

# ON A CONJECTURE OF STEINHAUS

BY P. ERDÖS

(Institute for advanced study, Princeton, N. J.)

Steinhaus conjectured that if all the partial sums of

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx),$$

are everywhere non negative then  $\lim a_k = \lim b_k = 0$ . Von Neumann<sup>1</sup> and Schur<sup>2</sup> proved that  $\liminf a_k = \liminf b_k = 0$ . Sidon<sup>3</sup> proved that

$$\lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \leq n} |a_k| + |b_k| = 0.$$

In the present paper we are going to sharpen these results by proving the following Theorem. Let each partial sum of

$$1 + \sum (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$$

be everywhere non negative; then the number of indices  $k \leq n$  for which the inequality  $a_k^2 + b_k^2 > c^2$  holds is not greater than

$$(\log_2 n)^{4/c^2 + 1}.$$

Proof. Our chief tool will be the following classical theorem of Fejér<sup>4</sup>: Let

$$0 \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < 2\pi,$$

<sup>1</sup> Unpublished.

<sup>2</sup> *Acta Litt. ac Scient. Szeged*, Tom. II, pp. 43-47.

<sup>3</sup>  $\log_2 n$  denotes the logarithm of  $n$  to the base 2.

<sup>4</sup> *Journal für reine und angewandte Mathematik*, Vol. 146, 1916, p. 63.

then real numbers  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  exist such that

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^n y_i^2, \quad a_k = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} (x_{k+l}x_l + y_{k+l}y_l),$$

$$b_k = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} x_{k+l}y_l - y_{k+l}x_l. \quad (1)$$

Conversely if we choose  $2n + 2$  real numbers  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  with

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (x_i^2 + y_i^2) = 1$$

arbitrarily and determine the  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's by (1), the resulting trigonometric polynomial will be everywhere non negative.

If we replace  $x_i$  by  $\sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$  and  $y_i$  by 0 we obtain a non negative pure cosine polynomial

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^2 \cos kx, \quad A_k^2 = a_k^2 + b_k^2.$$

Thus  $A_k \geq \max(|a_k|, |b_k|)$  which shows that it suffices to prove Lemma 1 for pure cosine polynomials. For these polynomials<sup>1</sup> we obtain from (1)

$$1 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2, \quad a_k = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} x_l x_{k+l}.$$

First we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 1<sup>2</sup>. Let  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p < \frac{n}{2}$  be a sequence of integers such that  $i_r > 2i_{r-1}$  ( $r = 2, 3 \dots p$ ) then

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{n-i_j} < 4$$

Proof. We have by Schwartz's inequality

$$a_{n-i_j} \leq 4 \sum y_j^2 \sum z_j^2 < 4 \sum y_j^2$$

<sup>1</sup> Fejér, *ibid.*, p. 64.

<sup>2</sup> S. SIDON, *Journal London Math. Soc.*, Vol. VIII, 1938, p. 181.

where the  $y_j$ 's and  $z_j$ 's run over  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i_j}, x_{n-i_j}, \dots, x_n$  such that if  $x_k$  is a  $y_j$  then  $x_{k+n-i_j}$  is a  $z_j$ . Now we can choose the  $y_{j+1}$ 's belonging to  $a_{n^2-i_{j+1}}$  in such a way that no  $y_{j+1}$  equals any of the  $y_r$ 's ( $r=1, 2, \dots, j$ ). For if this were not possible then for a certain  $k$   $x_k$  would be a  $y_r$ , and  $x_{k+n-i_{j+1}}$  would be a  $y_{r_2}$  ( $r_1, r_2 \leq j$ ). But by definition the  $y_r$ 's ( $r \leq j$ ) are a subset of the  $x_l$ 's with  $0 \leq l \leq i_j$ , or  $n - i_j \leq l \leq n$ . But from  $i_{j+1} > 2i_j$  it follows that  $x_k$  and  $x_{k+n-i_{j+1}}$  can not both satisfy one of these inequalities, which completes the proof. Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^s a_{n^2-i_j} < 4 \sum_{j=1}^s \sum y_j^2 \leq 4 \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2 = 4$$

which proves the Lemma<sup>1</sup>.

Lemma 2. Let  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq n$  be a sequence of integers with  $p > (\log_2 n)^t$  ( $t$  integer) then there exist  $t+2$   $i$ 's,  $i_1' < i_2' < \dots < i_{t+2}'$  such that for every  $r < t+2$   $i_{r+1}' - i_r' > 2$  ( $i_r' - i_1'$ ).

Proof. The Lemma holds for  $t=0$ , we use induction. Suppose the Lemma holds for  $t-1$ .

If the interval  $i_1, \frac{i_1+i_p}{2}$  contains more than  $(\log n)^{t-1}$   $i$ 's our Lemma holds; for then we can find  $t+1$   $i$ 's  $i_1' < i_2' < \dots < i_{t+1}' < \frac{i_1+i_p}{2}$  satisfying the Lemma and we can choose  $i_{t+2}' = i_p$ . If the interval does not contain more than  $(\log n)^{t-1}$  integers then we take the least  $i$  not less than  $\frac{i_1+i_p}{2}$ , say  $i^{(2)}$ , and consider the interval  $i^{(2)}, \frac{i^{(2)}+i_p}{2}$ ; if it contains more than  $(\log n)^{t-1}$   $i$ 's the Lemma is proved; if not we consider the least  $i$  not less than  $\frac{i^{(2)}+i_p}{2}$  say  $i^{(3)}$  etc. But the number of the intervals of the form  $i^{(q)}, \frac{i^{(q)}+i_p}{2}$  is at most  $\log n$  since the length of each of them is not greater than half the length of the preceding one. Hence at least one of them contains more than  $(\log_2 n)^{t-1}$   $i$ 's which proves the Lemma.

<sup>1</sup> It would be easy to show that the Lemma remains true if only  $i_r > \sum_{j < r} i_j$  for  $r=1, 2, \dots, p$ .

<sup>2</sup> Our intervals are closed from below and open from above.

We can now prove our Theorem. Suppose it is false and let  $a_{n-i_1}, a_{n-i_2}, \dots, a_{n-i_r}, p > (\log_2 n)^{4/c^2+1}$  be the  $a$ 's which are greater than  $c$ . By Lemma 2, there exist  $\left[\frac{4}{c^2}\right] + 3 = \tau$   $a$ 's,  $a_{n-i'_1+1}, a_{n-i'_2}, \dots, a_{n-i'_\tau}$  satisfying  $i'_{r+1} - i'_r > 2(i'_r - i'_1)$  ( $r < \tau$ ). By the hypothesis

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-i_1} a_k \cos kx \geq 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < 2\pi,$$

thus by Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\tau-1} a_{n-i'_r} < 4 \left( \text{for } i'_{\tau-1} - i'_1 < \frac{i'_\tau - i'_1}{2} \leq \frac{n - i'_1}{2} \right)$$

which does not hold since  $a_{n-i'_r} \geq c^2 > \frac{4}{\tau-1}$ ; this completes the proof.