < e < A^a$ , and we set  $m = \lfloor a \log \log n \rfloor$ . The above expression tends to zero as fast as  $n^{-\delta}$ , where  $\delta = \delta(A) = \frac{1}{2} \log(A^a/e)$ .

LEMMA 4. Suppose  $k$  elements,  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  are chosen from  $G$  randomly and independently, and  $R(g)$  denotes the number of representations of  $g$  in the form  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k g_k$ , each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Then

$$E\left(\sum_g (R(g) - 2^k/n)^2\right) = 2^k(1 - 1/n).$$

This is equation 1.3 of Erdős and Rényi [2].

LEMMA 5. Let  $H$  be an arbitrary but fixed sub-set of  $G$  of cardinality  $|H|$ . Suppose that the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s$  are chosen randomly and independently from  $G$ , and that  $N(g)$  denotes the number of choices of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_s$  such that  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 + \epsilon_2 g_2 + \dots + \epsilon_s g_s$ .

... -  $\epsilon_s g_s \in H$ , where each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Then

$$E(\sum_g N^2(g) - N(g)) = n^{-1} |H|^2 (4^s - 2^s).$$

PROOF. Plainly  $\sum_g N(g) = 2^s |H|$ . Next,

$$N(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) P(\chi, H) \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \chi(g_i))$$

where

$$P(\chi, H) = \sum \{ \chi(h) : h \in H \}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_g N^2(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi} |P(\chi, H)|^2 \prod_{i=1}^s |1 + \chi(g_i)|^2,$$

and

$$E(\sum_g N^2(g)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi} |P(\chi, H)|^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_g |1 + \chi(g)|^2 \right\}^s.$$

But

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_g |1 + \chi(g)|^2 = 2 \text{ if } \chi \neq \chi_0, \quad 4 \text{ if } \chi = \chi_0,$$

where  $\chi_0$  is the principal character. Moreover

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\chi} |P(\chi, H)|^2 = |H|.$$

Therefore,

$$E(\sum_g N^2(g)) = 2^s |H| + n^{-1} |H|^2 (4^s - 2^s).$$

Subtracting the expectation of  $\sum N(g)$ , we obtain our result.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let  $\eta (\eta > 0)$  be given, and fixed. We also fix an arbitrary  $A > 2$ .

We begin by choosing just  $\ell = [(\log n)/(\log 2)]$  elements of  $G$ . Here and in what follows we mean that the elements are chosen independently, so that repetitions can occur, and randomly: every element has an equal probability of being chosen. Let  $R_0(g)$  denote the number of representations of any group element  $g$  in terms of these elements, and denote by  $E_0$  the event

$$\max_g R_0(g) \leq A^{\log n / \log \log n}.$$

We now choose a further  $6t + 1$  elements from  $G$ , where  $t$  is the smallest integer such that

$$2^t \geq A^{\log n / \log \log n}.$$

We have  $k_1 = \ell + 6t + 1$  elements so far, and we denote by  $R_1(g)$  the number of representations of  $g$  in terms of all of these. We call  $g$  1-exceptional if one of the inequalities

$$(1 - \eta') \frac{2^{k_1}}{n} < R_1(g) < (1 + \eta') \frac{2^{k_1}}{n}$$

fails to hold, where

$$(5) \eta' = \eta/2\log\log n.$$

Let  $N_1$  denote the number of 1-exceptional elements. Plainly

$$\sum_g (R_1(g) - 2^{k_1}/n)^2 \geq \eta'^2 4^{k_1} N_1/n^2$$

and we deduce from Lemma 4, and Markoff's inequality, that

$$\text{prob}(N_1 > n/2^{5t}) < 1/\eta'^2 2^{4t}.$$

Let  $E_1$  denote the event  $N_1 \leq n/2^{5t}$ . From the above, and Lemma 3,

$$\text{prob}(E_0 E_1) > 1 - c(A)n^{-\delta(A)} - 1/\eta'^2 2^{4t}.$$

Assume that  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  occur. Let  $H_1$  denote the set of 1-exceptional elements, so that  $|H_1| = N_1$ . Moreover, if  $g \in H_1$ , we have

$$(6) 0 \leq R_1(g) \leq 2^{6t+1} A \log n / \log \log n.$$

We now choose a further  $s$  elements from  $G$  at random, giving a total of  $\ell + 6t + 1 + s$ , and we denote by  $R_2(g)$  the number of representations of  $g$  in terms of all of these.

