

ON THE RECURRENCE OF A CERTAIN CHAIN¹

D. A. DARLING AND P. ERDÖS

Let balls be placed successively and independently in urns U_1, U_2, \dots , urn U_i receiving each ball with probability $p_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$. After n balls have been placed let L_n be the number of urns containing an odd number of balls. The event $[L_n = 0 \text{ for infinitely many } n]$ has probability one or zero, termed respectively the "recurrent" and the "transient" cases. In [1, p. 94] it was stated that "it seems impossible to obtain a general criterion in terms of $\{p_k\}$ to ensure the recurrent case," and in [2] it was stated "it would appear that the necessary and sufficient conditions are rather delicate and not to be exhibited in neat form."

In this note we clarify matters, showing that the condition (1) given below, previously known to be sufficient for recurrence ([1] and [2]), is also necessary.

Without loss of generality we assume $p_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq \dots$, and we set $f_n = p_n + p_{n+1} + \dots$, so that $f_1 = 1$ and f_n decreases monotonically to zero.

THEOREM. A necessary and sufficient condition for recurrence is that

$$(1) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{nf_n}} = \infty.$$

In the following c_1, c_2, \dots are suitable absolute positive constants. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be mutually independent Bernoulli random variables taking the values 0 or 1 with probabilities $\frac{1}{2}$ each, and set $S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i X_i$. It was shown in [2] that a necessary and sufficient condition for recurrence is that $E(1/S) = \infty$. Let $N = \min\{n \mid X_n = 1\}$ and let E_n be the event $[N = n]$, so that $P(E_n) = 2^{-n}$. Since $S \leq f_N$ we have $E(1/S) \geq E(1/f_N) = \sum_1^{\infty} 1/(2^n f_n)$, so that condition (1) is sufficient, and the necessity will follow if we show that $E(S^{-1} | E_n) \leq c_1/f_n$.

Let $A_{nj} = [jS < f_n], j = 0, 1, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots$. We assert that it is sufficient to prove

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(A_{nj} | E_n) \leq c_2,$$

for if (2) is true

Received by the editors November 15, 1966.

¹ Research supported by a National Science Foundation Grant No. GP-3466.

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2 &\geq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(A_{nj} | E_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(f_n/S > j | E_n) \\
&\geq \int_0^{\infty} P(f_n/S > x | E_n) dx = E(f_n/S | E_n) \\
&= f_n E(1/S | E_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $T_{nk} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} X_i$, $n=0, 1, \dots$; $k=1, 2, \dots$, so that if E_n occurs we obtain by partial summation,

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} p_i X_i \\
&= p_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{nk} (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and let $B_{nj} = \bigcup_{k \geq j} [T_{nk} < k/4]$. We next assert that $A_{nj} E_n \subset B_{nj} E_n$ for $j \geq 4$; $n=1, 2, \dots$. For suppose $\bar{B}_{nj} E_n$ occurs. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= p_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_{nk} (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1}) \\
&\geq p_n + (1/4) \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} k (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n &= p_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1}) \\
&= p_n + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \leq j p_n + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} k (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$jS \geq j p_n + (j/4) \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} k (p_{n+k} - p_{n+k+1}) \geq f_n$$

for $j \geq 4$, so that $S \geq f_n/j$ and $\bar{A}_{nj} E_n$ occurs.

Hence $P(A_{nj} | E_n) \leq P(B_{nj} | E_n)$, $j \geq 4$, and to prove (2) it is sufficient to prove $\sum q_j < c_3$, where $q_j = P(B_{nj} | E_n)$, q_j being independent of n .

Setting $V_j = T_{0j} = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q_j &= P(V_k < k/4 \text{ for some } k \geq j) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} P(V_k < k/4).
\end{aligned}$$

The terms in the last sum are well known to decrease exponentially (cf., e.g., Chernoff [3, Theorem 1]), so that $\sum q_j$ converges, (2) holds, and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. F. Spitzer, *Principles of random walk*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1964.
2. D. A. Darling, *Some limit theorems associated with multinomial trials*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Stat. and Prob., Vol. II, Part I, 1965, pp. 345-350.
3. H. Chernoff, *A measure of asymptotic efficiency of tests of hypotheses based on the sums of observations*, Ann. Math. Statist. **23** (1952), 493-507.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN