

COMPLETE SUBGRAPHS OF CHROMATIC GRAPHS AND HYPERGRAPHS

B. Bollobás, P. Erdős and E. G. Straus

In this note we consider  $k$ -chromatic graphs with *given* colour classes  $C_1, \dots, C_k$ ,  $|C_i| = n_i$ . In other words, our  $k$ -chromatic  $r$ -graphs have vertex sets  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ , and no  $r$ -tuple (i.e. edge of the  $r$ -graph) has two vertices in the same colour class  $C_i$ .

As usual, we denote by  $K_p^{(r)}$  the complete  $r$ -graph with  $p$  vertices. The set of  $r$ -tuples of an  $r$ -graph  $G$  is denoted by  $E(G)$  and  $e(G)$  is the number of  $r$ -tuples. Let  $G$  be the set of  $k$ -chromatic  $r$ -graphs (with  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$  vertices) that do not contain a  $K_p^{(r)}$ . Let  $m = \max\{e(G) : G \in G\}$ ,  $G_{\max} = \{G \in G : e(G) = m\}$ . We shall always suppose that  $2 \leq r < p \leq k$ .

The main aim of this note is to prove that  $G_{\max}$  contains some special graphs. In particular, for  $r = 2$  we obtain an extension of Turán's theorem [4]. We make use of some ideas in [1] and [3].

We call a  $k$ -chromatic  $r$ -graph  $G$  *canonical* if whenever  $(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r})$ ,  $v_{i_j} \in C_{i_j}$ , is an  $r$ -tuple of  $G$  then  $G$  contains every  $r$ -tuple of the form  $(w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_r})$ ,  $w_{i_j} \in C_{i_j}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

THEOREM 1.  $G_{\max}$  contains a canonical graph.

*Proof.* Choose  $k$  algebraically independent numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ ,  $0 < \alpha_i < \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$  satisfies  $k^r n^r \{(1+\epsilon)^r - 1\} < 1$ . Define the weight of an  $r$ -tuple  $\tau = (v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r})$  by

$$w(\tau) = \prod_{j=1}^r (1 + \alpha_{i_j}), \text{ and the weight of a graph } G \text{ by}$$

$$w(G) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{E}(G)} w(\tau) .$$

Let  $G_0 \in G$  be a graph with maximal weight in  $G$ . Note that  $w(\tau) < (1+\epsilon)^r$ , so

$$e(G) \leq w(G) < e(G) + 1$$

for every graph  $G$ . Thus  $G_0 \in G_{\max}$ .

We shall show that  $G_0$  is canonical. Clearly it suffices to show that if  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  is an  $r$ -tuple of  $G_0$  and  $\tilde{x}_1 \in C_1$  then  $(\tilde{x}_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  is also an  $r$ -tuple of  $G_0$ . Suppose  $(\tilde{x}_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$  is *not* an  $r$ -tuple of  $G_0$ . Then the algebraic independence of the  $\alpha_i$ 's implies that  $d_w(x_1) \neq d_w(\tilde{x}_1)$ , where

$$d_w(x) = \sum_{x \in \tau} w(\tau) .$$

We can suppose without loss of generality that  $d_w(x_1) > d_w(\tilde{x}_1)$ . Let  $\tilde{G}_0$  be the graph obtained from  $G_0$  by omitting the  $r$ -tuples containing  $\tilde{x}_1$  and adding all the  $r$ -tuples of the form  $(\tau - \{x_1\}) \cup \{\tilde{x}_1\}$ , where  $x_1 \in \tau$ . Clearly  $\tilde{G}_0 \in G$  and  $w(\tilde{G}_0) > w(G_0)$ , contradicting the maximality of  $w(G_0)$ . Thus  $G_0 \in G_{\max}$  is canonical, as claimed.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $r = 2$ . Then  $G_{\max}$  contains a complete  $(p-1)$ -partite graph.*

*Proof.* Define  $G_0$  as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then  $G_0$  is canonical. Furthermore, if two vertices of  $G_0$  are *not* joined then they must be joined to the *same* set of vertices, otherwise one could increase  $w(G_0)$  by omitting the edges containing one of them and then joining this vertex to every vertex that is joined to the other one. This means exactly that  $G_0$  is a complete  $s$ -partite graph for some  $s$ . As  $G_0$  does not contain a  $K_p$  ( $= K_p^{(2)}$ ) one can take  $s = p-1$ .

