

# SEQUENCES OF PLUS AND MINUS

BY PAUL ERDÖS AND IRVING KAPLANSKY

**S**UPPOSE  $n$  one's and an equal number of minus one's are arranged in a series. In all there are  ${}_{2n}C_n$  possible arrangements. For example, when  $n = 2$  the following 6 ( $= {}_4C_2$ ) arrangements are possible:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 & -1 + 1 + 1 - 1 \\ 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 & -1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \\ 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 & -1 - 1 + 1 + 1 \end{array}$$

The sum of any of these series is, of course, 0. A partial sum, formed by breaking off a series at a point, can be either positive or negative; in any case it lies between  $n$  and  $-n$ . In connection with an investigation being made by one of the authors, the following question arose: in how many of the arrangements are all the partial sums non-negative?

Of the 6 arrangements above, two (the first two) are acceptable. Similarly, of the 20 arrangements for  $n = 3$ , the following 5 are acceptable:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 + 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 \\ 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 \\ 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 \\ 1 - 1 + 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 \\ 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 \end{array}$$

and of the 70 arrangements for  $n = 4$ , one can verify that there are 14 good ones. It is now easy to guess the right formula: in general  ${}_{2n}C_n/(n+1)$  of the  ${}_{2n}C_n$  arrangements fulfill the condition.

It is a curious fact that, in order to prove this conjecture, it seems to be wise to generalize as follows: let there be  $m$  one's and  $n$  minus one's and let it be required that all partial sums are at least  $m - n$ . Let us denote by  $f(m, n)$  the number of arrangements that fulfill this condition. If  $m > n + 1$ , it is evident that already the first partial sum cannot fulfill the condition, for it cannot be greater than 1. Thus

$$f(m, n) = 0 \quad (m > n + 1). \quad (1)$$

If  $m = n$  or  $n + 1$ , we shall have to begin the series with 1. Then

we are left with  $m - 1$  one's and  $n$  minus one's, and the partial sums are now to be greater than  $m - n - 1$ . Hence

$$f(m, n) = f(m - 1, n) \quad (m = n \text{ or } n + 1). \quad (2)$$

Finally if  $m < n$ , we are entitled to begin with either 1 or  $-1$  and we find similarly

$$f(m, n) = f(m - 1, n) + f(m, n - 1) \quad (m < n). \quad (3)$$

One can now easily verify by induction that the solution of equations (1), (2), (3), with the boundary conditions  $f(1, 0) = f(0, n) = 1$ , is given by (1), (4), and (5):

$$f(m, n) = \frac{n - m + 1}{n + 1} {}_{m+n}C_m \quad (m < n) \quad (4)$$

$$f(n + 1, n) = {}_{2n}C_n / (n + 1). \quad (5)$$

By taking  $m = n$  in (4), we obtain in particular the result earlier conjectured.

The problem can be given in a chess-board setting. Take a one-dimensional board stretching to infinity to the right and bounded to the left, and place a king at the left-hand end. Then  $f(n, n)$  is the number of ways for the king to make  $2n$  moves which return it to its starting point. The corresponding problem for a two-dimensional board seems to be quite difficult if we permit the king its diagonal moves; however, if we restrict the king to horizontal and vertical moves the answer is just  $[f(n, n)]^2$ .

A problem that further suggests itself is to place the king in the middle of the board and ask for the number of ways for it to take a trip to another designated square. In the one-dimensional case this is conveniently formulated as follows: in how many ways can  $m$  one's and  $n$  minus one's be arranged so that all partial sums are at least  $m - n - a$ ? If we let the desired number be  $g(m, n, a)$  then  $g(m, n, 0) = f(m, n)$ , and for  $a < 0$  we have  $g(m, n, a) = 0$  since the final sum cannot be greater than  $m - n$ . We can get a recurrence formula by splitting the acceptable arrangements into two subsets: those which finish with 1 and those which finish with  $-1$ . In the former case we are left with  $m - 1$  one's and  $n$  minus one's to be arranged with partial sums of at least  $m - n - a$ , and there are  $g(m - 1, n, a - 1)$  such arrangements. Similarly there are  $g(m, n - 1, a + 1)$  in the latter group so that we have

$$g(m, n, a) = g(m - 1, n, a - 1) + g(m, n - 1, a + 1).$$

Setting  $a = 0, 1, 2$  in succession we find

$$\begin{aligned}g(m, n, 1) &= f(m, n + 1) \\g(m, n, 2) &= f(m, n + 2) - f(m - 1, n + 1) \\g(m, n, 3) &= f(m, n + 3) - 2f(m - 1, n + 2)\end{aligned}$$

and the general formula is

$$g(m, n, a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i {}_{a-i}C_i f(m - i, n + a - i)$$

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

## CURIOSA

**118. Factorials and Sub-factorials.** The number of permutations  $P_n$  of  $n$  distinct objects  $n$  at a time is  $P_n = n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots n$ . Obviously,  $P_{n+1} = (n+1)P_n$ . The number of permutations of  $n$  objects so that none of them occupies its original position is  $P_n' = n! [1 - 1/1! + 1/2! - 1/3! + 1/4! - \dots + (-1)^n 1/n!]$ , sometimes called *sub-factorial* of  $n$ . The first eight successive values of  $P_n'$  are:  $P_0' = 1, P_1' = 0, P_2' = 1, P_3' = 2, P_4' = 9, P_5' = 44, P_6' = 265, P_7' = 1854, P_8' = 14,833$ . The recurrences  $p_{n+1}' = n(P_n' + P_{n-1}')$ , and  $P_{n+1}' = (n+1)P_n' + (-1)^{n+1}$  are known in the literature.

The following relationship seems to be new:

$$P_n = (1 + P')^n = 1 + C_1 P' + C_2 P'^2 + C_3 P'^3 + \dots + C_n P'^n,$$

where  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are the corresponding binomial coefficients and  $P'^k$  stands for  $P_k'$ .

For example,  $4! = 1 + 4 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 2 + 9$ ;  $5! = 1 + 5 \cdot 0 + 10 \cdot 1 + 10 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 9 + 44 = 120$ , etc.

S. GUTTMAN

**119. The Dual of the above Formula.** The formula

$$P_n = (P' + 1)^n$$

remains valid when  $P$  and  $P'$  are interchanged and the plus is changed to a minus, yielding

$$P_n' = (P - 1)^n.$$

For example,  $P_4' = 9 = 4! - 4 \cdot 3! + 6 \cdot 2! - 4 \cdot 1! + 1$ ,

$$P_5' = 44 = 5! - 5 \cdot 4! + 10 \cdot 3! - 10 \cdot 2! + 5 \cdot 1! - 1.$$

J. GINSBURG