## Reprinted from JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS Vol. XXII, No. 1, May, 1943

## ON THE CONVERGENCE OF TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES

## By P. ERDÖS

A well known theorem of Kolmogoroff<sup>1</sup> states that if  $\Sigma(a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x)$  is a lacunary trigonometric series  $\left(i.e.\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} > c > 1\right)$  and if  $\Sigma(a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2)$  converges, then  $\Sigma(a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x)$  converges almost everywhere. It has been observed by S. Sidon<sup>2</sup> that in many theorems about lacunary trigonometric series the condition of lacunarity can be replaced by the following condition (which Sidon called  $B_2$ ): The number of solutions of  $a = n_i + n_j$  is uniformly bounded for all integers a. This condition is trivially satisfied in the case of lacunary series and Sidon constructed  $B_2$  sequences for which  $n_k < ck.^3$  In the present note we are going to prove the following

Theorem. Let  $\Sigma(a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x)$  be a trigonometric series where the  $n_k$  satisfy property  $B_2$  and  $\Sigma(a_{n_k}^2 + b_{n_k}^2)$  converges. Then  $\Sigma(a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x)$  converges almost everywhere.

In most cases where condition  $B_2$  can replace lacunarity the proof of this fact is very simple, but in this case the proof will not be quite trivial. First we need the following

Lemma. Let  $P(x) = a_m \cos mx + \cdots + a_n \sin nx$  and let  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_k^2 = \epsilon$ . We can always split P(x) into two parts

(1) 
$$P(x) = (a_m \cos mx + \dots + a_{l-1} \cos (l-1)x + a'_l \cos lx) + (a''_l \cos lx + \dots + a_n \cos nx), a_l = a'_l + a''_l$$

such that

(2) 
$$a_m^2 + \cdots + a_l^2 \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad a_l^{\prime\prime 2} + \cdots + a_m^2 \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and

(3) 
$$a_m^2 + \cdots + a_l'^2 = a_l''^2 + \cdots + a_n^2$$
.

Proof. If there is a q such that  $\sum_{m}^{q} a_{k}^{2} = \sum_{q+1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  then there is nothing to prove. Hence suppose

$$a_m^2 + \cdots + a_{l-1}^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} < a_m^2 + \cdots + a_l^2$$

Let

$$\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2} - (a_m^2 + \cdots + a_{l-1}^2), \qquad 0 < \delta < a_l^2.$$

<sup>1</sup> Fund. Math. 5. (1924) p. 96-97.

<sup>2</sup> Acta Szeged VII. (1934-35) p. 85-94,

<sup>3</sup> Sidon, ibid.

P. ERDÖS

We have

$$a_{l+1}^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 = \epsilon - a_l^2 - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - \delta\right) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \delta - a_l^2$$

Take

$$a'_l = \frac{\delta}{a_l}, \qquad a''_l = a_l - \frac{\delta}{a_l}.$$

(1) is clearly satisfied. Now

$$a_m^2 + \cdots + a_l^{\prime 2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \delta + \left(\frac{\delta}{a_l}\right)^2,$$
  
$$a_l^{\prime \prime 2} + \cdots + a_n^2 = \left(a_l - \frac{\delta}{a_l}\right)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \delta - a_l^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \delta + \left(\frac{\delta}{a_l}\right)^2.$$

Thus (3) is satisfied. (2) is also satisfied, since

$$rac{\epsilon}{2} - \delta + \left(rac{\delta}{a_l}
ight)^2 < rac{\epsilon}{2}$$

is an immediate consequence of  $0 < \delta < a_i^2$ .