If  $G$  is an edge-graph (i.e. 2-graph), denote by  $\delta(G)$  the minimal degree of a vertex in  $G$ . Answering a question of Erdős, Graver showed (see [2]) that if  $G$  is a 3-chromatic edge graph,  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$  and  $\delta(G) \geq n_1 + 1$  then  $G$  contains a triangle. A number of related problems were discussed by Bollobás, Erdős and Szemerédi [2].

Let us consider  $k$ -chromatic edge graphs with colour classes

$C_i$ ,  $|C_i| = n_i$ ,  $i \in K = \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $\sum_{i \in I} n_i = n$ . Let

$\xi = \left\{ I \subset K: \sum_{i \in I} n_i \leq n/2 \right\}$  and let  $\delta_1 = \max \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} n_i: I \in \xi \right\}$ .

Let  $K_1 \subset K$  be such that  $\sum_{i \in K_1} n_i = \delta_1$ . Put  $K_2 = K - K_1$ . Joining every vertex

of  $\bigcup_{i \in K_1} C_i$  to every vertex of  $\bigcup_{i \in K_2} C_i$  we obtain a graph  $\tilde{G}$

with colour classes  $C_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, k$ . Clearly  $\tilde{G}$  does not contain a triangle and  $\delta(\tilde{G}) = \delta_1$ . Extending the above mentioned result of Graver, we prove that this situation is best possible in a certain sense.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -chromatic graph with colour classes  $C_i$ ,  $|C_i| = n_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $\delta(G) \geq \delta_1 + 1$ . Then  $G$  contains a triangle.*

*Proof.* If  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in K} C_i$  and  $I \in \xi$ , denote by  $d_I(x)$  the number of vertices of  $\bigcup_{i \in I} C_i$  joined to  $x$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $I_1 \in \xi$  be such that  $d_{I_1}(x_1) \geq d_I(x)$  for every  $x$  and  $I \in \xi$ . Let  $I_2 = K - I_1$ .

As  $d_{I_1}(x_1) \leq \sum_{i \in I_1} n_i \leq \delta_1 < \delta_1 + 1$ , there is a vertex  $x_2 \in C_j$  that is joined to  $x_1$  where  $j \in I_2$ . Then the maximality of  $d_{I_1}(x_1)$

implies that  $I_1 \cup \{j\} \notin \xi$ , so  $I_2' = I_2 - \{j\} \in \xi$ .

Let us show that  $G$  has a triangle containing the vertices  $x_1, x_2$ . Suppose not. Then  $x_2$  can be joined to at most  $\delta_1 - d_{I_1}(x_1)$  vertices of  $\bigcup_{i \in I_1} C_i$ , so it must be joined to at least  $\delta_1 + 1 - (\delta_1 - d_{I_1}(x_1)) = d_{I_1}(x_1) + 1$  vertices of  $\bigcup_{i \in I_2'} C_i$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $d_{I_1}(x_1)$ , so the proof is complete.

*Remarks.*

1. Theorem 2 can not be generalized for  $r \geq 3$ . It is false already in the simplest non-trivial case  $r = 3, p = 4, k = 4, n_1 = 1$ . Then clearly a graph with 3 3-tuples does not contain a  $K_4^{(3)}$ . On the other hand, a 3-chromatic 3-graph with 4 vertices has at most 3-tuples.
2. The obvious generalization of Theorem 3 is also false. For  $k \geq 4$  and sufficiently large  $m$  there is a  $k$ -chromatic edge graph  $G$  with  $m$  vertices in each colour class, such that  $\delta(G) \geq (k-2)m + 1$  and  $G$  does not contain a  $K_k$  (see [2]).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Complete Subgraph of the Same Order*, to appear.
- [2] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, *On Complete Subgraphs of  $r$ -chromatic graphs*, to appear.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On the Graph Theorem of Turán (in Hungarian)*,  
Mat. Lapok 21 (1970), 249-251.
- [4] P. Turán, *On the Extremal Problem in Graph Theory (in Hungarian)*,  
Mat. és Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941), 436-452.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics  
University of Cambridge

Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
Budapest

Department of Mathematics  
University of California at Los Angeles

*Received November 25, 1974.*