

## PROBLEMS

1. Is it true that there is an absolute constant  $c$  so that every graph of  $m$  edges contains a subgraph of  $cm^{3/4}$  edges which does not contain a rectangle (i.e. a circuit of four edges)? The complete graph of  $\binom{n}{2} = m$  edges shows that this conjecture if true is certainly best possible.

Let us now assume that our graph has  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges and the valence of each vertex is less than  $c_1 \frac{m}{n}$ . Then our graph contains perhaps a subgraph of  $c_2 (mn)^{1/2}$  edges which does not contain a rectangle. The order of magnitude  $(mn)^{1/2}$  if true is certainly best possible.

B. BOLLOBÁS and P. ERDŐS

2. Trivially every  $3k$  chromatic graph contains  $k_1$  odd vertex independent circuits. Perhaps every  $3k - 1$  chromatic critical graph having more than  $n_0(k)$  vertices contains  $k$  odd vertex independent circuits. In particular, is it true that every 5-chromatic critical graph having sufficiently many vertices contains two odd vertex independent circuits? GALLAI showed that this is false for 4-chromatic graphs.

LOVÁSZ in trying to prove this made the following conjecture: Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -chromatic graph which does not contain a complete  $k$ -gon and let  $a > 1$  and  $b > 1$  be arbitrary positive integers satisfying  $a + b = k + 1$ . Then we can split the vertices of  $G$  into two classes so that the graph spanned by the vertices of the first class has chromatic number  $\geq a$  and the graph spanned by the vertices of the second class has chromatic number  $\geq b$ .

By taking  $a = 3$  we obtain from LOVÁSZ's conjecture that every graph of chromatic number  $3k - 1$  which does not contain a complete  $(3k - 1)$ -gon contains  $k$  vertex-independent odd circuits.

LOVÁSZ further remarks that even the following special case ( $a = 2$ ) does not seem to be easy to prove. Every  $k$ -chromatic graph  $G$  which does not contain a complete  $k$ -gon contains two vertices,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , which are joined by an edge so that  $G - x_1 - x_2$  has chromatic number  $\geq k - 1$ .

P. ERDŐS

3. Let  $G$  be a graph of  $n$  vertices. Assume that for every  $m \leq n$  every subgraph spanned by  $m$  vertices of  $G$  contains an independent set having at least  $\frac{m-k}{2}$  vertices. Is it then true that  $G$  has chromatic number  $\leq k+2$ ?

The complete  $(k+2)$ -gon shows that this conjecture if true is certainly best possible.

The conjecture is trivial for  $k=0$  but seems to present difficulties already for  $k=1$ .

P. ERDŐS and A. HAJNAL

4. Is it true that in any finite connected graph there exists a vertex such that every longest simple path of the graph contains this vertex?

*Added in prof:* H. WALTHER proved that the answer to the above question is negative. His construction will appear in the *J. Combinatorial Theory*.

5. *A distancial generalization of Menger's theorem.* Let  $G$  be a finite connected graph with the vertex set  $V(G)$ .  $x, y, z$  will denote vertices of  $G$ . If  $G'$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , then  $x \in G'$  means  $x \in V(G')$ . For any  $x, y \in G$  the distance of  $x$  and  $y$  (in  $G$ ) will be denoted by  $d(x, y)$ . If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are simple paths of  $G$  and  $z \in G$ , then let

$$d(P_1, P_2) = \min_{x \in P_1, y \in P_2} d(x, y) \text{ and } d(z, P_1) = \min_{x \in P_1} d(z, x).$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two fixed disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$ . A path which has one endpoint in  $A$  and the other in  $B$  and has no other vertex in common with  $A \cup B$  will be called an  $AB$ -path.  $S$  will denote the set of all simple  $AB$ -paths of  $G$ .

The simplest case of MENGER'S theorem states that if  $d(P_1, P_2) = 0$  for every  $P_1, P_2 \in S$ , then there exists an  $x \in G$  with  $d(x, P) = 0$  for every  $P \in S$ .

Suppose now that  $d(P_1, P_2) \leq 1$  for every  $P_1, P_2 \in S$ . Then in the special case in which  $A \cup B = V(G)$  and  $G$  contains only  $AB$ -edges (i.e.  $G$  is a bipartite graph) there exists an  $x \in G$  with  $d(x, P) \leq 1$  for every  $P \in S$ . However, in the general case there does not exist such an  $x$ . Is it true that in any case there exists an  $x \in G$  for which

$$d(x, P) \leq 2 \text{ for every } P \in S?$$

6. B. BOLLOBÁS and L. PÓSA proved that in any finite undirected graph  $G$  in which any two circuits have a vertex in common there are three vertices so that every circuit of  $G$  passes through one of these vertices. Let  $C$  be the class of all finite directed graphs in which any two directed circuits have a vertex in common. Does there exist a fixed natural number  $k$  so that in any  $G \in C$  there are at most  $k$  vertices for which any directed circuit of  $G$  passes through one of these vertices?

T. GALLAI