

ON THE REPRESENTATION OF AN INTEGER AS THE SUM OF k k -TH POWERS

PAUL ERDÖS*.

[Extracted from the *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, Vol. 11, 1936.]

1. Let $f(m)$ denote the number of representations of m as the sum of k k -th powers of non-negative integers. Hardy and Littlewood† conjectured ("Hypothesis K ") that $f(m) = O(m^\epsilon)$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. In the opposite direction, Chowla has recently proved‡ that, for fixed $k \geq 5$, $f(m) \neq O(1)$. In this note I give a simple proof that, for an infinity of m ,

$$(1) \quad f(m) > e^{c_1(\log m / \log \log m)},$$

where c_1 (as also c_2, \dots) is a positive number depending only on k §.

Dr. Mahler has just proved that, for $k = 3$, $f(m^{12}) > c_2 m$, which shows that Hypothesis K is false for $k = 3$.

2. We first suppose that k is odd.

LEMMA 1. If $p \nmid k$, and $(p-1, k) = 1$, then for every $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ there exists exactly one $y \pmod{p^k}$ such that $y^k \equiv x \pmod{p^k}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that, if $y_1 y_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $y_1 \not\equiv y_2 \pmod{p^k}$, then $y_1^k \not\equiv y_2^k \pmod{p^k}$. Hence it suffices to prove that $z^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ implies $z \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$. This is clear, since $z^{p^k-p^{k-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$ and $(k, p^k - p^{k-1}) = 1$.

* Received 10 December, 1935; read 12 December, 1935.

† *Math. Zeitschrift*, 23 (1925), 1-37.

‡ *Indian Physico-Mathematical Journal*, 6 (1935), 65-68.

§ Since writing this paper, I have heard from Prof. Chowla that he has also proved (1).

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r be consecutive primes greater than k for which $(p-1, k) = 1$. Let $A = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$, $n = A^k$, $B \mid A$, $A = BC$. Let S_B denote the number of solutions of

$$(2) \quad x_i \leq n, \quad x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{B} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

$$(3) \quad x_1^k + \dots + x_k^k \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

$$(4) \quad (x_1^k + \dots + x_{k-1}^k, C) = 1,$$

in non-negative integers x_1, \dots, x_k .

LEMMA 2. $S_B > \frac{c_3 n^{k-1}}{\log p_r}$.

Proof. For each of x_1, \dots, x_{k-2} there are n/B values to satisfy (2). When x_1, \dots, x_{k-2} have been chosen, there are $(n/B) \prod_{p \mid C} (1-p^{-1})$ values for x_{k-1} to satisfy (2), (4). When x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} have been chosen, x_k is uniquely determined mod BC^k by (2), (3), and so can be given n/BC^k values.

Hence $S_B \geq \frac{n^k}{B^k C^k} \prod_{p \mid C} (1-p^{-1}) > \frac{c_3 n^{k-1}}{\log p_r}$.

To prove (1), we now observe that the number of solutions of

$$(5) \quad x_i \leq n, \quad x_1^k + \dots + x_k^k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

is at least $\sum_{B \mid A} S_B > \frac{c_3 2^r n^{k-1}}{\log p_r}$,

since the same value of x_k cannot arise from two different B 's. Hence there is an $m \leq kn^k$ which has at least

$$\frac{c_3 2^r n^{k-1}}{\log p_r} \frac{1}{kn^{k-1}}$$

representations as the sum of k k -th powers. Now, by the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, $p_r < c_4 r \log r$, and

$$\log n = k (\log p_1 + \dots + \log p_r) < c_5 r \log r,$$

so that $r > c_6 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$.

Hence m has at least $e^{c_1(\log n / \log \log n)}$ representations as the sum of k k -th powers, which establishes (1) for odd k .

3. We now deal with the case in which k is even and greater than
 2. It is easily seen (as in the proof of Lemma 1) that, if $p \nmid k$ and

$(p-1, k) = 2$, every k -th power residue $(\bmod p^k)$ prime to p is also a quadratic residue, and conversely.

LEMMA 3. *If C is a product of different primes, each of which satisfies $p+k$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $(p-1, k) = 2$, then the number of solutions of $x^k+y^k \equiv a \pmod{C^k}$, where $(a, C) = 1$, is*

$$C^k \prod_{p|C} (1+p^{-1}).$$

Proof. We shall prove that the number in question is the same as the number of solutions of $u^2+v^2 \equiv a \pmod{C^k}$, and by a well-known result*, this has the value stated. It is sufficient to prove that the congruences

$$(6) \quad x^k+y^k \equiv a \pmod{p^k},$$

$$(7) \quad u^2+v^2 \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$$

have the same number of solutions for every $p|C$. First, by the above remark, there is a $(1, 1)$ correspondence between the solutions of (6) with $p+xy$, and of (7) with $p+uv$. Secondly, for any $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and any $u \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the number of solutions of $v^2 \equiv a-u^2 \pmod{p^k}$ and $y^k \equiv a-x^k \pmod{p^k}$ is the same, since $a-u^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $a-x^k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Similarly for any $y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This exhausts the possible cases, and the lemma is proved.

Let p_1, \dots, p_r be consecutive primes greater than k , for which $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $(p-1, k) = 2$, and let A, B, C, n be as in § 2. Let S_B' denote the number of solutions of (2), (3), and

$$(4') \quad (x_1^k+\dots+x_{k-2}^k, C) = 1.$$

For each of x_1, \dots, x_{k-3} there are n/B values to satisfy (2). For x_{k-2} there are at least $(n/B) \prod_{p|C} (1-2p^{-1})$ values to satisfy (4'). By Lemma 3, there are $C^k \prod_{p|C} (1+p^{-1})$ pairs of residues $(\bmod C^k)$ for x_{k-1}, x_k to satisfy (3), and so

$$\frac{n^2}{B^2 C^k} \prod_{p|C} (1+p^{-1})$$

pairs of values.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } S_B' &\geq \frac{n^k}{B^k C^k} \prod_{p|C} (1+p^{-1})(1-2p^{-1}) \\ &> c_7 \frac{n^{k-1}}{(\log p_r)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof now proceeds as before.

* Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, 1 (1919), 225, note ²¹.

4. By the same method we can prove that, if a_1, a_2, \dots are integers, and $1/k_1 + \dots + 1/k_l = 1$, there are an infinity of m with more than

$$e^{c(\log m / \log \log m)}$$

representations in the form

$$a_1 x_1^{k_1} + a_2 x_2^{k_2} + \dots + a_l x_l^{k_l} \quad (x_i \geq 0).$$

The University,
Manchester.