COROLLARY. By repeating this process it is clear that we can divide P(x) into  $2^r$  consecutive parts (with splitting of terms) such that the sum  $\Sigma a_k^2$  for each part is inferior to  $\frac{\epsilon}{2^r}$ , and that all the sums are equal. Also clearly for r sufficiently large  $\frac{\epsilon}{2^r} < \min(a_k^2) \pmod{a_k^2}$  meaning the minimum of those  $a_k^2$  which are  $\neq 0$ ). Thus each block of terms will ultimately contain no more than two terms  $\neq 0$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Without loss of generality we can assume that our series is a pure cosine series  $\sum a_{n_k} \cos n_k x$ . Put

$$\sum_{n_k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{n_k} \cos_{n_k} x = P_m(x) = P_m, \qquad \sum_{2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{n_k}^2 = \epsilon_m$$

we have as a consequence of property  $B_2$ <sup>3</sup>

(4) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} P_m^4 \, dx < c \left( \int_0^{2\pi} P_m^2 \, dx \right)^2.$$

We know that  $\sum_{m} P_m$  converges almost everywhere.<sup>4</sup> Now let us split  $P_m(x)$  into  $2^s$  parts as indicated in the lemma and the corollary, this operation being successively performed for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . (v sufficiently large.)

Let  $P_{m,s}$  be one of the 2<sup>s</sup> parts obtained in the s-th operation. Let  $E_{m,s}$  be the set of x for which

$$(5) P_{m,s} > \frac{\epsilon_m^{1/4}}{2^{s/4}} \sqrt{s}$$

<sup>4</sup> Kolmogoroff, ibid.

38

We have by (4)

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P_{m,s}^4 \, dx < c \, \frac{\epsilon_m^2}{2^{2s}}$$

and thus

$$|E_{m,s}| < c \frac{\epsilon_m}{2^s} \frac{1}{s^2},$$
 where  $|E|$  stands for the measure of  $E$ .

Thus the sum of the  $2^s$ ,  $|E_{m,s}|$  is less than  $c\frac{\epsilon_m}{s^2}$  and the sum of all the  $|E_{m,s}|$ ( $s = 1, 2, \dots v$ ) is less than  $B\epsilon_m$ , B being a constant.

It follows that the x for which (5) holds for infinitely many m is of measure 0. Now if x does not belong to this set and if  $2^m \leq q < 2^{m+1}$  it is easy to see that (by writing q in the binary scale)

$$\sum_{n_k=2^m}^q a_{n_k} \cos n_k x \le \frac{\epsilon_m^{1/4} \sqrt{1}}{2^{1/4}} + \frac{\epsilon_m^{1/4} \sqrt{2}}{2^{2/4}} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_m^{1/4} \sqrt{v}}{2^{v/4}} \frac{1}{4} + \max_{2^m \le n_k < 2^{m+1}} |a_{n_k}| < \delta(\delta \to 0)$$

which completes the proof of our theorem.

It is easy to see that instead of

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P_m^4 \, dx < c \left( \int_0^{2\pi} P_m^2 \, dx \right)^2$$

it would have been sufficient to assume that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |P_m|^{2+\alpha} \, dx < c \left( \int_0^{2\pi} P_m^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2}}$$

holds for any positive  $\alpha$ .

It is clear from our proof that it suffices to show that our  $n_k$  are such that the number of solutions of  $a = n_i + n_j$ ,  $2^m < n_i$ ,  $n_j < 2^{m+1}$  is uniformly bounded. Thus we can construct a sequence  $n_k$  which satisfies our condition and for which  $n_k < k^{2.5}$ 

It might be of some number-theoretic interest to investigate whether our theorem remains true for  $n_k = k^3$ . Mordell<sup>6</sup> proved that  $n_k = k^3$  does not satisfy condition  $B_2$ .

Another theorem of Kolmogoroff<sup>7</sup> states that if  $\Sigma(a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$  is a trigonometric series such that  $\Sigma(a_n^2 + b_n^2)$  converges, and if we put  $\zeta_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a_k \cos kx + b \sin kx)$ . Then if  $\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} > c > 1$ ,  $S_{n_k}$  converges almost everywhere. This result we can not prove if the  $n_k$  satisfy condition  $B_2$ .

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

<sup>5</sup> P. Erdös and P. Turán, London Math. Soc. Journal 16, (1941) p. 212-215.

<sup>6</sup> Oral communication. See also K. Mahler, London Math. Soc. Proc. 39, (1935) p. 431-436.

7 Kolmogoroff, ibid.