Here  $s$  is the smallest integer such that

$$(7) 2^{s-1} \geq \frac{1}{\eta'} A \log n / \log \log n.$$

We call  $g$  2-exceptional if one of the inequalities

$$(1 - \eta')^2 2^{k_2}/n < R_2(g) < (1 + \eta')^2 2^{k_2}/n$$

fails to hold, where  $k_2 = \ell + 6t + 1 + s$ .  $N_2$  denotes the number of 2-exceptional elements.

Suppose that the  $s$  elements just chosen are  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s$ , and that  $g$  has the property that for at most one choice of the numbers  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_s$  (each  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or 1) we have  $g - \epsilon_1 g_1 - \epsilon_2 g_2 - \dots - \epsilon_s g_s \in H_1$ . Then

$$R_2(g) > (2^s - 1)(1 - \eta')^2 2^{k_1}/n > (1 - \eta')^2 2^{k_2}/n$$

by (7). Also

$$R_2(g) < 2^s (1 + \eta')^2 2^{k_1}/n + 2^{6t+1} A \log n / \log \log n$$

by (6). We have  $2^{k_1}/n > 2^{6t}$  by definition of  $k_1$  and  $\ell$ . Now using the definition of  $s$  given by (7), we deduce that

$$R_2(g) < (1 + \eta')^2 2^{k_2}/n.$$

Let  $N_1(g)$  denote the number of choices of the numbers  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_s$  above such that  $g - \epsilon_1 g_1 - \epsilon_2 g_2 - \dots - \epsilon_s g_s \in H_1$ . We have shown that if  $g$  is 2-exceptional, we must have  $N_1(g) \geq 2$ . Hence

$$2N_2 \leq \sum_g (N_1^2(g) - N_1(g)).$$

Applying Lemma 5, and Markoff's inequality, we have that

$$\text{prob}(N_2 > n/2^{7t-4} | E_0 E_1) < \frac{n \cdot 2^{-10t} \cdot 4^{s-2}}{2n \cdot 2^{-7t}} < \frac{1}{\eta'^{2t+1}}$$

using the definitions of  $s$  and  $t$ . If  $E_2$  denotes the event  $N_2 < n/2^{7t-4}$ , we have

$$\text{prob}(E_0 E_1 E_2) > 1 - c(A)n^{-\delta(A)} - (1 + 1/2)/\eta'^{2t}.$$

Let  $H_2$  denote the set of 2-exceptional elements. We have  $|H_2| = N_2$ , moreover, if  $g \in H_2$  then

$$0 < R_2(g) < 2^{6t+1+s} A^{\log n / \log \log n}.$$

We now choose the same number,  $s$ , random elements of  $G$ , so that we have  $k_3 = \ell + 6t + 1 + 2s$ .  $R_3(g)$  denotes the number of representations of  $g$  in terms of all these, and we call  $g$  3-exceptional if one of the inequalities

$$(1 - \eta')^3 2^{k_3/n} < R_3(g) < (1 + \eta')^3 2^{k_3/n}$$

fails to hold. Name the new elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s$  as before, and let  $N_2(g)$  denote the number of choices of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_s$  for which  $g = \epsilon_1 g_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \epsilon_s g_s \in H_2$ . Assume that  $E_0, E_1, E_2$  occur. Then we may check that  $g$  is 3-exceptional implies  $N_2(g) \geq 2$ . Let  $N_3$  denote the number of 3-exceptional elements. Applying Lemma 5 and Markoff's inequality as before, we have that

$$\text{prob}(N_3 > n/2^{11t-13} | E_0 E_1 E_2) < 1/\eta'^{2t+2}.$$

We continue in this way, adding  $s$  elements at a time, and assuming that the events  $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$  have all occurred. We call  $g$   $r$ -exceptional if one of the inequalities

$$(1 - \eta')^r 2^{k_r/n} < R_r(g) < (1 + \eta')^r 2^{k_r/n}$$

fails to hold, where  $k_r = \ell + 6t + 1 + (r - 1)s$ .  $N_r$  denotes the number of  $r$ -exceptional elements, and we prove successively that

$$\text{prob}(N_r > n/2^{a_r t - b_r} | E_0 E_1 \dots E_{r-1}) < 1/\eta'^{2t+r-1},$$

where  $a_r$  and  $b_r$  are determined from the recurrence formulae:-

$$a_{r+1} = 2a_r - 3, a_1 = 5; b_{r+1} = 2b_r + r + 3, b_1 = 0.$$

Plainly

$$a_r = 2^r + 3, b_r = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1} - r - 4.$$

We denote by  $E_r$  the event

$$N_r \leq n/2^{a_r t - b_r}$$

and we have that

$$p(E_0 E_1 \dots E_r) > 1 - c(A)n^{-\delta(A)} - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^r}\right)/\eta'^2 2^t.$$

We set

$$r_0 = \lceil 2 \log \log \log n \rceil$$

and calculation shows that if  $n \geq 1000$ , the event  $E_{r_0}$  implies  $N_{r_0} < 1$ , that is,  $N_{r_0} = 0$ . Hence we have

$$(8) (1 - \eta')^{r_0} 2^{k_{r_0}/n} < R_{r_0}(g) < (1 + \eta')^{r_0} 2^{k_{r_0}/n}$$

for every  $g \in G$ , where  $k_{r_0} = \ell + 6t + 1 + (r_0 - 1)s$ . Let  $k \geq k_{r_0}$ . We may certainly choose  $k$  elements from  $G$  randomly and independently by choosing the first  $k_{r_0}$  of them in the manner described, and then choosing the rest, and we deduce from (8), inserting the values of  $r_0$  and  $\eta'$  (given by (5)), that for every  $g$ , we have

$$(1 - \eta)2^{k/n} < R(g) < (1 + \eta)2^{k/n}$$

with probability at least

$$1 - c(A)n^{-\delta(A)} - 2/\eta'^2 2^t.$$

This tends to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any fixed  $\eta > 0$ , indeed if

$$\frac{1}{\eta} < B \log n / \log \log n$$

for any fixed  $B$ : for we may suppose  $A > B^2$ , and this makes  $2^t$  tend to infinity sufficiently rapidly. We require that

$$k \geq k_{r_0} = \frac{\log n}{\log 2} (1 + O(\frac{\log \log \log n}{\log \log n})).$$

where the constant implied by the  $O$ -notation depends on  $A$  and  $B$  only. This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. K. Bognár, *On a problem of statistical group theory*, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica*, 5(1970), 29-36.
2. P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *Probabilistic methods in group theory*, *Journal d'Analyse Math.*, 14(1965), 127-138.
3. R. R. Hall, *On a theorem of Erdős and Rényi concerning Abelian groups*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 5(1972), 143-153.
4. R. R. Hall and A. Sudbery, *On a conjecture of Erdős and Rényi concerning Abelian groups*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 6(1972), 177-189.
5. R. J. Miech, *On a conjecture of Erdős and Rényi*, *Illinois J. Math.*, 11(1967), 114-127.
6. K. Wild, *A theorem concerning products of elements of Abelian groups*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3), 27(1973), 600-616.